
Council Newsletter



CITY MANAGER'S NOTES **July 3, 2013**

Upcoming Council Meetings

City facilities will be closed on **Thursday, July 4, 2013** in honor of the July 4th holiday.

City Council will meet on **Monday, July 8, 2013**. The Study Session will begin at 6:00 p.m. in the Community Room. There is no Regular Meeting scheduled. The agenda is attached. Sandwiches will be available at 5:30 p.m. in the Community Room.

The following meeting will be held on **Monday, July 15, 2013**.

Informative Memoranda

The following are memoranda in response to City Council's requests, as well as other informational items.

1. News Release concerning City offices closed for the July 4th holiday.
2. News Release and article concerning the appointment of Andrew Marsh as the new Englewood Fire Chief.
3. Articles concerning the July 4th Fireworks and the BID.
4. U.S. Metro Economies from National League of Cities.
5. Letter concerning the Englewood Depot.
6. Letter concerning the Light Rail Corridor Plan meeting on July 16, 2013.
7. Memorandum concerning the Navajo Apartments PUD.
8. Calendar of Events.
9. Tentative Study Session Topics.

Leigh Ann Hoffhines

From: Leigh Ann Hoffhines
Sent: Monday, June 24, 2013 9:21 AM
To: Clayton Woullard; Englewood Herald - News
: Englewood Herald - Tom Munds
Kevin Hamm (Tom Barry
Millet, Sarah; Villager - News Desk
Cc: Leigh Ann Hoffhines
Subject: City of Englewood offices closed July 4



City of Englewood, Colorado News Release

For Immediate Release: June 24, 2013
Media Contact: Leigh Ann Hoffhines, Communications Coordinator
Phone: 303-762-2316
E-Mail:

City of Englewood offices closed July 4 for Independence Day holiday

Englewood, CO: City of Englewood administrative offices will be closed on Thursday, July 4, 2013 in observance of Independence Day. All Englewood Civic Center offices will be closed for the holiday, as will the Englewood Public Library, the Englewood Recreation Center, and the Malley Senior Recreation Center.

Englewood's Pirates Cove Family Aquatic Center, the Belleview Park Miniature Train and Children's Farm, and Broken Tee Englewood golf course will be open on the 4th of July.

The annual 4th of July Family Festival and Fireworks will take place Thursday, July 4 at Belleview Park and Cornerstone Park. For more information and a complete schedule of events, visit "Englewood Happenings" on our website. There is an open burning ban in Englewood and event organizers are keeping a close eye on conditions, but at this time there are no plans to cancel the professional fireworks display on the 4th of July at Cornerstone Park.

#

Leigh Ann Hoffhines
Communications Coordinator
City of Englewood
1000 Englewood Parkway
Englewood, CO 80110
303-762-2316

Leigh Ann Hoffhines

From: Leigh Ann Hoffhines
Sent: Tuesday, July 02, 2013 12:41 PM
To: Clayton Woullard ();
Englewood Herald - Tom Munds (); Kevin Hamm
; Millett, Sarah; Tom Barry ; Villager - News
Desk ()
Cc: CML - Traci Stoffel ; Leigh Ann Hoffhines
Subject: City of Englewood: New Fire Chief Appointed
Attachments: Andrew Marsh.jpg



City of Englewood, Colorado News Release

For Immediate Release: July 2, 2013

Media Contact: Leigh Ann Hoffhines, Communications Coordinator

Phone: 303-762-2316

E-Mail:

Andrew Marsh selected as new Englewood Fire Chief

Englewood, CO: City Manager Gary Sears has announced the appointment of Andrew Marsh as Englewood's new Fire Chief. The selection is the result of a nationwide search and a comprehensive review process involving employees, department directors, and members of the community, who interviewed the top five finalists.

Chief Marsh has served for the last ten years as Fire Chief at the City of Federal Heights, Colorado. He comes to Englewood with strong credentials and a background in fire service at national and local levels. He holds a Bachelor's degree in Political Science from Bucknell University in Pennsylvania and a Master of Business Administration from Mount St. Mary's University in Emmitsburg, Maryland. In addition, he is a graduate of the National Fire Academy in Emmitsburg, where he completed the Academy's Executive Fire Officer program.

Prior to his service at Federal Heights, Chief Marsh held a variety of positions in fire administration in Maryland and he is a member of several professional organizations, including the Metro Denver Fire Chiefs' Association (in which he served as President), the Colorado State Fire Chief's Association, the International Association of Fire Chiefs, and the National Fire Protection Association.

City Manager Sears is confident that Chief Marsh has what it takes to lead the Englewood Fire Department forward. "Andrew's strong credentials, combined with his background in fire service administration, will help take us into the future," said Sears.

Chief Marsh will begin his employment in Englewood on August 19, 2013. His appointment follows the retirement of Chief Mike Pattarozzi, who served the Englewood Fire Department for 35 years.

#

Leigh Ann Hoffhines
Communications Coordinator
City of Englewood
1000 Englewood Parkway
Englewood, CO 80110
303-762-2316

www.Englewoodgov.org | [Facebook](#)



ARE YOU UPSIDE DOWN?
 REFINANCE TODAY! We have HARP2 and the FHA Streamline Programs. Call me to discuss your situation!
 • Reverse Mortgages • Conventional Loans • FHA • VA | BBB A+ since 1998 • Personal one on one service!
 Knowledgeable, Courteous Service.



COLORADO UTILITY VEHICLES
 4895 S. Broadway Englewood, CO 80113
 720-382-1874 | www.ColoradoUV.com

Welcome! Login | Signup Tuesday July 2, 2013 ourcoloradonews.com coloradocommunitymedia.com

ourEnglewoodnews.com the online home of the **Herald** A Publication of **Colorado Community Media**

News Archives Classifieds Obituaries Events Shop Local Our Papers E-Editions E-Magazines Press Releases About Us Advertise Subscribe



News | Sports | Life | Opinion | Photos | Current E-Edition | Past E-Editions | OurEnglewoodNews.com

Marsh will be new fire chief

Story Comments

Print Font Size:

Recommend 1 Tweet 0



Andrew Marsh has been selected to be Englewood's new fire chief. He will fill the vacancy created by the retirement of the former fire chief Mike Patarozzi. Courtesy photo

Posted: Tuesday, July 2, 2013 1:18 pm

By Tom Munds tmunds@ourcoloradonews.com | 0 comments

Andrew Marsh, now fire chief in Federal Heights, has accepted the offer to become the next Englewood fire chief on Aug. 19.

"I am thrilled to have the opportunity to be Englewood's fire chief," Marsh said in a July 2 phone interview. "I have been in fire service for 35 years and I feel honored to have been selected for this position. During the interviews, I was impressed by the firefighters, the city staff, the city council members and the residents I met. I look forward to working with everyone as we deal with the challenges facing Englewood's fire department."

City Manager Gary Sears said July 1 the selection of Marsh was the culmination of a nationwide search and extensive review. The city received 72 applications and the field was narrowed to five finalists. Each finalist was interviewed by two separate panels made up of local business people, city officials and fire service officials.

Sears said Marsh was offered the position and accepted. When he moves into the position, he will fill the vacancy created when Fire Chief Mike Patarozzi retired after 35 years of service with the Englewood department.

"We had excellent candidates, particularly our five finalists, so it was not an easy decision to make," Sears said. "The Englewood Fire Department currently faces a number of challenges, including senior staff turnover, a

tight budget and the discussion of a possible merger into a fire district. I believe Andrew understands those challenges and can provide the leadership necessary to deal with them. Additionally, I believe the fact he has a master's degree in business administration will help him come up with innovative ways to continue to fund quality fire protection for Englewood residents."

For the last 10 years, Marsh has served as the fire chief for Federal Heights, plus he was in fire department administration in Frederick, Md. He has a bachelor's degree in political science from Bucknell University in Pennsylvania and a master's degree in business administration from St. Mary's University in Maryland.

Marsh is a graduate of the National Fire Academy, where he completed the executive fire officer program.

Since becoming Federal Heights fire chief, Marsh has served as president of the Metro Denver Fire Chiefs Association, plus he is a member of the Colorado State Fire Chiefs Association and the International Association of Fire Chiefs.

SHOP Local
 LOCAL ADS, COUPONS, SPECIAL OFFERS & MORE

80° Scattered Clouds More weather. Advanced Search

START BUILDING YOUR DREAMS.
Shea Homes
 CLICK HERE TO GET STARTED WITH SHEA

NOW IS THE TIME TO PURCHASE A HOME OR REFINANCE!!!!

RATES Mortgage Rates
 REFI ASAP IF RATE IS 4% OR GREATER
 4% 04/11 30 Year Fixed Rates Now near 60 Year Historical Lows 04/13

WHY US??
 100% SATISFACTION GUARANTEE OR WE WILL GIVE YOU \$500 AT CLOSING!

SAVING YOU MONEY IS OUR "1" PRIORITY

Call 303-256-5748 Now or Apply Online
 PRIMARY RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE, INC.

"The fire service is my life and my passion. I have enjoyed working the the Denver metro area and I specifically applied for the fire chief position in Englewood because of the community," Marsh said. "I look forward to being in the city because, while Englewood is a full-service city, the residents have pride in their community and its small-town feel."

He added that he looks forward to the challenges facing the fire department, such as reviewing the recently completed study of the possibility of merging with other departments.

"I feel one of my tasks is developing long-term plans for the department," he said. "I plan to meet with the staff and get to know what is on their minds as we develop plans to provide the best possible fire protection for Englewood and its residents."

Marsh, who celebrated his 55th birthday on the day he was offered and accepted the Englewood position, lives in the Park Hill neighborhood of Denver with his wife Pam and their two daughters, 13 and 17.

Connect With Us

- Facebook
- RSS
- Twitter
- Newsletter

More about Englewood, Colorado

- ARTICLE: Fire chief finalists introduced
- ARTICLE: Sounds of Summer series is a hit
- ARTICLE: Young players display baseball skills
- ARTICLE: Englewood Police Reports

Recommend Tweet

[Discuss](#)

[Print](#)

Posted in News on Tuesday, July 2, 2013 1:18 pm. | Tags: Englewood, Colorado, Andrew Marsh, Marsh Iks New Englewood Fire Chief,

More From This Site

- Petau named as interim fire chief
- Leader of new church has questionable history
- Highlands Ranch vandals identified
- Tavern to remain open after deal
- Fatality in canyon crash

From Around The Web

- Hopefully your great grandfather had a better death than Jack Daniels (Ancestry.com)
- Which Caribbean Islands are the Safest and Most Dangerous? (About.com)
- How to Look Up a License Plate Number on a Car (eHow)
- Sinister Images of Far Eastern Death Penalties from the Early 1900s (Environmental Graffiti)
- Did a Murderer Just Give Himself Away on Yelp? (Vice)

Recommended by

Welcome to the discussion.

<p>Screen Name or Email <input style="width: 90%;" type="text"/></p> <p>Password <input style="width: 90%;" type="password"/> Forgot?</p> <p><input type="button" value="Login"/></p>	<p>Or, use your linked account:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> facebook</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> google</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> yahoo</p>
---	---

[Need an account? Create one now.](#)



Fireworks planned for July 4

Festivities may hinge on drought, fire conditions

The 18th annual Fourth of July Family Festival and Fireworks Show is planned for Thursday, July 4.

Organizers will continue to monitor the drought and fire conditions and will follow any directives that are passed down from the governor or the Arapahoe County sheriff.

If everything goes as planned, events will be held at Belleview, Progress and Cornerstone Parks near the intersection of Belleview Avenue and Windermere Street.

There will be strict enforcement of laws prohibiting alcohol and personal fireworks, including sparklers. Pets are strongly discouraged. Fire marshals, police officers and park rangers will confiscate fireworks, issue citations and escort violators from the park.

There will be plenty of food, fun, entertainment, games and a fantastic fireworks show for families and friends at this free event sponsored by the cities of Littleton and Englewood, Arapahoe County and South Suburban Parks and Recreation. Additional financial support is provided by the cities of Sheridan and Centennial.

A variety of food concessions will be available in Belleview and Cornerstone parks. At 9:30 p.m., fireworks will be launched from the baseball fields at Cornerstone Park.

Cornerstone Park

Batting cages will be open from 10 a.m. to 2 p.m. A 66-foot Chinese dragon slide, a large bounce house and bungee tramp for a fee starting at 3 p.m.



- AirLife helicopter will land at 6 p.m.
- Colorado Journey miniature golf course will be open from noon to 6 p.m.
- Entertainment will begin at 7 p.m. on the show wagon featuring Deja Blu.

Progress Park

- A fishing derby will be held from 9 a.m. to 11 a.m. Registration begins at 7 a.m. Participants over the age of 16 need a valid fishing license.

Belleview Park

- The Belleview Miniature Train and the Children's Farm will welcome families from 11 a.m. to 4 p.m.

- (\$1.75 per person).
- Pirates Cove will be open from 10:30 a.m. until 6:30 p.m.
- A slide, bounce house and a climbing wall will be available for a fee.

No parking will be permitted on the south side of West Prentice Avenue from Windermere to Hickory streets. Motorists are encouraged to obey other traffic restrictions that will be in effect. Limited parking will be available near the parks and at the Littleton Service Center, 1800 W. Belleview Ave.

Special thanks to community sponsors and volunteers: Englewood Neighborhood Watch, Country Buffet in Englewood and Arapahoe Rescue Patrol.

Englewood dissolving South Broadway Business District

By PETER JONES

The South Broadway Business Improvement District has seen better days.

Last week at the Englewood City Council meeting, the organization's board of directors withdrew its challenge to a petition by a group of property owners that had asked the city to dissolve the controversial tax-supported entity.

"We've kind of allowed ourselves to be outflanked the last few years by not going out and letting merchants know [the good things] we're doing," BID President Bob Laughlin said. "If we didn't have the support of enough merchants who could raise the support amongst their landlords, we were not going to get anywhere."

The South Broadway BID was created in 2007 to support an eclectic mile-long business district between Yale and Hampden avenues. Although the BID was widely supported by area businesses in its early days, that support has arguably waned in recent years. Jon Cook, the neighborhood's lead property owner, has headed the charge to end the taxing entity once and for all.

"I'm happy that it is finally over," said Cook, who owns as much as 40 percent of the South Broadway Mile. "For the half million dollars — at least — that has been paid by hard-working business owners, we got flowerpots. Wouldn't you love to have that business of putting in flowerpots for a half million dollars?"

That said, the BID has tried its hand at a range of other projects, from displaying artwork and Christmas lights to marketing the mile on the Internet and hosting the Eats & Beats all-day music and beer festival in 2011.

While the organization has always had its loyal and passionate boosters, its critics have argued that the BID has wasted too much time and money on marketing and not enough on tangible improvements or security.

The \$40,000 Eats & Beats festival was a case in point. While Cook has called the expense "shameful," others saw the event as laying the groundwork for what could have been a continued effort to bring traf-



The Englewood City Council is expected to formally dissolve the South Broadway Business Improvement District next month after a petition was filed by a group of property owners who say the organization has been mismanaged.

File photo

fic to the neighborhood.

In February, Cook and other BID opponents voiced their loudest disapproval yet by submitting their petition to the City Council. To dissolve the BID, their signatures had to represent at least 50 percent of the district's county-assessed value and 50 percent of the district's total acreage. Cook's majority ownership has been a substantial factor in meeting those thresholds.

Although some at last week's City Council meeting had expected BID supporters to actively challenge the validity of some signatures on the petition, Laughlin was the only supporter to even speak at the City Council's June 17 public hearing on the matter, and that was to withdraw his objections to the petition.

"Some of the signatures were a little bit suspect," Laughlin later said. "But we decided we were going to have to constantly fight with these anti-tax people. We lost the desire to fight. We were not getting anywhere."

It remains to be seen what will happen to the BID's public art, plant holders, bicycle racks and the roughly \$130,000 that still sits in the organization's coffers. Those are among the issues that will be discussed at a council meeting on July 15.

While some business owners hope that money will be refunded to them, Laughlin has his doubts. He thinks the city will take ownership of the public amenities and use the

funds to maintain them.

"And if the city doesn't want those assets, I don't think we're going to leave them on the street to deteriorate. We'll probably have to spend money to have them dismantled or something," he said.

Laughlin thinks some level of cooperation will continue among the businesses in the neighborhood without the BID, but believes it will be necessarily limited.

"I think there is some kind of volunteerism that will happen," he said. "If you go to 20 businesses and ask them to pitch in 50 or 100 bucks, you might get five or six of them that will. It takes a lot of money to put something together."

Cook says he is certain the neighborhood will maintain the BID's former assets.

"There's absolutely no question they'll stay in place," he said. "I believe there will be enough volunteers on each block to plant and water flowers and make it as nice as it has been. Next spring, I'll buy [some of] the flowers myself."

Laughlin says he hopes so, but he is not betting his property on Cook's goodwill.

"If all that disappears, we just become a strip of car lots, boutique shops and a few scattered Starbucks," he said.

On July 1, the council will consider an ordinance to officially dissolve the BID.

U.S. Metro Economies

May 2013

Impact of "Marketplace Fairness"
on Select Jurisdictions – UPDATE

Prepared for:

The National Association
of Counties

The National League of Cities

The United States
Conference of Mayors

Prepared by:



**GLOBAL
INSIGHT**





Chris Rodgers
President
Commissioner of Douglas County, NE

Matthew D. Chase
Executive Director



Marie Lopez Rogers
President
Mayor of Avondale, AZ

Clarence E. Anthony
Executive Director



Michael Nutter
President
Mayor of Philadelphia, PA

Tom Cochran
CEO and Executive Director

Published by IHS Global Insight (USA)
Executive and Editorial Offices: 24 Hartwell Avenue, Lexington, MA 02421-3158

©2013 by IHS Global Insight (USA), Inc. Reproduction in whole or in part prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.



Printed on Recycled Paper.
DO YOUR PART! PLEASE RECYCLE!

SALES TAXATION AND ELECTRONIC COMMERCE

State and local governments across the US rely extensively on sales taxation as a key source of revenue to fund essential government functions. The sales tax is normally very simple to administer, requiring that vendors located within a locality charge buyers the required percent of the purchase price and remit the proceeds to the taxing jurisdiction. Enforcement by the local government authorities is simplified by the physical presence of the vendor. However, the very rapid growth in recent decades of online, Internet, sales poses new enforcement challenges and difficulties, and has contributed to an erosion of the sales tax base across cities and counties.

States and local governments have long had difficulties collecting sales taxes due from out-of-state sellers. These purchases from remote sellers were previously predominated by mail-order sales, but the growth of the Internet and ease of online remote sales has accelerated the rate of sales tax revenue losses. Moreover, the US Supreme Court held, in *Quill Corp. v. North Dakota* (1992), that a seller must have physical presence in a state in order for that state to require the seller to collect sales and use taxes.

THE MARKETPLACE FAIRNESS ACT

The Marketplace Fairness Act would allow state and local governments to enforce existing state and local sales and use tax laws on remote retailers so long as they simplify tax administration by adopting the Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement (an agreement among twenty-four state governments which standardizes and simplifies administration across jurisdictions) or alternative minimum simplification requirements. It would also exempt small (less than \$1 million in nationwide sales) remote sellers.

The purpose of this research is to provide estimates of the sales tax revenue losses for E-commerce in 2011, 2012, and 2013, across US cities and counties in the absence of this Act. These estimates are presented in the accompanying tables.

METHODOLOGY

The research relies heavily on the excellent, much-cited work in 2009, "State and Local Government Sales Tax Revenue Losses from Electronic Commerce", by Donald Bruce, William F. Fox, and LeAnn Luna, at the University of Tennessee. They made an extensive survey of state tax bases and surveyed state tax enforcement officials across the country, to develop estimates of losses at the state level. They concluded that total revenue losses from uncollected sales taxes on E-commerce across the US totaled \$7.2 billion in 2007.

We extend their analysis to cities and counties, compiling sales tax rates across jurisdictions, IHS estimates of E-commerce growth through 2013, and IHS retail sales projections across US metros through 2013.

RESULTS

County and city sales tax receipts, normally collected at the point of purchase, provide funding for local services and are often designated for local improvement projects. The growth of E-commerce and remote sales has allowed for a lack of compliance with both state and local tax policy and further erodes the ability for local jurisdictions to collect on the primary drivers of sales tax revenue: population, income, and discretionary spending. As such, our analysis focuses on the monetary significance of local tax revenues lost to E-commerce and internet sales in the largest metropolitan areas across the United States.

Over \$225 Billion in E-commerce transactions were recorded by Census in the United States in 2011. The results of our analysis show that, collectively, state and local governments in the United States experienced a direct loss of revenues due to uncollected taxes on E-commerce of nearly \$12 Billion in 2011, rising to almost \$14 Billion by 2013. The counties and cities tabulated here suffered a loss of nearly \$1.3 Billion in 2011, \$1.5 Billion in 2012, and a projected \$1.7 Billion in 2013. The three year total of losses for these counties and cities is estimated at \$4.5 Billion.

Each county and city included in the analysis levies a tax rate between 0.1% and approximately 6% on top of state taxes. Due to the difficulty in

collecting from out of state retailers, taxes on these remote sales are rarely collected even when directly addressed by the local tax code. Lost revenues are calculated by determining the share of E-commerce originating from a given county or city and applying the appropriate local tax rate. While it is not uncommon for local jurisdictions to provide exemptions on some goods and services, purchases made through on-line transactions would not typically fall into exempt categories.

Among cities, New York City experienced the greatest loss in 2012 over \$200 Million. Phoenix and Chicago followed with losses of \$18 Million and \$17 Million respectively. These losses are forecast to \$235 Million for New York and to over \$20 Million for Phoenix. Ten other cities are projected to lose over \$10 million each in 2013.

Top Eleven U.S. Cities - E-Commerce Tax Revenue Losses by Year					
(\$000s)					
City	State	2011	2012	2013	Cumulative Total
New York	New York	179,401	205,730	235,072	620,203
Phoenix	Arizona	15,200	17,790	20,587	53,577
Chicago	Illinois	14,536	16,859	19,236	50,630
Dallas	Texas	9,507	11,177	12,919	33,603
Philadelphia	Pennsylvania	9,425	10,950	12,517	32,891
Oklahoma City	Oklahoma	9,290	10,873	12,499	32,662
Memphis	Tennessee	9,283	10,860	12,442	32,585
Nashville	Tennessee	8,628	10,094	11,564	30,285
Los Angeles	California	8,584	10,022	11,481	30,087
Houston	Texas	8,429	9,909	11,453	29,790
Denver	Colorado	7,852	9,187	10,577	27,617
Total		280,134	323,450	370,347	973,931

Source: IHS Global Inc.

Among counties, Los Angeles, CA and Cook County, IL experienced the greatest losses at over \$70 Million and \$42 Million respectively. In 2012, the city of Chicago was unable to collect over \$14 Million due to remote sales. Yet, this number represents only 26% of the total MSA losses recorded in the surrounding counties.

Comal County, Texas, part of the San Antonio metro, experienced the least amount of losses of any county recorded in our study at \$6,000; however, this number is forecasted to grow by nearly 15% by 2013.

Top Ten U.S. Counties - E-Commerce Tax Revenue Losses by Year

(\$000s)

State	Metropolitan Statistical Area	County	2011	2012	2013	Cumulative Total
California	Los Angeles	Los Angeles	70,807	82,473	95,265	248,545
Illinois	Chicago	Cook	42,002	48,523	55,547	146,071
Washington	Seattle	King	30,037	35,610	41,582	107,229
New York	New York	Westchester	25,997	30,210	35,213	91,419
Georgia	Atlanta	Fulton	23,623	27,416	31,559	82,598
Louisiana	Baton Rouge	East Baton Rouge	21,038	23,686	26,974	71,698
Arizona	Phoenix	Maricopa	19,639	23,010	26,896	69,544
Louisiana	New Orleans	Orleans	18,206	21,181	25,180	64,566
Louisiana	New Orleans	Jefferson	17,179	19,339	21,785	58,304
New York	Buffalo	Erie	16,043	18,348	21,091	55,482
Total			251,349	292,108	338,213	881,670

The full study results are organized by state in the following tables. For cities the results are organized by state. Study results for counties are organized by descending geographic region. To find a particular county or city, first locate the state in which the local jurisdiction resides. Listed under each state are the Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs), entities defined by the Office of Management and Budget for collecting, tabulating and publishing federal statistics. County-level data is found under the corresponding MSA. For example, when researching data for Dakota County in Minneapolis, one must first scroll to the Minnesota section and precede one geographic level down to the Minneapolis MSA. Dakota County data will be located under the state and MSA sub-headings. It should be noted: counties in MSAs that cross state lines can be found under the state in which they are located.

REVENUE LOSSES FROM E-COMMERCE - CITIES

State	City	Tax Revenue/Loss (\$000s)			Total
		2011	2012	2013	
Alabama	Birmingham	3,397	3,937	4,516	11,850
	Decatur	648	751	862	2,261
	Dothan	762	884	1,014	2,660
	Huntsville	2,522	2,923	3,353	8,799
	Montgomery	2,305	2,672	3,065	8,042
Arkansas	Fayetteville	574	669	768	2,012
	Ft. Smith	673	784	900	2,357
	Hot Springs	275	320	367	962
	Jonesboro	394	459	526	1,379
	Little Rock	755	880	1,010	2,646
	Pine Bluff	311	363	416	1,090
	Rogers	437	509	584	1,530
	Chandler	1,967	2,302	2,664	6,932
	Gilbert	1,736	2,032	2,351	6,120
Arizona	Mesa	4,149	4,857	5,620	14,626
	Phoenix	15,200	17,790	20,587	53,577
	Prescott	421	493	570	1,484
	Scottsdale	1,959	2,292	2,653	6,904
	Tucson	5,587	6,540	7,568	19,695
	Culver City	90	105	121	317
	Davis	181	211	242	634
	Inglewood	255	297	341	893
	Long Beach	1,046	1,221	1,399	3,667
California	Los Angeles	8,584	10,022	11,481	30,087
	Merced	218	254	291	763
	Oxnard	546	637	730	1,913
	Palm Springs	218	255	292	766
	Porterville	141	164	188	493
	Sacramento	1,211	1,414	1,620	4,245
	Salinas	415	484	555	1,455
	San Bernardino	281	328	376	984
	San Luis Obispo	117	137	157	411
	San Mateo	119	139	159	418
	San Rafael	142	165	189	496
	Santa Cruz	156	182	208	546
	Santa Monica	208	243	279	731
	Santa Rosa	423	494	566	1,483
	Stockton	358	418	478	1,253
	Tracy	215	251	288	755
	Vacaville	473	552	633	1,658
	Vallejo	593	693	794	2,079
	Visalia	166	194	223	583
	Vista	244	284	326	854
	Watsonville	68	80	92	240
	West Sacramento	134	157	180	471
	Colorado	Aurora	4,515	5,282	6,081
Colorado Springs		4,710	5,511	6,345	16,567
Colorado Springs		4,220	4,938	5,685	14,843
Denver		7,852	9,187	10,577	27,617
Fort Collins		2,263	2,647	3,048	7,958
Grand Junction		632	739	851	2,221

REVENUE LOSSES FROM E-COMMERCE - CITIES

State	City	Tax Revenue Loss (\$000s)			Total
		2011	2012	2013	
	Pueblo	1,512	1,770	2,037	5,319
Florida	Tallahassee	477	553	637	1,666
Georgia	Atlanta	2,175	2,534	2,913	7,622
Hawaii	Honolulu	1,526	1,787	2,058	5,371
Illinois	Chicago	14,536	16,859	19,236	50,630
Kansas	Kansas City	2,130	2,458	2,805	7,393
Minnesota	Duluth	440	514	588	1,542
	Minneapolis	1,042	1,218	1,395	3,655
	Rochester	291	340	389	1,020
	St. Cloud	179	210	240	629
	St. Paul	1,107	1,295	1,482	3,884
Missouri	Kansas City	4,435	5,142	5,886	15,463
	St. Louis	1,846	2,140	2,449	6,435
North Dakota	Bismark	450	549	639	1,639
	Fargo	724	883	1,028	2,634
	Grand Forks	340	414	482	1,236
Nebraska	Lincoln	1,770	2,058	2,325	6,154
	Omaha	2,801	3,258	3,681	9,740
New Mexico	Albuquerque	3,959	4,599	5,264	13,822
	Santa Fe	703	816	934	2,453
New York	New York City	179,401	205,730	235,072	620,203
	Yonkers	2,805	3,216	3,675	9,696
Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	9,290	10,873	12,499	32,662
	Tulsa	4,092	4,789	5,506	14,387
Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	9,425	10,950	12,517	32,891
Tennessee	Chattanooga	2,411	2,820	3,231	8,461
	Cleveland	724	847	971	2,542
	Knoxville	2,567	3,003	3,440	9,010
	Memphis	9,283	10,860	12,442	32,585
	Nashville	8,628	10,094	11,564	30,285
Texas	Arlington	2,548	3,113	3,598	9,358
	Austin	3,173	3,730	4,312	11,215
	Corpus Christi	1,685	1,981	2,289	5,955
	Dallas	9,507	11,177	12,919	33,603
	El Paso	2,606	3,064	3,541	9,211
	Fort Worth	2,976	3,498	4,044	10,517
	Garland	911	1,071	1,238	3,219
	Houston	8,429	9,909	11,453	29,790
	Irving	858	1,021	1,180	3,069
	Laredo	1,185	1,393	1,610	4,188
	Lubbock	1,382	1,625	1,879	4,886
	Piano	1,043	1,226	1,418	3,687
	San Antonio	6,087	7,156	8,272	21,515
Utah	Logan	205	242	281	728
	Ogden	353	415	482	1,252
	Orem	376	444	514	1,335
	Provo	462	545	631	1,638
	Salt Lake City	766	903	1,046	2,714
Virginia	Lynchburg	350	406	466	1,222
	Norfolk	1,124	1,304	1,498	3,926
	Richmond	788	914	1,050	2,751

REVENUE LOSSES FROM E-COMMERCE - CITIES

State	City	Tax Revenue Loss (\$000s)			Total
		2011	2012	2013	
	Roanoke	449	521	599	1,569
	Virginia Beach	2,027	2,352	2,702	7,081
Vermont	Burlington	259	302	346	907
Washington	Bellevue	1,421	1,672	1,925	5,017
	Bremerton	339	399	459	1,196
	Everett	1,112	1,308	1,506	3,926
	Longview	253	297	342	892
	Olympia	447	526	623	1,595
	Pullman	183	215	247	645
	Puyallup	420	494	569	1,483
	Seattle	707	831	958	2,496
	Spokane	1,195	1,406	1,619	4,220
	Tacoma	2,304	2,710	3,121	8,135
	Yakima	694	817	941	2,452
West Virginia	Huntington	253	295	336	884
Wyoming	Casper	509	592	678	1,779
	Cheyenne	912	1,061	1,214	3,187
		416,342	482,660	553,477	1,452,479

REVENUE LOSSES FROM E-COMMERCE - COUNTIES

State	MSA	County	Tax Revenue Loss (\$000s)					
			2011	2012	2013	Total		
Alabama	Birmingham	Biib	256	295	341	892		
		Blount	488	570	665	1,722		
		Chilton	236	276	321	834		
		Jefferson	8,782	10,039	11,457	30,278		
		St. Clair	827	979	1,155	2,960		
	Huntsville	Shelby	1,613	1,926	2,291	5,831		
		Walker	700	804	919	2,423		
		Linestone	865	1,015	1,197	3,078		
		Madison	1,445	1,653	1,918	5,016		
		Arkansas	Memphis	Crittenden	387	447	513	1,347
Arkansas	Little Rock	Faulkner	306	364	432	1,101		
		Grant	103	119	139	361		
		Lonoke	334	392	461	1,187		
		Perry	100	116	134	350		
		Pulaski	2,526	2,880	3,286	8,692		
		Arizona	Phoenix	Maricopa	19,639	23,010	26,896	69,544
		Arizona	Tucson	Pinal	1,802	2,168	2,642	6,611
Pima	3,437			3,982	4,621	12,040		
California	Los Angeles			70,807	82,473	95,265	248,545	
California	San Francisco	Marin	1,987	2,350	2,752	7,089		
		San Francisco	8,433	9,933	11,541	29,907		
		San Mateo	6,025	7,127	8,315	21,467		
		San Jose	14,422	17,076	19,734	51,232		
	San Diego	San Diego	9,192	10,751	12,498	32,441		
		Riverside	4,180	4,946	5,821	14,946		
	Sacramento	San Bernardino	3,886	4,520	5,212	13,618		
		Sacramento	3,403	3,957	4,577	11,937		
		Fresno	2,628	3,083	3,573	9,284		
	Colorado	Denver	Adams	2,239	2,612	3,029	7,881	
			Arapahoe	1,615	1,897	2,207	5,719	
			Clear Creek	91	105	121	316	
			Denver	5,576	6,440	7,380	19,396	
Douglas			3,621	4,398	5,314	13,334		
Elbert			193	230	269	692		
Jefferson			5,903	6,800	7,796	20,499		
Park			103	122	142	366		
Colorado Springs			El Paso	5,298	6,128	7,066	18,492	
			Teller	175	204	238	617	
Florida	Miami	Miami-Dade	12,662	14,696	17,000	44,358		
		Hernando	375	437	512	1,324		
		Hillsborough	6,329	7,406	8,656	22,391		
	Tampa	Pasco	1,950	2,273	2,656	6,879		
		Pinellas	5,203	6,020	6,933	18,156		
		Orlando	Lake	1,345	1,584	1,864	4,793	
			Orange	2,952	3,425	3,993	10,369	
	Jacksonville	Osceola	981	1,162	1,378	3,522		
		Baker	92	106	123	321		
		Clay	867	1,021	1,205	3,092		
Duval		4,638	5,309	6,108	16,056			
Nassau	437	517	609	1,564				

REVENUE LOSSES FROM E-COMMERCE - COUNTIES

State	MSA	County	Tax Revenue Loss (\$000s)			
			2011	2012	2013	Total
	North Port-Bradenton-Sarasota	Manatee	938	1,092	1,275	3,306
		Sarasota	2,726	3,160	3,653	9,538
Georgia	Atlanta	Barrow	937	1,094	1,273	3,304
		Bartlow	1,243	1,446	1,677	4,366
		Butts	277	324	375	975
		Carroll	1,407	1,616	1,857	4,880
		Cherokee	2,749	3,289	3,900	9,938
		Clayton	2,915	3,229	3,638	9,782
		Cobb	10,759	12,571	14,531	37,861
		Coweta	1,951	2,316	2,727	6,994
		Dawson	343	417	497	1,257
		De Kalb	12,407	14,294	16,374	43,075
		Douglas	1,739	2,029	2,366	6,134
		Fayette	1,675	1,981	2,329	5,985
		Forsyth	3,273	4,068	4,981	12,321
		Fulton	23,623	27,416	31,559	82,598
		Gwinnett	9,292	11,083	13,136	33,510
		Haralson	366	422	482	1,270
		Heard	133	152	174	458
		Henry	2,826	3,420	4,114	10,360
		Jasper	183	215	249	648
		Lamar	218	253	291	761
		Meriwether	262	300	340	901
		Newton	1,145	1,340	1,572	4,056
		Paulding	2,255	2,694	3,203	8,152
		Pickens	477	557	646	1,680
		Pike	194	227	264	685
		Rockdale	1,247	1,452	1,679	4,378
		Spalding	800	916	1,044	2,760
		Walton	1,262	1,478	1,719	4,459
	Chattanooga	Catoosa	841	989	1,148	2,978
		Dade	201	234	268	703
		Walker	829	960	1,104	2,893
Hawaii	Honolulu	Honolulu	4,707	5,473	6,321	16,501
Iowa	Omaha	Harrison	59	69	78	206
		Mills	67	79	90	235
		Pottawattamie	349	408	464	1,221
	Des Moines	Guthrie	42	47	53	142
		Madison	58	67	76	201
Illinois	Chicago	Cook	42,002	48,523	55,547	146,071
		Du Page	5,530	6,505	7,572	19,606
		Kane	1,609	1,884	2,205	5,699
		Kendall	460	558	678	1,696
		Mchenry	1,047	1,221	1,423	3,690
		Will	2,397	2,822	3,319	8,538
	St. Louis	Jersey	71	82	94	246
		Madison	420	480	546	1,446
		Monroe	44	52	61	157
		St. Clair	984	1,139	1,315	3,438
Kansas	Kansas City	Franklin	198	223	254	675

REVENUE LOSSES FROM E-COMMERCE - COUNTIES

State	MSA	County	Tax Revenue Loss (\$000s)					
			2011	2012	2013	Total		
Kansas	Wichita	Johnson	5,721	6,717	7,839	20,277		
		Leavenworth	427	501	585	1,513		
		Miami	256	294	339	889		
		Wyandotte	689	779	880	2,348		
		Butler	106	123	141	370		
		Harvey	354	406	461	1,221		
		Sedgwick	3,003	3,494	4,020	10,517		
		Sumner	76	87	98	261		
		Louisiana	New Orleans	Jefferson	17,179	19,339	21,785	58,304
		Orleans		18,206	21,181	25,180	64,566	
Plaquemines	982	1,147		1,268	3,397			
St. Bernard	1,664	1,898		2,241	5,803			
St. Charles	2,235	2,527		2,882	7,645			
St. John the Baptist	1,887	2,174		2,499	6,560			
St. Tammany	12,920	14,899		16,920	44,740			
Baton Rouge	Ascension	5,055		6,055	7,171	18,282		
	East Baton Rouge	21,038		23,686	26,974	71,698		
	East Feliciana	795		911	1,035	2,741		
	Iberville	1,281	1,449	1,610	4,340			
	Livingston	4,519	5,339	6,267	16,125			
	Pointe Coupee	854	989	1,131	2,975			
	St. Helena	438	506	579	1,523			
	West Baton Rouge	1,054	1,231	1,412	3,698			
Shreveport-Bossier City	West Feliciana	456	518	577	1,552			
	Bossier	4,911	5,767	6,725	17,403			
	Caddo	9,562	10,783	12,272	32,617			
	De Soto	908	1,074	1,246	3,228			
Lafayette	Lafayette	10,696	12,908	14,963	38,566			
	St. Martin	1,619	1,880	2,165	5,664			
Minnesota	Minneapolis	Dakota	588	691	802	2,081		
		Hennepin	3,310	3,868	4,453	11,630		
		Ramsey	735	847	967	2,549		
		Washington	376	446	518	1,340		
Missouri	St. Louis	Franklin	883	1,026	1,178	3,087		
		Jefferson	2,129	2,481	2,859	7,469		
		Lincoln	454	533	620	1,607		
		St. Charles	81	95	110	286		
		St. Louis	119	138	159	416		
		Warren	279	326	379	984		
		Washington	75	87	99	261		
		Kansas City	Bates	88	102	116	307	
Caldwell	99		114	129	341			
Cass	866		1,017	1,184	3,068			
Clay	1,334		1,578	1,833	4,745			
Clinton	119		139	161	420			
Jackson	5,231		6,018	6,850	18,100			
Lafayette	285		329	377	992			
Platte	831		988	1,162	2,981			
North Carolina	Charlotte	Ray	182	211	241	634		
		Anson	112	126	142	380		
		Cabarrus	1,115	1,307	1,524	3,945		

REVENUE LOSSES FROM E-COMMERCE - COUNTIES

State	MSA	County	Tax Revenue Loss (\$000s)			
			2011	2012	2013	Total
		Gaston	1,189	1,372	1,567	4,128
		Mecklenburg	8,749	10,293	11,997	31,039
		Union	1,194	1,415	1,672	4,281
	Virginia Beach	Currituck	155	179	209	543
	Raleigh	Franklin	299	347	401	1,046
		Johnston	943	1,105	1,289	3,337
		Wake	6,511	7,702	9,047	23,260
	Durham	Chatham	473	551	647	1,671
		Durham	1,958	2,330	2,712	7,001
		Orange	1,116	1,289	1,500	3,906
		Person	185	212	245	642
	Greensboro	Guilford	3,079	3,626	4,200	10,905
		Randolph	752	874	1,005	2,632
		Rockingham	469	537	609	1,614
	Winston-Salem	Davie	251	295	342	888
		Forsyth	2,214	2,562	2,940	7,716
		Stokes	233	270	311	814
		Yadkin	201	234	269	703
New Mexico	Albuquerque	Bernalillo	5,895	6,719	7,701	20,315
		Sandoval	1,258	1,493	1,772	4,522
		Torrance	167	194	224	585
		Valencia	695	810	941	2,446
Nevada	Las Vegas	Clark	14,546	16,811	19,552	50,909
	Reno Sparks	Storey	21	24	27	72
		Washoe	2,702	3,091	3,551	9,345
New York	Albany	Albany	5,602	6,336	7,248	19,186
		Rensselaer	2,427	2,790	3,210	8,427
		Saratoga	3,396	4,000	4,682	12,078
		Schenectady	2,581	2,955	3,391	8,926
		Schoharie	452	520	599	1,571
	Buffalo	Erie	16,043	18,348	21,091	55,482
		Niagara	2,950	3,393	3,903	10,246
	New York	Putnam	2,227	2,596	3,001	7,825
		Rockland	7,000	8,163	9,527	24,690
		Westchester	25,997	30,210	35,213	91,419
	Poughkeepsie	Dutchess	5,348	6,165	7,135	18,647
		Orange	6,005	6,946	8,027	20,979
	Rochester	Livingston	819	945	1,090	2,854
		Monroe	12,817	14,756	17,016	44,588
		Ontario	1,651	1,926	2,247	5,823
		Orleans	497	569	652	1,718
		Wayne	1,323	1,532	1,773	4,628
	Syracuse	Madison	977	1,142	1,329	3,448
		Onondaga	7,530	8,590	9,867	25,988
		Oswego	1,489	1,721	1,984	5,194
Ohio	Cleveland	Cuyahoga	10,127	11,671	13,347	35,145
		Geauga	451	530	618	1,599
		Lake	1,093	1,283	1,487	3,863
		Lorain	833	978	1,136	2,947
		Medina	682	811	953	2,446
	Cincinnati	Brown	184	216	250	650

REVENUE LOSSES FROM E-COMMERCE - COUNTIES

State	MSA	County	Tax Revenue Loss (\$000s)				
			2011	2012	2013	Total	
Ohio	Columbus	Butler	1,033	1,214	1,404	3,650	
		Clermont	707	838	982	2,527	
		Hamilton	3,692	4,292	4,916	12,900	
		Warren	891	1,070	1,272	3,233	
		Delaware	1,176	1,429	1,718	4,323	
		Fairfield	477	555	639	1,672	
		Franklin	5,435	6,345	7,323	19,103	
		Licking	812	944	1,089	2,846	
		Madison	169	196	225	589	
		Morrow	137	159	182	478	
		Pickaway	234	270	308	811	
		Union	210	241	273	725	
		Greene	621	740	865	2,227	
		Miami	433	505	583	1,521	
		Montgomery	2,715	3,109	3,532	9,356	
		Akron	Preble	179	208	239	625
Portage	681		798	926	2,405		
Summit	2,182		2,527	2,901	7,610		
Toledo	Fulton	199	232	268	699		
	Lucas	1,817	2,095	2,395	6,307		
	Ottawa	189	220	254	663		
	Wood	446	523	607	1,576		
Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	Canadian	316	377	448	1,141	
		Cleveland	473	561	663	1,697	
		Grady	171	201	233	605	
		Lincoln	271	314	361	946	
		Logan	174	200	229	604	
		McClain	148	176	207	531	
		Allegany	4,951	5,760	6,628	17,339	
South Carolina	Charlotte	York	797	930	1,087	2,814	
		Columbia	Calhoun	52	60	69	182
			Fairfield	68	78	88	233
			Kershaw	213	246	284	743
		Lexington	981	1,166	1,370	3,517	
		Richland	1,433	1,668	1,919	5,020	
		Saluda	69	81	93	243	
		Charleston	Berkeley	1,065	1,241	1,449	3,754
			Charleston	2,669	3,127	3,623	9,419
			Dorchester	463	545	643	1,651
Greenville	Laurens	203	231	262	696		
	Pickens	349	402	461	1,213		
	Cannon	138	159	182	480		
Tennessee	Nashville	Cheatham	488	564	646	1,698	
		Davidson	11,805	13,656	15,630	41,091	
		Dickson	173	200	230	603	
		Hickman	359	429	502	1,290	
		Macon	593	691	794	2,079	
		Robertson	407	481	557	1,444	
		Rutherford	852	996	1,143	2,990	
		Smith	1,333	1,589	1,869	4,791	
Sumner	165	191	217	573			

REVENUE LOSSES FROM E-COMMERCE - COUNTIES

State	MSA	County	Tax Revenue Loss (\$000s)			
			2011	2012	2013	Total
		Trousdale	2,344	2,744	3,179	8,267
		Williamson	393	457	525	1,375
		Wilson	267	315	365	947
	Memphis	Fayette	663	784	917	2,363
		Shelby	15,189	17,784	20,455	53,428
		Tipton	823	970	1,131	2,925
	Knoxville	Anderson	1,245	1,462	1,665	4,371
		Blount	1,491	1,755	2,033	5,279
		Knox	6,592	7,770	8,997	23,359
		Loudon	57	66	75	199
		Union	2,175	2,532	2,915	7,622
	Chattanooga	Hamilton	5,337	6,253	7,261	18,851
		Marion	410	477	553	1,440
		Sequatchie	176	206	241	623
Texas	Houston	Austin	64	73	84	221
		Brazoria	767	905	1,057	2,730
		Liberty	163	189	218	570
		San Jacinto	54	64	75	193
	Dallas	Delta	10	11	13	34
		Hunt	172	196	223	591
	Austin	Bastrop	135	157	183	475
		Caldwell	63	73	84	220
		Hays	332	398	474	1,204
	San Antonio	Atascosa	85	100	117	302
		Bandera	64	73	84	221
		Comal	6	7	9	22
		Guadalupe	317	373	430	1,120
		Kendall	25	29	33	87
		Medina	76	87	99	261
	El Paso	El Paso	1,519	1,796	2,096	5,411
Utah	Provo	Utah	3,544	4,187	4,914	12,645
	Salt Lake City	Salt Lake	10,971	12,919	14,995	38,885
		Summit	514	622	739	1,875
		Tooele	296	355	420	1,071
Virginia	Washington	Arlington	1,827	2,137	2,481	6,445
		Clarke	61	71	82	214
		Fauquier	361	425	499	1,285
		Loudoun	1,830	2,185	2,589	6,604
		Stafford	574	678	798	2,051
		Warren	150	173	200	524
		Alexandria City	1,107	1,291	1,507	3,905
		Fairfax /City/Falls Church	7,907	9,162	10,649	27,718
		Prince William	2,171	2,576	3,055	7,802
		Spotsylvania	493	573	668	1,734
	Virginia Beach	Gloucester	147	172	198	517
		Isle of Wright	152	177	205	534
		Matthews	48	56	65	169
		Surry	26	31	35	92
		Chesapeake	37	43	49	129
		Hampton	155	177	201	533
		Newport News	150	173	200	524

REVENUE LOSSES FROM E-COMMERCE - COUNTIES

State	MSA	County	Tax Revenue Loss (\$000s)			
			2011	2012	2013	Total
		Norfolk	67	78	90	235
		Portsmouth	89	103	118	311
		Suffolk	551	626	710	1,886
		Virginia Beach	638	728	830	2,191
		James City	115	132	151	398
		York	302	348	400	1,050
Washington	Seattle	King	30,037	35,610	41,582	107,229
		Snohomish	7,059	8,264	9,631	24,954
Wisconsin	Milwaukee	Milwaukee	2,256	2,593	2,961	7,810
		Ozaukee	313	370	431	1,113
		Washington	358	421	490	1,270
		Waukesha	236	278	322	836
	Madison	Columbia	119	136	156	411
		Dane	1,196	1,391	1,613	4,200
		Iowa	46	52	59	156
Wyoming	Casper	Natrona	784	916	1,056	2,756
	Cheyenne	Laramie	1,431	1,669	1,935	5,034
			881,671	1,026,198	1,187,914	3,095,783

**National Association
of Counties**

25 Massachusetts Avenue NW,
Suite 500
Washington DC 20001
Tel: 202.393.4226
Fax: 202.393.2670
naco.org

National League of Cities

1301 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 500
Washington DC 20004
Tel: 1.877.827.2305
nlc.org

**The United States
Conference of Mayors**

1620 Eye Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
Tel: 202.293.7300
Fax: 202.293.2352
usmayors.org

IMS Global Knight

Call us at 1.844.411.4663

10000 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 1000
Beverly Hills, CA 90210

www.ims-global.com

IMS Global Analytics

Call us at 1.844.411.4663

10000 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 1000

Beverly Hills, CA 90210

www.ims-global.com

IMS Global Research

Call us at 1.844.411.4663

10000 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 1000
Beverly Hills, CA 90210

www.ims-global.com

IMS Global

Call us at 1.844.411.4663

10000 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 1000

Beverly Hills, CA 90210

www.ims-global.com



Gene Hill
4057 Saddlewood Rd.
Elizabeth, CO 80107
720.663.1270

June 26, 2013

Englewood City Council
1000 Englewood Parkway
Englewood, CO 80110

Ref: Englewood Depot

Dear Council Members:

To my total dismay, I read in the Denver Post that consideration is being given to the use of this fantastic building for something other than a railroad-oriented purpose. The objectives of the EHS (of which I'm not a member) are perfectly fitted to the Depot.

The countless numbers of rail fans in Colorado alone would ensure a significant number of visitors. Add to that the incredible number of their peers throughout the rest of the country with an equal interest and you now have a very important attraction in your town. And if you think there is enough "railroad" here already, please remind yourself of just how rich and colorful the railroad history is in this state. Given that fact there is no such thing as "enough."

What do you think interests people more, the Iron Horse or a printing press? This should be a no-brainer decision for the Council.

Sincerely,

Gene Hill

Copy: Englewood Historical Society



C I T Y O F E N G L E W O O D
C O M M U N I T Y D E V E L O P M E N T

July 1, 2013

Dear Englewood Light Rail Corridor Plan Participant:

This letter has been sent to inform you of the upcoming public hearing to be held in front of the Englewood Planning and Zoning Commission concerning the Englewood Light Rail Corridor Plan. The Planning and Zoning Commission public hearing affords citizens the opportunity to express support for or concerns about the final plan.

The public hearing will take place at the Englewood Civic Center City Council Chambers, 1000 Englewood Parkway, 2nd floor, at 7:00 PM on the evening of July 16, 2013.

Please attend the public hearing in order to show your support for the plan or to express your concerns.

Sincerely,

John Voboril, AICP
Planner II
Englewood Community Development Department



M E M O R A N D U M
C O M M U N I T Y D E V E L O P M E N T

TO: Mayor Penn and City Council

THROUGH: Gary Sears, City Manager

FROM: Alan White, Community Development Director ✓

DATE: July 2, 2013

SUBJECT: Navajo Apartments PUD

After this item was pulled from the Council's agenda on July 1, 2013, several Council members inquired about the reason for pulling it. The applicants have a multi-family project under construction in another state that is consuming their time and it was going to be difficult for them to attend the hearing later this month. They asked that we delay the approval process for approximately two months.

**CITY OF ENGLEWOOD
2013 CALENDAR OF EVENTS**



Thurs., July 4		City Hall closed — Independence Day
Mon., July 8	6:00 p.m.	Study Session, Community Room
Tue., July 9	5:00 p.m.	Water and Sewer Board, Comm. Dev. Conference Room
	6:30 p.m.	Keep Englewood Beautiful, Council Conference Room
	7:00 p.m.	Library Board, Library Board Room
Wed., July 10	7:00 p.m.	Board of Adjustment and Appeals, Council Chambers
	6:30 p.m.	Englewood Urban Renewal Authority, City Council Conference Room
Thurs., July 11	11:30 a.m.	Alliance for Commerce, City Council Conference Room
	5:30 p.m.	Parks and Recreation Commission, Northwest Greenbelt
	6:30 p.m.	Transportation Advisory Board, City Council Conf. Rm.
Mon., July 15	6:00 p.m.	Study Session, Community Room
	7:30 p.m.	Council Meeting, Council Chambers
Tues., July 16	7:00 p.m.	Planning and Zoning, City Council Conf. Room/Council Chambers
Wed., July 17	6:30 p.m.	Code Enforcement Advisory Board, City Council Conf. Rm.
	7:00 p.m.	Local Liquor and Medical Marijuana Licensing Authority, City Council Chambers
Mon., July 22	6:00 p.m.	Study Session, Community Room
Wed., July 24	6:30 p.m.	Englewood Urban Renewal Authority, City Council Conference Room
Mon., July 29	Cancelled	Study Session
Mon., Aug. 5	6:00 p.m.	Study Session, Community Room
	7:30 p.m.	Council Meeting, Council Chambers
Tues., Aug. 6	7:00 p.m.	Planning and Zoning, City Council Conf. Room/Council Chambers

Wed., Aug. 7	4:00 p.m.	Englewood Housing Authority Board Meeting, Housing Authority Board Room
	5:45 p.m.	Cultural Arts Commission, City Council Conference Room
	7:00 p.m.	Local Liquor and Medical Marijuana Licensing Authority, City Council Chambers
Thurs., Aug. 8	11:30 a.m.	Alliance for Commerce, City Council Conference Room
	3:00 p.m.	Police Officers Pension Plan Bd., Public Works Conf. Rm.
	3:30 p.m.	Firefighters Pension Plan Board, Public Works Conf. Rm.
	5:30 p.m.	Parks and Recreation Commission, Pirates Cove Aquatic Center
Mon., Aug. 12	6:00 p.m.	Study Session, Community Room
Tues., Aug. 13	5:00 p.m.	Water and Sewer Board, Comm. Dev. Conference Room
	6:30 p.m.	Keep Englewood Beautiful, Council Conference Room
	7:00 p.m.	Library Board, Library Board Room
Wed., Aug. 14	7:00 p.m.	Board of Adjustment and Appeals, Council Chambers
	6:30 p.m.	Englewood Urban Renewal Authority, Community Development Conference Room
Mon., Aug. 19	6:00 p.m.	Study Session, Community Room
	7:30 p.m.	Council Meeting, Council Chambers
Tues., Aug. 20	3:00 p.m.	NonEmergency Employees Retirement Plan Board, Public Works Conference Room
	7:00 p.m.	Planning and Zoning, City Council Conf. Room/Council Chambers
Wed., Aug. 21	7:00 p.m.	Local Liquor and Medical Marijuana Licensing Authority, City Council Chambers
Mon., Aug. 26	6:00 p.m.	Study Session, Community Room
Mon., Sept. 2		City Hall closed — Labor Day
Tues., Sept. 3	6:00 p.m.	Study Session, Community Room
	7:30 p.m.	Council Meeting, Council Chambers
Wed., Sept. 4	4:00 p.m.	Englewood Housing Authority Board Meeting, Housing Authority Board Room

TENTATIVE

**STUDY SESSIONS TOPICS
FOR ENGLEWOOD CITY COUNCIL**



July 15	Study Session & Regular Meeting 2014 Proposed Budget & Midyear Budget Report Financial Report Distribution of BID Assets Board and Commission Reports
July 22	Study Session Executive Session – Real Estate (EMRF and Depot) Breweries and Distilleries
July 29	No meeting scheduled – 5 th Monday
August 5	Study Session & Regular Meeting Fire Department Plan and Permit Fees
August 12	Study Session
August 19	Study Session & Regular Meeting Financial Report Board and Commission Reports
August 26	Study Session 2014 Proposed Budget
September 3	Study Session & Regular Meeting (Tuesday) Metro Fire Training Center Options
September 9	Study Session 2014 Proposed Budget
September 16	Study Session & Regular Meeting Financial Report Board and Commission Reports
September 23	Study Session 2014 Proposed Budget Workshop

September 30	No meeting scheduled – 5 th Monday
October 7	Study Session & Regular Meeting
October 14	Study Session
October 21	Study Session & Regular Meeting Financial Report Aid To Other Agencies
October 28	Study Session Board and Commission Reports
November 4	Study Session & Regular Meeting Board and Commission Members Reappointment Discussion
November 11	Study Session - Veteran's Day Holiday – no meeting scheduled
November 18	Study Session & Regular Meeting New Council Swearing-In Ceremony @ Regular Meeting
November 25	Study Session Board and Commission Reports
December 2	Study Session & Regular Meeting City Council's participation on Boards/Commissions

FUTURE STUDY SESSION TOPICS

Water Conservation Plan
Citizen Engagement/Mindmixer
Public Comment Process
Nextdoor Network
Gun Legislation/Community Safety
Security Camera Update
DRT Event Process
Englewood Chamber Event Fees