

**ENGLEWOOD CITY COUNCIL  
ENGLEWOOD, ARAPAHOE COUNTY, COLORADO**

**Regular Session  
September 19, 2011**

A permanent set of these minutes and the audio are maintained in the City Clerk's Office.  
Minutes and streaming audios are also available on the web at:  
<http://www.englewoodgov.org/Index.aspx?page=999>

**1. Call to Order**

The regular meeting of the Englewood City Council was called to order by Mayor Woodward at 7:39 p.m.

**2. Invocation**

The invocation was given by Council Member McCaslin.

**3. Pledge of Allegiance**

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Council Member McCaslin.

**4. Roll Call**

Present: Council Members Jefferson, Olson, Penn, Gillit, McCaslin, Wilson, Woodward  
Absent: None

A quorum was present.

Also present: City Attorney Brotzman  
Deputy City Manager Flaherty  
City Clerk Ellis  
Deputy City Clerk Bush  
Director Gryglewicz, Finance and Administrative Services  
Director White, Community Development  
Director Fonda, Utilities  
Engineer IV Brennan, Utilities  
Traffic Engineer Vostry, Public Works  
Engineering/Capital Projects Administrator Henderson, Public Works  
Police Office Caskey  
Police Commander Englert

**5. Consideration of Minutes of Previous Session**

(a) **COUNCIL MEMBER GILLIT MOVED, AND COUNCIL MEMBER McCASLIN SECONDED, TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 6, 2011.**

**Vote results:**

Ayes: Council Members Penn, McCaslin, Wilson, Woodward, Jefferson, Olson, Gillit  
Nays: None

Motion carried.

**6. Recognition of Scheduled Public Comment**

There were no scheduled visitors.

**7. Recognition of Unscheduled Public Comment**

(a) Scott Neff, an Englewood resident and an Englewood High School student, discussed the past history of the Englewood High School Homecoming parade contributions made by the City and he requested a donation from the City again to help fund this year's event.

(b) Doug Cohn, an Englewood resident, spoke regarding an Open Records request he made and how he appreciated the detail that he was provided in response. He was questioning the City Manager's office and staff regarding their expenses and how the records request details did not add up. He believes the personnel functions were not included in the response he received. He wondered how much in salary, benefits, perks, cell phones, credit cards, gas and cars and stuff does City Manager Sears have as a part of his budget?

Council Response to Public Comment.

**COUNCIL MEMBER GILLIT MOVED, AND COUNCIL MEMBER OLSON SECONDED, TO APPROVE A \$400.00 DONATION TO ENGLEWOOD HIGH SCHOOL FOR THEIR HOMECOMING PARADE.**

**Vote results:**

Ayes: Council Members Penn, McCaslin, Wilson, Woodward, Jefferson, Olson, Gillit  
Nays: None

Motion carried.

**8. Communications, Proclamations and Appointments**

(a) A proclamation declaring October as Conflict Resolution Month was considered.

**COUNCIL MEMBER WILSON MOVED, AND COUNCIL MEMBER McCASLIN SECONDED, TO ACCEPT A PROCLAMATION DECLARING OCTOBER AS CONFLICT RESOLUTION MONTH.**

**Vote results:**

Ayes: Council Members Penn, McCaslin, Wilson, Woodward, Jefferson, Olson, Gillit  
Nays: None

Motion carried.

Senator Linda Newell accepted the proclamation and read it in full.

**9. Consent Agenda**

**COUNCIL MEMBER WILSON MOVED, AND COUNCIL MEMBER PENN SECONDED, TO APPROVE CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS 9 (a) (i), (ii) and (iii).**

(a) Approval of Ordinances on First Reading

(i) COUNCIL BILL NO. 58, INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL MEMBER WILSON

A BILL FOR AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 3, CHAPTER 4, OF THE ENGLEWOOD MUNICIPAL CODE 2000, ENTITLED "CITY OF ENGLEWOOD NONEMERGENCY EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT PLAN AND TRUST AS AMENDED AND RESTATED EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2008".

(ii) COUNCIL BILL NO. 66, INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL MEMBER WILSON

A BILL FOR AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING AMENDMENTS TO THE CITY OF ENGLEWOOD POLICE OFFICERS PENSION PLAN DOCUMENT (THE PLAN).

(iii) COUNCIL BILL NO. 67, INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL MEMBER WILSON

A BILL FOR AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING AMENDMENTS TO THE CITY OF ENGLEWOOD FIREFIGHTERS PENSION PLAN DOCUMENT (THE PLAN).

**Vote results:**

Ayes: Council Members Penn, McCaslin, Wilson, Woodward, Jefferson, Olson, Gillit  
Nays: None

Motion carried.

(b) Approval of Ordinances on Second Reading

There were no items submitted for approval on second reading.

(c) Resolutions and Motions

There were no resolutions or motions submitted for approval.

10. **Public Hearing Items**

Mayor Woodward explained that we have seven Public Hearings scheduled tonight. After the first Public Hearing, regarding notices that developers are required to give our citizens when they are proposing a project, we are going to take a short break and, he said, then he would call the next six ordinances together. Those six ordinances are recommendations that our Code Enforcement Advisory Committee has been working on recently, and for a number of years, and Council has had a number of Study Sessions going over them. The Planning and Zoning Commission also reviewed these ordinances and made recommendations. He thanked our citizen boards for all the time and effort they put into this. He noted there will be a question on the November ballot concerning parking of vehicles on private property. He said, speaking for myself, I will be voting no and urge you to do the same. I think what the citizens on the boards have proposed are well thought out and reasonable for an urban city. This process works better for all of our citizens. He thanked the citizens for being here tonight to give input. We are all neighbors and I'm happy you have joined us to discuss these important issues. He urged citizens to read the ballot question very carefully. He discussed the specifics of the ballot question.

Council Member Gillit expressed his objection to Mayor Woodward discussing the ballot question tonight. He felt it was not the proper time and opined it is sort of electioneering.

Mayor Woodward discussed the ground rules to be observed during the Public Hearings.

(a) A Public Hearing to gather input on Council Bill No. 54, amending sections of the Englewood Municipal Code pertaining to public notice required for Planned Unit Developments.

**COUNCIL MEMBER OLSON MOVED, AND COUNCIL MEMBER WILSON SECONDED, TO OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR AGENDA ITEM 10 (a), COUNCIL BILL NO. 54, AMENDING SECTIONS OF THE ENGLEWOOD MUNICIPAL CODE PERTAINING TO PUBLIC NOTICE REQUIRED FOR PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENTS.**

**Vote results:**

Ayes: Council Members Penn, McCaslin, Wilson, Woodward, Jefferson, Olson, Gillit  
Nays: None

Motion carried and the Public Hearing opened.

All witnesses were duly sworn.

Community Development Director White presented information regarding amendments to Title 16 regarding mailed public notices for different types of development applications: re-zonings, major subdivisions and telecommunications conditioned uses. Director White noted that on first reading that Council made two

amendments. One is to increase the radius of the notification from 500 feet, as recommended by Planning and Zoning, to 1000 feet, and changed the wording of the Council Bill No. 54 to require notification to not only land owners of record, but also occupants in that 1000 foot radius area. Deadline notification has been standardized to 10 days. Mailed public notices will be required for all Planning and Zoning Commission public hearings for all re-zonings, in addition to the notification for the required neighborhood meeting, which is new if this passes. 180 days will now be the maximum requirement between the required neighborhood meeting and the Planning and Zoning Commission Public Hearing. Another neighborhood meeting is required if that 180 days is exceeded. This ordinance will also complete some housekeeping and clean up in the Title. The applicants will be responsible for putting together the mailing lists, the actual mailing and incur the costs of the associated mailing.

Pat Bailey, an Englewood resident, spoke regarding putting rules on RV's. She believes that Council should enforce the rules that they already have in place before adding more. She does not believe Code Enforcement is responsive to the citizens' needs and that they do not understand the current ordinances. Ms. Bailey thinks that the people who have the RV's have worked very hard for them and they should be allowed to park them on their property.

**COUNCIL MEMBER GILLIT MOVED, AND COUNCIL MEMBER OLSON SECONDED, TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR AGENDA ITEM 10 (a), COUNCIL BILL NO. 54, AMENDING SECTIONS OF THE ENGLEWOOD MUNICIPAL CODE PERTAINING TO PUBLIC NOTICE REQUIRED FOR PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENTS.**

**Vote results:**

Ayes: Council Members Penn, McCaslin, Wilson, Woodward, Jefferson, Olson, Gillit  
Nays: None

Motion carried and the Public Hearing closed.

Mayor Woodward clarified that this Public Hearing was for Council Bill No. 54 only, which has to do with distancing for public notices. He advised Ms. Bailey, that even though she spoke at the Public Hearing regarding public notice, instead of the Public Hearing regarding RV's, her testimony will be considered for those hearings.

\* \* \* \* \*

The meeting recessed at 8:18 p.m. to allow people the opportunity to sign up to speak for the other six public hearings, if they haven't already.

The meeting reconvened at 8:27 p.m. with all City Council members present.

\* \* \* \* \*

THE FOLLOWING SIX PUBLIC HEARINGS WERE HELD SIMULTANEOUSLY SO INPUT COULD BE GATHERED ON ALL PROPOSED CODE REVISIONS:

**COUNCIL MEMBER WILSON MOVED, AND COUNCIL MEMBER McCASLIN SECONDED, TO OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR AGENDA ITEMS 10 (b) THROUGH 10 (g), TO GATHER INPUT ON COUNCIL BILL NO. 48, COUNCIL BILL NO. 49, COUNCIL BILL NO.50, COUNCIL BILL NO. 51, COUNCIL BILL NO. 52 AND COUNCIL BILL NO. 53.**

**Vote results:**

Ayes: Council Members Penn, McCaslin, Wilson, Woodward, Jefferson, Olson, Gillit  
Nays: None

Motion carried and the Public Hearing opened.

(b) A Public Hearing to gather input on Council Bill No. 48, amending sections of the Englewood Municipal Code pertaining to sight lines.

(c) A Public Hearing to gather input on Council Bill No. 49, amending sections of the Englewood Municipal Code pertaining to properties without access to rear and side yards.

(d) A Public Hearing to gather input on Council Bill No. 50, amending sections of the Englewood Municipal Code pertaining to vehicle weight limits.

(e) A Public Hearing to gather input on Council Bill No. 51, amending sections of the Englewood Municipal Code pertaining to parking for recreational vehicles.

(f) A Public Hearing to gather input on Council Bill No. 52, amending sections of the Englewood Municipal Code pertaining to 72-hour parking.

(g) A Public Hearing to gather input on Council Bill No. 53, amending sections of the Englewood Municipal Code pertaining to hard surfaces.

All witnesses were duly sworn.

Commander Englert presented the history of the six proposed Council Bills as to what is allowed and what is not allowed. There appeared to be confusion among citizens, as well as Code Enforcement officers, as to what the Code was directing. Council asked that the Code Enforcement Advisory Committee provide recommendations to alleviate this confusion. As Title 16 was involved, the Planning and Zoning Commission as well as the Board of Adjustment and Appeals were also involved in providing recommendations.

Ed Burch, an Englewood resident, spoke regarding the oath of office when accepting the City Council position which should have included a statement that said "to respect the rights of the citizens of Englewood" and he wondered what has happened to that?

Don Bennett, an Englewood resident, discussed the crushed hard rock driveway and his RV. He acknowledged the hard work involved, but believes there is a discrepancy with the weight limits being stated and the lengths. He would like Council to consider the length of longtime residents and have a grandfather clause in place for them.

Walt Perry, an Englewood resident, said we went through this RV weight limits several years ago, much to his own personal cost. He wondered if City Council is trying to run people out of Englewood.

Glorie Simons, an Englewood resident, said there are a lot of things that have been passed that we don't know. She questioned the weight and length being allowed for motorhomes.

Adrian Pyle, an Englewood resident, read the proposed changes and it appears to him that this is just for clarification purposes and minor changes to the existing Code. He believes that there is a lot of misunderstanding going around creating fear. He thinks that needs to be alleviated. He is thankful that these changes are something that he can live with.

Kathleen Bailey, an Englewood resident, reviewed the new ordinances and thinks that RV's are still protected, as they were when she purchased her house. She believes these ordinances are a balancing act to the initiative 2D on the ballot this year. There is a petition of 700 signatures for this initiative, which requests no restrictions. Her concerns are that Code Enforcement has failed to enforce the existing Code, thus causing recreational vehicles being parked in her neighborhood. The unintended consequences result in safety issues, street congestion, aesthetics, and encroachment on other neighbors' property.

Cynthia Searfoss, an Englewood resident, expressed her concern regarding the lack of enforcement of the current Code. She thinks the Code is being enforced, not universally however, as it is up to the citizens to complain and then maybe some action might occur. There are other problems as well that are not being enforced. The RV neighbors are not the ones who don't mow their lawn, let the trash build up, or who have junk everywhere. If the new rules are not being justly enforced like the old ones aren't, it isn't fair to anyone, especially our retirees.

Mark Schwertzer, an Englewood resident, pointed out the discrepancies. The weight limits are incorrect. The City has a problem with eminent domain and infringement upon personal rights. Fencing codes are six foot and that makes it difficult to screen in a ten foot vehicle. For properties required to have a legal cut and driveway...this is difficult for older homes.

Samantha Alvarez, an Englewood resident, stated that under her driveway is the City Ditch and she is limited to what she can do with hard surfaces and that building is restricted.

Ed Rodgers, an Englewood resident, has watched the laws change over the years. He received a notice from the Code Enforcement officer regarding his RV. He put in a parking space and now he thinks he can't park in front of his house. Mr. Rogers believes that there is a conflict in several of the sections.

C. J. Yarrnon, an Englewood resident, said she would not be able to keep her RV and that would affect the revenue as there wouldn't be taxes, as she won't be paying for tags.

Jay Peters, an Englewood resident, said put in concrete and weight limits for Eagle Waste disposal. The rest of the trash companies use smaller trucks. He gave a compliment for tarring the streets. Alleys are not concrete, but that appears to be okay as they have dirt, crushed rock and mud. He thinks this is directed against RV owners.

Sandi Butler, an Englewood resident, agreed with the last speaker and doesn't believe that anyone on City Council has an RV. Code Enforcement has told her that they can't enforce all of the Code; that they don't have time. They have a boat in their backyard, because they applied for a carport, but were denied because it didn't match the other neighborhood structures. This is becoming very difficult and people should be allowed to use their personal property the way they want to, on their private property. We are not a covenant area.

Jack Grogan, an Englewood resident, has attended several RV meetings. They signed petitions and it is on the general ballot. He doesn't understand why we are wasting valuable time on this. He hopes that every one of these people, if these ordinances pass, stores their recreational vehicle in another county so that that county would receive the benefit of the taxes.

Irene Barlow, an Englewood resident, installed a solid driveway in their front yard for their RV, at their personal cost. None of their neighbors have complained.

Herman Ray Barlow, an Englewood resident, said had it not been for the motorhome, they wouldn't need a driveway. They are limited in yardage. There is a drainage ditch that runs under the backyard so they can't do anything there. The people in Englewood should be allowed to use their property as they see fit; if it isn't an eyesore, doesn't hurt the neighbors or is not unreasonable. People in Englewood live here or moved here because of the relaxed atmosphere. We don't want the cookie-cutter houses like Highlands Ranch or Cherry Creek. It would pose a hardship if they couldn't have their RV's on their own property and had to pay for storage as well as the inconvenience. We have enough regulations. We haven't had any complaints. His motorhome sits back in between some trees and is somewhat hidden.

Laurett Barrentine, an Englewood resident, said she didn't appreciate the grandstanding at the beginning or cramming six public hearings together. She read the Littleton ordinance. She talked to Littleton Mayor Doug Clark and he said the Council who passed this are no longer on that Council. You don't have the right to take my personal right away from the first ten feet on my property. She thanked Council Member Gillit for pointing out the grandstanding. She showed the petition signatures and stated that they had sufficient signatures for the ballot initiative. She said they had 1000 signatures.

Mike Cravens, an Englewood resident, moved to Englewood because of his RV and it is parked in the front of his house. He can put in a pad in the back. He is concerned that the rules might change again and that if he does that, would he not be in compliance? Most people are on fixed income. He doesn't see where this is going or why. He questioned if the ordinances are going to keep changing. He thinks there should be a grandfather clause for existing citizens.

Doug Cohn, an Englewood resident, said three things: grandfathering is in there unless you put in a garage, build a fence, or add an addition to your house. The initiative in the fall is one paragraph. The question is that are we citizens responsible enough to take care of our own stuff or do we need Council to give us rules? Third point, Council Member McCaslin used a cuss word and a derogatory word to me the last time we spoke about this and he should apologize.

Jack Ridley, an Englewood resident, said instead of passing more laws, how about enforcing the laws we already have? He is concerned about the changing laws. He did a survey in his neighborhood and there is no way, with the recommended setback, that RV owners could be in compliance. He does not want a covenant community like Highlands Ranch. These appear to be more covenant rulings instead of zoning rulings. Grandfather clauses are good for the people now. but not for future homeowners. As neighbors we can police ourselves. Eyesores can be taken care of by neighbors handling it themselves.

Bryan Oliver, an Englewood resident, said he does not own any recreational vehicle. He has a problem, as a property owner, with private property/covenant issues being aired and shoved down their throats. He thinks Council should wait until after the election to see what the people want. He is private property owner and wonders what gives the Council the right to tell him what to do with it? He is appalled with the Mayor telling him how he intends to vote.

Matthew Crabtree, an Englewood resident, said this is not the first time this has been brought before City Council. The initiative re-enforces and protects our property rights as they are right now or as they are not being enforced. It is possible that the City of Englewood is installing covenant sight triangles and vehicle weight restrictions; more so than Highlands Ranch. Safety issues in the safety clause are just an excuse. Four Council members have spoken against the aesthetics of parking RV's and using the safety issues as the reason. No one has been hurt because an RV was parked in their driveway or up to the curb.

**COUNCIL MEMBER WILSON MOVED, AND COUNCIL MEMBER PENN SECONDED, TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR AGENDA ITEMS 10 (b) THROUGH 10 (g), TO GATHER INPUT ON COUNCIL BILL NO. 48, COUNCIL BILL NO. 49, COUNCIL BILL NO.50, COUNCIL BILL NO. 51, COUNCIL BILL NO. 52 AND COUNCIL BILL NO. 53.**

**Vote results:**

Ayes: Council Members Penn, McCaslin, Wilson, Woodward, Jefferson, Olson, Gillit  
Nays: None

Motion carried and the Public Hearing closed.

[Clerk's note: The Public Hearing was re-opened as two citizens who had signed up to speak were, inadvertently, not allowed the opportunity during the open Public Hearings.]

**COUNCIL MEMBER GILLIT MOVED, AND COUNCIL MEMBER JEFFERSON SECONDED, TO RE-OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR AGENDA ITEMS 10 (b) THROUGH 10 (g), TO GATHER INPUT ON COUNCIL BILL NO. 48, COUNCIL BILL NO. 49, COUNCIL BILL NO.50, COUNCIL BILL NO. 51, COUNCIL BILL NO. 52 AND COUNCIL BILL NO. 53.**

**Vote results:**

Ayes: Council Members Penn, McCaslin, Wilson, Woodward, Jefferson, Olson, Gillit  
Nays: None

Motion carried and the Public Hearing opened.

All witnesses were duly sworn.

Howard Radin, an Englewood resident, said he is self-employed and owns and works out of a Class A motorhome, like the bookmobile. He moved to Englewood because the streets are wider. He hasn't received any complaints from his neighbors. This would cause undue hardship if he had to park it elsewhere and he would seriously reconsider relocating.

Barbara Petersen, an Englewood resident, spoke about business vehicles and how this is not clear in the rules and regulations and it should be. The grandfathering laws also need to be very clear. She thinks it is important to keep things simple, keep things clear and pay attention to the resolutions from the past and grandfather them in. Send things out for clarification before writing rules and regulations and then before voting on it. We are not in Highlands Ranch and don't want to be. I disagree with the previous gentleman in that we do need rules and regulations, but Council, please, spend more time and effort with enforcing the codes we have before coming up with new ones.

**COUNCIL MEMBER WILSON MOVED, AND COUNCIL MEMBER GILLIT SECONDED, TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR AGENDA ITEMS 10 (b) THROUGH 10 (g), TO GATHER INPUT ON COUNCIL BILL NO. 48, COUNCIL BILL NO. 49, COUNCIL BILL NO.50, COUNCIL BILL NO. 51, COUNCIL BILL NO. 52 AND COUNCIL BILL NO. 53.**

**Vote results:**

Ayes: Council Members Penn, McCaslin, Wilson, Woodward, Jefferson, Olson, Gillit  
Nays: None

Motion carried and the Public Hearing closed.

**COUNCIL MEMBER OLSON MOVED, AND COUNCIL MEMBER GILLIT SECONDED, TO SCHEDULE A SECOND PUBLIC HEARING REGARDING THESE COUNCIL BILLS.**

**THE MOTION WAS WITHDRAWN BY COUNCIL MEMBER OLSON.**

**MAYOR WOODWARD MOVED, AND COUNCIL MEMBER OLSON SECONDED, TO SET A TIME FOR A COMMUNITY MEETING WITH TWO-WAY DIALOG, QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS, AND TO SCHEDULE A SECOND PUBLIC HEARING.**

**Vote results:**

Ayes: Council Members Penn, McCaslin, Wilson, Woodward, Jefferson, Olson, Gillit  
Nays: None

Motion carried.

\* \* \* \* \*

The meeting recessed at 10:12 p.m.

The meeting reconvened at 10:21 p.m. with all City Council members present.

\* \* \* \* \*

**11. Ordinances, Resolution and Motions**

(a) Approval of Ordinances on First Reading

(i) Director of Utilities Fonda and Utilities Engineer IV Brennan presented a recommendation from the Utilities Department to adopt a bill for an ordinance authorizing an agreement with the Colorado Water Conservation Board for design and construction of Union Avenue Boat Chutes Safety Improvements.

**COUNCIL MEMBER GILLIT MOVED, AND COUNCIL MEMBER WILSON SECONDED, TO APPROVE AGENDA ITEM 11 (a) (i) - COUNCIL BILL NO. 55.**

COUNCIL BILL NO. 55, INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL MEMBER GILLIT

A BILL FOR AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING AN "AGREEMENT REGARDING DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF UNION AVENUE BOAT CHUTES SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS" BETWEEN THE COLORADO WATER CONSERVATION BOARD, A DIVISION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES OF THE STATE OF COLORADO AND THE CITY OF ENGLEWOOD, COLORADO.

**Vote results:**

Ayes: Council Members Penn, McCaslin, Wilson, Woodward, Jefferson, Olson, Gillit  
Nays: None

Motion carried.

(ii) Director of Utilities Fonda presented a recommendation from the Utilities Department to adopt a bill for an ordinance authorizing an access easement to Urban Drainage and Flood Control District for the Union Avenue Boat Chutes Safety Improvements.

**COUNCIL MEMBER PENN MOVED, AND COUNCIL MEMBER McCASLIN SECONDED, TO APPROVE AGENDA ITEM 11 (a) (ii) - COUNCIL BILL NO. 56.**

COUNCIL BILL NO. 56, INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL MEMBER PENN

A BILL FOR AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING A GRANT OF ACCESS EASEMENT TO URBAN DRAINAGE AND FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT BY THE CITY OF ENGLEWOOD, COLORADO PERTAINING TO IMPROVEMENTS OF STRUCTURES ON THE SOUTH PLATTE RIVER KNOWN AS THE UNION AVENUE BOAT CHUTES.

**Vote results:**

Ayes: Council Members Penn, McCaslin, Wilson, Woodward, Jefferson, Olson, Gillit

Nays: None

Motion carried.

(iii) Director of Utilities Fonda presented a recommendation from the Utilities Department to adopt a bill for an ordinance relating to fee schedules for sewer connection and collection system fees.

**COUNCIL MEMBER McCASLIN MOVED, AND COUNCIL MEMBER PENN SECONDED, TO APPROVE AGENDA ITEM 11 (a) (iii) - COUNCIL BILL NO. 57.**

COUNCIL BILL NO. 57, INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL MEMBER McCASLIN

A BILL FOR AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 12, CHAPTER 2, RELATING TO SEWER CONNECTION AND COLLECTION SYSTEM FEES.

**Vote results:**

Ayes: Council Members Penn, McCaslin, Wilson, Woodward, Jefferson, Olson, Gillit  
Nays: None

Motion carried.

(iv) Director of Utilities Fonda and John Gallagher from Red Oak Consultants presented a recommendation from the Utilities Department to adopt a bill for an ordinance relating to fee schedules for water connection service for the water customers of the City of Englewood, Colorado.

**COUNCIL MEMBER McCASLIN MOVED, AND COUNCIL MEMBER PENN SECONDED, TO APPROVE AGENDA ITEM 11 (a) (iv) - COUNCIL BILL NO. 68.**

COUNCIL BILL NO. 68, INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL MEMBER McCASLIN

A BILL FOR AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING FEE SCHEDULES FOR WATER CONNECTION SERVICE FOR THE WATER CUSTOMERS OF THE CITY OF ENGLEWOOD, COLORADO.

**Vote results:**

Ayes: Council Members Penn, McCaslin, Wilson, Woodward, Jefferson, Olson, Gillit  
Nays: None

Motion carried.

(b) Approval of Ordinances on Second Reading

There were no items submitted for approval on second reading.

(c) Resolutions and Motions

There were no items submitted for approval.

**12. General Discussion**

(a) Mayor's Choice

(i) A Resolution in support of the Englewood School District's bond and mill levy ballot questions was considered.

**MAYOR WOODWARD MOVED, AND COUNCIL MEMBER PENN SECONDED, TO APPROVE RESOLUTION NO. 79, SERIES OF 2011.**

RESOLUTION NO. 79, SERIES OF 2011

A RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE 2011 ENGLEWOOD SCHOOL DISTRICT'S BALLOT QUESTIONS IN THE NOVEMBER ELECTION.

**Vote results:**

Ayes: Council Members Penn, McCaslin, Wilson, Woodward, Jefferson, Olson  
Nays: Council Member Gillit

Motion carried.

(b) Council Members' Choice

13. **City Manager's Report**

14. **City Attorney's Report**

15. **Adjournment**

**MAYOR WOODWARD MOVED TO ADJOURN.** The meeting adjourned at 10:49 p.m.

\_\_\_\_\_/s/ Loucrishia A. Ellis\_\_\_\_\_  
City Clerk