
Council Newsletter



CITY MANAGER'S NOTES August 11, 2011

Upcoming Council Meetings

City Council will meet on **Monday, August 15, 2011**. The Study Session will begin at 6:00 p.m. in the Community Room. The Regular Meeting will begin at 7:30 p.m. in Council Chambers. The agendas are attached. Sandwiches will be available at 5:30 p.m.

There is no meeting scheduled for **Monday, August 29th**.

City facilities will be closed on **Friday, September 2, 2011** for a furlough day. Labor Day is **Monday, September 5, 2011** and City facilities will be closed.

The following meeting will be **Tuesday, September 6, 2011**.

Informative Memoranda

The following are memoranda in response to City Council's requests, as well as other informational items.

1. Letter from the Colorado Historical Society and the United States Department of the Interior announcing the listing of the Englewood Post Office on the National Register of Historic Places and the Colorado State Register of historic Properties. .
2. Thank you from the Brady Family for the Denny Miller Memorial Baseball Field celebration.
3. Article concerning assistance from the Englewood Police Department for tornado victims in southern areas of the United States.
4. RTD Public Comments from the July 27, 2011, meeting.
5. Investment Report for July, 2011.
6. E-mail concerning the Fair Campaign Practices Act.
7. Community Development 2011 Community Profile.
8. Memorandum concerning the Project Update.
9. Calendar of Events.
10. Tentative Study Session Topics.
11. Minutes from the Parks and Recreation Commission meeting of June 9, 2011.
12. Minutes from the Cultural Arts Commission meeting of July 6, 2011.
13. Minutes from the Transportation Advisory Committee meeting of July 14, 2011.



HISTORY *Colorado*

August 3, 2011

The Honorable James K. Woodward
Mayor of Englewood
3943 S. Pearl Street
Englewood, CO 80113-4735

**Re: National Register of Historic Places designation of the Englewood Post Office,
Arapahoe County (5AH.269)**

Dear Mayor Woodward:

It is my pleasure to announce the listing of the Englewood Post Office on the National Register of Historic Places and Colorado State Register of Historic Properties. The official designation took place on July 7, 2011, in recognition of this property's contribution to the heritage of the State of Colorado. A copy of the official notification of designation is enclosed.

On behalf of Governor Hickenlooper, the Colorado Historic Preservation Review Board, and History Colorado, I extend to you our congratulations and our sincere appreciation for your continuing interest in historic preservation. If you have questions about listing or other aspects of the National Register program, please do not hesitate to contact me by phone at 303-866-4681 or by e-mail at

Sincerely,

Steve W. Turner, AIA
Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer

Enclosures

Designation
Certificate
Plaque sources
Customer survey

THE COLORADO HISTORICAL SOCIETY



United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE 2280
National Register of Historic Places
1201 "I" (Eye) Street, NW
Washington D.C. 20005



The Director of the National Park Service is pleased to announce actions on the following properties for the National Register of Historic Places. For further information contact Edson Beall at (202) 354-2255 or E-mail: Edson_Beall@nps.gov
Visit our web site at <http://www.cr.nps.gov/nr>

WEEKLY LIST OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON PROPERTIES: 7/18/11 THROUGH 7/22/11

KEY: State, County, Property Name, Address/Boundary, City, Vicinity, Reference Number, NHL, Action, Date, Multiple Name

ARIZONA, MARICOPA COUNTY,

Koontz, Kinter K.,
7620 N. 7th St.,
Phoenix, 11000463,
LISTED, 7/20/11
(North Central Phoenix Farmhouses and Rural Estate Homes, 1895-1959)

ARKANSAS, CLARK COUNTY,

Arkadelphia Commercial Historic District, Roughly Main St. between 5th & 7th Sts., & Clinton St between 6th & 9th Sts., Arkadelphia, 11000464, LISTED, 7/20/11

CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES COUNTY,

Hafley, Olan G. and Aida T., House,
5561 E. La Pasada St.,
Long Beach, 11000429,
LISTED, 7/12/11

CALIFORNIA, ORANGE COUNTY,

WILD GOOSE (yacht),
2431 West Coast Hwy.,
Newport Beach, 11000431,
LISTED, 7/19/11

COLORADO, ARAPAHOE COUNTY,

Englewood Post Office,
3332 S. Broadway,
Englewood, 11000465,
LISTED, 7/20/11

CONNECTICUT, HARTFORD COUNTY,

Fairfield Avenue Historic District,
Roughly along Fairfield Ave. from Trinity College to Cedar Hill Cemetery, Hartford, 11000435,
LISTED, 7/19/11

ILLINOIS, FAYETTE COUNTY, PRESIDENT (RIVERBOAT),

Scattered over two acres in three separate locations around St. Elmo, St. Elmo vicinity, 89002460,
NHL NHL STATUS REMOVED, 7/13/11



HISTORY *Colorado*

This is to certify that

Englewood Post Office

has been listed in the

National Register of Historic Places


by the

United States Department of the Interior

on

July 7, 2011


Steve W. Turner, A.I.A.
Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer



*Ball Park Entrance
Englewood City Park*

*We are very proud to have this
Memorial in memory of our beloved
son and brother, J. Dennis Miller.
Thanks so much for your help.*

*Mr. and Mrs. O. J. Miller
and Nancy*



Blair Darrick Brady and Family

*"Memories are a curious thing. They could be symbolic, like the Memorial Arch at the entrance to the Baseball Field, or they could be a simple, tattered piece of paper containing a favorite poem. The scrapbook of our life is a collection of memories of the days we have lived." Blair Darrick Brady
Between You, Me ...And The Fence Post (2011)*

So many new memories have been created and shared as a result of the outstanding Proclamation, Celebration and Anniversary Dedication Ceremony by the City of Englewood, Parks and Recreation & Admirable Citizens. The Denny Miller Memorial Baseball Field stands strong and ready to greet thousands more—Athletes and Visitors, young and old.

This Field has brought us memories and changes over the last 60 years and the next 60 years will bring new memories and changes, but what remains the same—forever—is the Spirit of the City and the Kindness of her People. Thank You, Blair D. Brady & Family

| From the July 2011 Issue | Wednesday, August 3, 2011



Photo: (AP Photo/Dave Martin)

FEATURED IN INDUSTRY NEWS

Rebuilding Shattered Lives

On April 27, 2011 tornadoes ravaged the southern part of the U.S. Hundreds were killed and thousands lost their homes. Out of all that devastation, law enforcement officers were among those greatly affected. Before Law Officer asked who needed help, no one really knew.

It didn't take long for a flurry of emails and Facebook posts to arrive with requests for help. Officers needed everything from uniforms to body armor to just continue to do their job while their homes had been destroyed and families displaced.

Law Officer was instrumental in raising funds and sending much needed equipment to countless officers and the generosity rubbed off. A few weeks after the disaster, Denver (Colo.) Police Chief Gerald Whitman discovered a need for equipment in the Hackleburg (Ala.) Police Department. He sent a trailer full of new uniforms, flashlights, boots and leather gear to the storm ravaged area and permitted a Denver police officer to take the equipment to the area.

Englewood (Colo.) Police Chief John Collins followed suit and many of our Alabama brothers and sisters were helped as a result. Englewood Officer Jason Pearson helped coordinate the efforts along with Ten-Four Ministries and a recent letter they received along with a \$100 check said it all. It simply read, "From one officer to another."



Public Comments

Englewood Parking Expansion Public Meeting

July 27, 2011

The following is a summary of the comments made at the July 27 meeting in Hampden Hall at the Englewood Civic Center. This is not a report of verbatim comments.

Terry Shaub:

I am against a bridge over street, these bridges are difficult to use by people with disabilities or mobility problems. This would cut out too many people who would otherwise use the parking. I have concerns about overflow parking in neighborhoods. I feel that this has all been investigated enough and we should go ahead and pave it.

Randy Eagan:

I am adamantly against this project. Once this park is paved over, you will never get it back. There should have been public meetings before the site was ever selected. Of course there is a parking problem, but this is not the solution. Everything that has been done in this situation has been wrong. Previous city council study sessions on this issue were never mentioned in the Englewood City Newspaper. This is contrary to RTD's stated position of getting the public involved early in the process. RTD also says that they want to improve the environment by preserving open spaces. How does paving over a park protect open spaces? This is a zoned park. How can ordinary citizens afford to defend themselves against a rich man in a suit who wants to pave over their park? Paving over the park will not solve the problem. It will still be easier to park illegally in the surrounding neighborhood. It is not legal to give away this land. Attorneys have looked over the city charter and say it's illegal. I feel bad that RTD has gotten this far in the planning process without finding a legal way to acquire this land. Protect our park; save our park.

Russ Ulrich:

So, we are going to get 280 spaces. That is about 120 short of what you really need, isn't it? So, we are going to be right back here again. A lot of kids play in the little park. It's one of the few natural places we have left in this city. Myself, I go down there 3-4 times a week. From what I have been hearing the Winslow site would be a better option. That way if you did build a base station, you would have overflow for two stations. I know it will cost a little more per space and you're eliminating a business, but what I would say is build the structure over the existing bus holding. Yes, it will cost more but you could build a 3 or 4 story thing and save our park.

Ryan Laird:

I would like to share three points on the issue to illustrate how RTD has been treating Englewood residents who are concerned about losing their park. Two weekends ago, while

raising awareness of this public meeting to residents in the Cushing Park area, I was verbally threatened by an RTD FasTracks employee. The employee admitted that RTD failed to notify the local residents and allow for public comment. Second point, I would like John Shonsey to clarify misleading statistical statement regarding capacity of existing park-n-Ride. At July 11 study session, you stated the parking lot is 99-100% capacity on average. I disagree with statistical statements. The parking lot is consistently not full. Third point, Mr. Shonsey, clarify statement regarding the survey marks. You stated it was necessary to see the elevation between bridge structure and creek itself, and you needed exact measurements. Survey marks I saw were test holes or soil borings. It appears to me RTD is moving forward with subsurface soil study that is usually only done in final design. RTD does not have legal access to this park. RTD should not be spending taxpayer money on design before the public has been able to comment, especially when you're taking park land from us.

Jessica Olson:

I spend a lot of time at Cushing Park with my church and my family. I have 3 points I would like to make. First, this is Englewood, which means "Place of Trees". That's probably why you are getting a little resistance; we like our trees. So, that is a park. It's part of Cushing Park, and it's part of the beauty of Englewood. Anytime someone talks of paving over greenery it gets our cockles off because we like our city the way it is. Second, to hear you are planning a structure at Bates makes me surprised to hear that you think this is a solution. It sounds like the Winslow option, as part of that other station, would be a better option. Lastly, I don't know if there is a way to do this study, but I would like to know, who is it who actually parks here? Is it really Englewood citizens? The truth is there are people driving in from all over looking for the best place to find parking when they go downtown. Adding more parking will just bring in more people, and we are going to find ourselves in the same place. This isn't really serving the people of Englewood.

Leslie Wilson:

I am one of those out of town people who comes to Englewood Station to park, because it is where the fare drops. I am wondering what changing the fares would do for the density of Englewood station? A lot of your parkers are probably from out of town and come here because they want RTD's lowest fares to downtown. Also, if you take this park are there any plans to replace it? Are there any other areas where you could do an exchange or anything like that?

Bill Clayton:

I've lived in Englewood all my life. I don't want to see the RTD rate go up. We worked hard to get that low rate. It is beneficial for the citizens of Englewood to have lower rates. It's perfectly rational to see why RTD spaces in the shared parking lot are always full but the parking lot is not full. I heard we were going to tear down one of Englewood's pristine parks to put in a parking lot, so I thought I've only lived here for 65 years, and thought it was about time to go and see this pristine park that everyone keeps talking about. So, I went down there this afternoon. What I saw was a place I don't want to take my granddaughter to play. There's no handicap access. That trail down through that park isn't accessible, it's steep, it's rocky, it's gravelly, it's

dangerous. It is a drainage culvert. I could just visualize, let's send our kids down to this park and we'll drown a couple of them because they'll be playing in this drainage channel, and with walls this high they can't climb out of there. Is this a park? This is a good place to do a drug deal, there are homeless down there. My point of view is pave it or someone should put up signs or fences up so people don't drown.

Cindy Eagan:

First, I am very against this option. What about the Lucent sport? Can't you add 280 spots there and save the trees you're going to cut down? Second, the base station? You said there are some environmental issues with building down there? Three, this is for the city council. What exactly is the agreement for leasing the land? What exactly are we going to get out of it? Are we getting money for it? What is the deal? I would like to know what the details are. Is there any type of estimate on how much it is going to cost the city for these increased spots? I'm sorry, I would just like to know the lease details and understand this situation better. My final question is, if you don't get those extra spaces from Wal-Mart, are you going to take the rest of Cushing Park?

Matthew Crabtree:

I've been knocking on a lot of doors to notify people about this meeting. Is the city council the ultimate authority on this project? Why is RTD hosting this meeting and not the city? Has the city council already granted the use of this land to RTD? Why did RTD even chose this site? The city charter includes regulations of elected officials. Since 1958 the people of Englewood have had the opportunity to vote on the provisions in this charter. Restrictions on Sales and Leases, section 72, page 19, says that property granted to the city to be used as park land shall not be sold without a majority vote. Without a vote, how can the council give this land to RTD? This is violating the provisions in the city charter. A study conducted by the Urban Land Institute criticized the Englewood City Center development. They said this was not a financially stable development, in part because of RTD's parking situation. They recommended that parking should be located closer to the business. This plan will actually make parking even further away. Englewood citizens would be best served if the parking were located closer to Wal-Mart.

Jennifer McKee:

I wanted to express my disappointment with the city council. I am disappointed that the public wasn't involved sooner. I only found out about this meeting two days ago. I do not think that we can be a city that cares so much about money that we are willing to pave over paradise and put in a parking lot. It is so inconceivable to me that we would give up an open space for cement. It seems counterintuitive to me that people ride the Light Rail to reduce emissions but we are willing to pave over greenery. It doesn't make sense to me. I would like to address what the other gentleman said about this not being a park. The same argument could be made to say that Rocky Mountain National Park is not a park. Not every park is cultivated. Not every park has cement sidewalks. I'm not saying those parks aren't valuable, I'm just saying that not every park has to be cultivated. We lose value in Englewood when all we have is cement. My final point, I know the city of looking for grant money to develop Duncan Park. I wonder if Arapahoe County will give us the money if they know we are willing to pave over our parks. I don't think so.

Pamela Beets:

I never got a notice from RTD about this meeting, and I live five blocks away. I have some prepared statements and some questions and comments. I am here to save the park. I was talking to a long time resident who informed me that the land that is now Cushing Park was granted to the city to be used as a park. This little undeveloped area is a unique area in urban density. It is the only natural space left. Councilman Jones said it is irreplaceable. The council on Environmental Quality states that the first goal is to save the area and the second is to avoid impact. Mitigation does not work. It is just a lot of paper pushing. I believe this habitat is essential for migratory birds. There is water and natural vegetation that provides a habitat for these birds. This park is a quality of life issue. This little area creates an oasis among all the big box stores and ugliness. Here in a moderate income neighborhood we have no power to keep what is nice in our own neighborhood. It's more than an issue about the cheapest parking; this is a life and death issue for those animals who live in the park. This is a public park. It is owned by me; it is owned by everyone who lives here. I would like to bring up another point you might not have thought about. I imagine more of us here remember playing with frogs and dirt and such when we were younger. But kids now can't do that. They can't play in the tall grass and the ponds. Another issue to look at is that neurological studies have shown that these natural areas are critical for children's sensory/motor development. We think a park is just a place to ride our bike but research shows us how important these areas are for our development. It's about more than sensory/motor development; it's about psychological and social development. Without these natural areas our brains cannot make the social connections that allow us to form healthy personalities. I would agree that this area could be made safer so children do not fall in the water, but without that opportunity we are really denying our children. This type of space is at a premium and it is irreplaceable and we are obviously not taking that into account. There are Colorado statutes that protect natural areas as well as a statute that protects in stream flows. There is also an article in the state constitution that says every natural water source is public property. This doesn't belong to you. It belongs to us. My final thoughts are, I am completely against the idea that the public was involved early in the process. I didn't find out about this meeting until two days ago. You come in here and say that this we are planning and we'll allow you to ask questions but we really aren't interested in changing our plan. My other issue with RTD is that you're the Regional Transportation district, not the Regional Parking District. What would happen is RTD focused its money on providing transportation to the outlying regions like Englewood Light Rail Station. The reason people drive is because it's the only way to get here. We do not value our environment. We find it easier to pave it over than find other solutions.

Brian Oliver:

What happened to the garage originally planned when we first struck a deal to put in a light rail station? How does the cost per space compare with the cost of losing a park? To be honest, I am ashamed of the city council right now. There are 9 justices in a big white building in D.C. who would argue that this is a land conveyance even though it is a lease. I'm that you think you can just back us into this deal and screw the citizens.

Laurett Barantine (former city council member):

I would like to thank Randy for getting everyone here tonight. First, you don't have money. You have money I gave you which makes your money my money. This park does not belong to the city, it belongs to the citizens. The money to maintain this park comes out of my pocket. What do we get out of this deal? You're not even giving us part of our tax dollars back. All we are getting is a deal on maintenance. Randy is right, you don't have the authority to give away our park. We need to make sure that the people of Englewood have the power to maintain their parks. You can only give this land away by a vote of the people. The city council can't do it. If they think they can trick us out of our vote, they've got another thing coming. All of this is tax dollars. You don't have money; you're spending my money. We have a right to protect our assets. Your fare structure did not come down from the mountain with Moses. You guys made it up. We made the past deal to get more people to the Englewood City Center, because we thought more parking would do that. And now we have people walking through industrial areas and we aren't improving our retail. This fee structure is not set in stone. Everything is changeable.

Steve Yates:

How much are we getting paid for this park? We've been told we're not getting money, but a trade. What is this trade worth to the citizens of Englewood? Do we get more out of this deal than parking spaces? Is the city council willing to give up a park with no idea what they are getting in return? Mr. Shonsey, can you even give me a ball park estimate on what we would be getting back? It seems to me like you want to move forward on an agenda and not tell the people what they are going to get out of it, other than a park.

Polly Reese (Audubon Society):

Cushing Park has natural vegetation which is rare in the states. So this little, isolated place is still important. The Colorado Division of Wildlife says 90% of native wildlife has spent at least part of their lives in these types of natural areas. This area is valuable for urban wildlife and urban wildlife is important for the people who live there. These small spaces that are available to local people, local kids, are important. Childhood obesity has become a problem in part because children have no place to go. They have to drive to a park. There is a disconnect between kids and the natural world. Studies have shown that that playing outdoors in an unstructured way is important for children's physical and social growth. These areas are so important for our children. Paving over this park would deprive people of these wonderful experiences. I would also like to mention something else that has me concerned. The ratio of mitigated area to impacted area is usually 1 to 1, if not more. Here, the ratio is less than 1. For 4 acres of impacted area you get 1 acre of mitigation. We should get more area of mitigation. Kids use this park; it is not unsafe for kids. I live in Cherry Creek in high flood risk areas and in these areas we have warning signs and gates. You can put up all the signs you want, but it's not going to stop all

people. People need to take more responsibility for themselves. I encourage you to look at another parking alternative.

Nancy Stalker:

This is a semi-natural, generally quiet area. There are lots of trees and uncut grasses, lots of wildlife. This area has a lot of social paths that are not mainly used by people taking the light rail, but by people exploring and having fun. I remember a time when local children had more of these areas to explore and learn what water does for the land. The City of Englewood, in its infinite wisdom, decided to fence off many of these areas and now kids can't play there. What are they doing instead? They are sitting at home, watching T.V., maybe playing video games. They are not doing anything as useful for their brains as playing outside. It's rare to see kids playing in an organized park unless there is some kind of structured activity going on. Please protect these natural areas early in your planning process. Find another place to for your parking plans.

Marty Grappas:

My house backs up to Cushing Park and I have not heard about any of this, except building a garage, of this until a neighbor brought it up to me and told me RTD was planning on taking the park. I have a question about your parking statistic that says the lot is 99% full. Is this parking to serve the whole Southwest Rail Line or is that specific to the Englewood Station? Parking is available every day. The lots are nowhere near 100% full. How come when we are planning on putting in a new community garden we know about it, but when there are plans to pave over one we don't? Does that make any sense?

Kenton:

Has anyone done a study on doing nothing? What is the cost per space going to be? What are the continuing maintenance costs? Is there going to be a vote? What will it take to take Cushing Park out of consideration?

Denise Cordovan:

I understand one of the reasons you are considering this site is to mitigate the complaints coming in about the parking that's in the neighborhood? What I have witnessed firsthand living on Eastman Street is that people will park on the street before the lot is even full. The complaints will still come in because no matter how many signs you put up telling them they can't people will still park here. Things aren't going to change.

Melvin ?:

That open space is critical for the 100-year flood plain. You're just asking for disaster. Already they messed up the plans. They don't have the full channel going for top surface because that conduit will not hold a 100-year flood. Do your homework.

Mayor Jim Woodward:

The expansion of RTD and FasTracks was passed in 2004 by voters in the RTD District, of which, obviously we are a part. The expansion of 400-450 parking spaces was part of that plan. People who use the Englewood station are all part of the District. It's not just Englewood. I thank Randy Eagan for bringing this up, too. We've had numerous meetings about this. FasTracks includes our expansion and Highlands Ranch/Lucent Boulevard Light Rail Station, which as part of the land which we sold to RTD for that station. We thought we'd be open in 2018, but because of the economy, price of commodities, sales tax revenues going down we're probably looking at 2042 before that opens. It's been mentioned that this hasn't been in the news, it hasn't been out there for people to become aware of. I'd ask Tom Munds if it's been in the Englewood Herald. Also on the website. All done in study sessions. None of them in private meetings. Fifth busiest light rail station. This is a regional thing. Been asked what is owned. What does city own here? City owns the land. Very preliminary discussions with a local Wal-mart representative regarding a structure, providing they didn't lose any parking. I attended meetings with the South Platte Working Group and told them that if this happens, it will also provide trailhead parking for the trail to the Mary Carter Greenway. The city council has received many complaints from residents near Cushing Park about transients and homeless people in the area. Sam's Automotive has been there since 1946, it's a tax generator, it's an icon in the community. That is not a choice. The same with Martin Plastics. It is not a choice. When all of these additional lines open up and go to the airport, I will do it and most people will do it and people coming in from the region will do it, too. I did receive two calls from people who are not here tonight who wanted to have their voices heard. They were not in favor, like most of the people who've spoke, who are not in favor of taking away this area. A number of us went down to this area and got down into it to see what we were dealing with. Some of us needed help getting out of it. I hope there aren't children down there. On a very heavy rain, when the water comes rushing down Little Dry Creek, and hits that park it's like a huge toilet and it flushes and it dumps all of that water into that culvert and there is a lot of water that comes through there. I think this will probably be on our website if people want to stay updated. For clarity's sake, there is no lease in place right now. The resolution that was passed by city council was for RTD to proceed with pricing for this expansion.

Emily Dangle:

I live in Centennial. I know the question in your mind is, why is she here? My experience with RTD and the Southeast Line, we on Dry Creek Road and Orchard, we have a Call-n-Ride service in Centennial. If I have a doctor's appointment, I can call, the Call-n-Ride comes, and I can go within a certain area, and why isn't a Call-n-Ride an option?

(No Name Shared):

I realize no deal has yet been struck but it would be illegal for the city council to go forward without the permission of the people.

Kevin Dixon:

What is the policy for charging for parking? If you charged for parking it would solve the parking problem without decreasing ridership.

J.P.:

People think RTD doesn't listen because many people weren't notified of this meeting. I asked my neighbor if she was coming to the meeting and she said, what meeting? Does RTD, or the Mayor, place any importance on notifying the people? I am here because of Randy Eagan's notification.

Linda Olson (Council Member, District 2):

I so appreciate everyone coming tonight. This is a very, very difficult issue that obviously was not clear to council as it's become over the last several months. I am taking this issue very seriously and want to look at all alternatives, including doing nothing. I've heard you. When it comes to getting the word out, it's one of the reasons I ran for council, because something was happening in my district. I realize it's very hard as a normal citizen to keep in touch with things. Fortunately, you have a city council representative who does have meetings regularly and I encourage you to give him feedback. Talk with your district representative and find out how to make the information flow better. I thank you for coming out and I love the ideas I've heard.

Joe Jefferson (Councilmember, District 2):

I just wanted to let everyone know that I will be supporting other alternatives.

Randy Penn (Councilmember, District 3):

The neat is that you are all here and you do care about what is going on in your area. A lot of our conversation in the city council in the last few months is how do we get everybody informed? I want to know how to get everybody informed. Give me ideas on how more efficient we can be as the City of Englewood. I do thank you for your effort and Randy coming forward with all this. I have four pages of great information that I received tonight. I think you underestimate this city council sometimes. We do listen and we are always available. Our phones are open to you. We have a website that you can get to. I think all city council members are very accessible. So please let us know how we can get it to you and where we're lacking.

Jill Wilson (Mayor Pro Tem):

I appreciate you coming. I know how passionate people get about issues. I don't have meetings, but I am a neighborhood walker and that's how I find out about these issues. I am glad you're here. Randy, thank you because you've brought a lot of things forward. Please call me. I need to know how you feel to better serve you and I know that's the intent of all council members. The intent of this meeting was to let RTD know what they're looking at. And now we can go back to the table and see what we need to do. So, I appreciate it, and thank you.



Cutwater Asset Management
1700 Broadway, Suite 2050
Denver, CO 80290
303 860 1100
Fax: 303 860 0016


CITY OF ENGLEWOOD

Reports for the period July 1, 2011 – July 31, 2011


Please contact Accounting by calling the number above or email camreports@cutwater.com with questions concerning this report.

Fixed Income Market Review July 31, 2011

U.S. Real GDP Year-Over-Year Change
06/30/01 - 06/30/11



Treasury Yield Curves
06/30/11 - 07/29/11



Economic Indicators & Monetary Policy – Based on the advance estimate in real gross domestic product (GDP), the U.S. economy grew at a 1.3 percent annualized growth rate during the second quarter of 2011 compared to an expected pace of 1.8 percent. On a year-over-year basis, the economy grew 1.6 percent from last June compared to 3.1 percent growth for all of 2010. (See Chart 1.) Growth in the first quarter of the year was also revised to an annualized pace of only 0.4 percent from the prior estimate of 1.9 percent. Consumer spending, which accounts for around 70 percent of the economy, stalled in the second quarter with an increase of only 0.1 percent for the quarter compared to 2.1 percent during the first quarter.

The slowdown in the U.S. economic recovery hasn't helped the employment situation. The unemployment rate rose to 9.2 percent in June with the weakest hiring by companies since May 2010. Employers added a net 18,000 jobs in June compared to an expected increase of 105,000 for the month. The economy created only 260,000 jobs in the second quarter of this year compared to 498,000 jobs created in the first quarter.

The June 22nd Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) meeting minutes released on July 12th noted “that if economic growth remained too slow to make satisfactory progress toward reducing the unemployment rate and if inflation returned to relatively low levels after the effects of recent transitory shocks dissipated, it would be appropriate to provide additional monetary policy accommodation.” In other words, the FOMC is ready to do another round of quantitative easing if necessary. The Fed officials still expect the recovery to strengthen in the second half of this year, reflecting support from accommodative monetary policy, more available credit, and improving household and business confidence.

Yield Curve & Spreads – Treasury yields moved lower in July due to weaker economic conditions and uncertainties surrounding the debt ceiling debate in Congress.

At the end of July, three-month Treasury bills yielded 0.09 percent, six-month Treasury bills yielded 0.15 percent, two-year Treasuries yielded 0.36 percent, five-year Treasuries yielded 1.36 percent, 10-year Treasuries yielded 2.80 percent, and 30-year bonds yielded 4.12 percent. (See Chart 2.)

Additional Information
July 31, 2011

A current version of the investment adviser brochure, for Cutwater Investors Service Corporation, in the form of the Firm's ADV Part II is available for your review. Please contact our Client Service Desk at 1-800-395-5505 or mail your request to:

Cutwater Investor Service Corporation
Attention: Client Services
113 King Street
Armonk NY 10504

A copy of the brochure will be sent to you either by mail or electronically at your option.

City of Englewood
Activity and Performance Summary
for the period July 1, 2011 - July 31, 2011

<u>Amortized Cost Basis Activity Summary</u>		
Beginning Amortized Cost Value		39,755,056.41
Additions		
Contributions	188,805.64	
Interest Received	37,414.38	
Accrued Interest Sold	3,750.00	
Gain on Sales	233.29	
Total Additions		230,203.31
Deductions		
Withdrawals	0.00	
Fees Paid	2,834.78	
Accrued Interest Purchased	5,194.44	
Loss on Sales	0.00	
Total Deductions		(8,029.22)
Accretion (Amortization) for the Period		(16,959.67)
Ending Amortized Cost Value		39,960,270.83
Ending Fair Value		40,181,465.73
Unrealized Gain (Loss)		221,194.90

<u>Detail of Amortized Cost Basis Return</u>				
	Interest Earned	Accretion (Amortization)	Realized Gain (Loss)	Total Income
Current Holdings				
Cash and Equivalents	414.38	0.00	0.00	414.38
U.S. Treasury	3,268.87	(338.34)	0.00	2,930.53
U.S. Agency	1,666.67	(43.04)	0.00	1,623.63
U.S. Instrumentality	32,766.66	(5,204.47)	0.00	27,562.19
Corporate	18,291.66	(11,333.19)	0.00	6,958.47
Sales and Maturities				
U.S. Instrumentality	866.67	(40.63)	233.29	1,059.33
Total	57,274.91	(16,959.67)	233.29	40,548.53

<u>Annualized Comparative Rates of Return</u>			
	Twelve Month Trailing	Six Month Trailing	For the Month
Fed Funds	0.15%	0.11%	0.07%
Overnight Repo	0.09%	0.04%	0.04%
3 Month T-Bill	0.10%	0.06%	0.02%
6 Month T-Bill	0.15%	0.11%	0.06%
1 Year T-Note	0.24%	0.22%	0.19%
2 Year T-Note	0.55%	0.59%	0.41%
5 Year T-Note	1.73%	1.91%	1.54%

<u>Summary of Amortized Cost Basis Return for the Period</u>		
	Total Portfolio	Excl. Cash Eq.
Interest Earned	57,274.91	56,860.53
Accretion (Amortization)	(16,959.67)	(16,959.67)
Realized Gain (Loss) on Sales	<u>233.29</u>	<u>233.29</u>
Total Income on Portfolio	40,548.53	40,134.15
Average Daily Historical Cost	39,728,195.28	34,017,392.31
Annualized Return	1.20%	1.39%
Annualized Return Net of Fees	1.12%	1.29%
Annualized Return Year to Date Net of Fees	1.23%	1.36%
Weighted Average Effective Maturity in Days	260	303

City of Englewood
Activity and Performance Summary
for the period July 1, 2011 - July 31, 2011

<u>Fair Value Basis Activity Summary</u>	
Beginning Fair Value	39,936,976.01
Additions	
Contributions	188,805.64
Interest Received	37,414.38
Accrued Interest Sold	3,750.00
Total Additions	229,970.02
Deductions	
Withdrawals	0.00
Fees Paid	2,834.78
Accrued Interest Purchased	5,194.44
Total Deductions	(8,029.22)
 Change in Fair Value for the Period	 22,548.92
Ending Fair Value	40,181,465.73

<u>Detail of Fair Value Basis Return</u>			
	Interest Earned	Change in Fair Value	Total Income
Current Holdings			
Cash and Equivalents	414.38	0.00	414.38
U.S. Treasury	3,268.87	(4,217.00)	(948.13)
U.S. Agency	1,666.67	(1,725.00)	(58.33)
U.S. Instrumentality	32,766.66	31,269.92	64,036.58
Corporate	18,291.66	(2,050.00)	16,241.66
Sales and Maturities			
U.S. Instrumentality	866.67	(729.00)	137.67
Total	57,274.91	22,548.92	79,823.83


<u>Annualized Comparative Rates of Return</u>			
	Twelve Month Trailing	Six Month Trailing	For the Month
Fed Funds	0.15%	0.11%	0.07%
Overnight Repo	0.09%	0.04%	0.04%
3 Month T-Bill	0.17%	0.16%	0.00%
6 Month T-Bill	0.31%	0.28%	0.00%
1 Year T-Note	0.46%	0.44%	(0.24%)
2 Year T-Note	1.42%	2.04%	2.83%
5 Year T-Note	4.72%	9.66%	25.31%

<u>Summary of Fair Value Basis Return for the Period</u>		
	Total Portfolio	Excl. Cash Eq.
Interest Earned	57,274.91	56,860.53
Change in Fair Value	<u>22,548.92</u>	<u>22,548.92</u>
Total Income on Portfolio	79,823.83	79,409.45
Average Daily Historical Cost	39,728,195.28	34,017,392.31
Annualized Return	2.37%	2.75%
Annualized Return Net of Fees	2.28%	2.65%
Annualized Return Year to Date Net of Fees	1.57%	1.75%
Weighted Average Effective Maturity in Days	260	303

**City of Englewood
Recap of Securities Held
July 31, 2011**

	Historical Cost	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Weighted Average Final Maturity (Days)	Weighted Average Effective Maturity (Days)	% Portfolio/Segment	Weighted Average Yield *	Weighted Average Market Duration (Years)
Cash and Equivalents	5,723,566.38	5,723,566.38	5,723,566.38	0.00	1	1	14.24	0.09	0.00
U.S. Treasury	4,005,898.44	4,000,500.73	4,007,576.00	7,075.27	99	99	9.97	0.87	0.28
U.S. Agency	1,001,330.00	1,000,072.19	1,002,602.00	2,529.81	53	53	2.49	1.95	0.15
U.S. Instrumentality	23,160,728.75	23,092,375.79	23,257,346.35	164,970.56	1,023	298	57.62	1.56	0.80
Corporate	6,307,120.00	6,143,755.74	6,190,375.00	46,619.26	490	490	15.69	1.39	1.30
TOTAL	40,198,643.57	39,960,270.83	40,181,465.73	221,194.90	678	260	100.00	1.26	0.70


* Weighted Average Yield is calculated on a "yield to worst" basis.



City of Englewood
Maturity Distribution of Securities Held
July 31, 2011

Maturity	Historical Cost	Percent
Under 90 Days	16,773,275.76	41.73%
90 To 180 Days	5,054,430.31	12.57%
180 Days to 1 Year	9,144,227.50	22.75%
1 To 2 Years	4,071,490.00	10.13%
2 To 5 Years	5,155,220.00	12.82%
Over 5 Years	0.00	0.00%
	40,198,643.57	100.00%

Maturity Distribution



**City of Englewood
Securities Held
July 31, 2011**

CUSIP/ Description	Purchase Date	Rate/ Coupon	Maturity/ Call Date	Par Value/ Shares	Historical Cost/ Accrued Interest Purchased	Amortized Cost/Accretion (Amortization)	Fair Value/ Change In Fair Value	Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Interest Received	Interest Earned	Total Accrued Interest	% Port Cost	Yield
Cash and Equivalents													
CT PLUS	07/31/11	0.090V		5,723,566.38	5,723,566.38 0.00	5,723,566.38 0.00	5,723,566.38 0.00	0.00	414.38	414.38	0.00	14.24	0.09
TOTAL				5,723,566.38	5,723,566.38 0.00	5,723,566.38 0.00	5,723,566.38 0.00	0.00	414.38	414.38	0.00	14.24	
U.S. Treasury													
912828LV0 T-Note	01/04/10	1.000	08/31/11	1,000,000.00	1,000,000.00 0.00	1,000,000.00 0.00	1,000,547.00 (1,015.00)	547.00	0.00	842.39	4,184.78	2.49	1.00
912828LW8 T-Note	03/16/10	1.000	09/30/11	1,000,000.00	1,004,140.63 0.00	1,000,441.27 (228.00)	1,001,328.00 (1,016.00)	886.73	0.00	847.00	3,360.66	2.50	0.73
912828LT5 T-Note	04/01/10	1.000	10/31/11	1,000,000.00	1,003,320.31 0.00	1,000,522.75 (178.08)	1,002,031.00 (1,016.00)	1,508.25	0.00	842.39	2,527.17	2.50	0.79
912828MQ0 T-Note	03/16/10	0.875	02/29/12	1,000,000.00	998,437.50 0.00	999,536.71 67.74	1,003,670.00 (1,170.00)	4,133.29	0.00	737.09	3,661.68	2.48	0.96
TOTAL				4,000,000.00	4,005,898.44 0.00	4,000,500.73 (338.34)	4,007,576.00 (4,217.00)	7,075.27	0.00	3,268.87	13,734.29	9.97	
U.S. Agency													
61757UAF7 Morgan Stanley E	02/06/09	2.000	09/22/11	1,000,000.00	1,001,330.00 0.00	1,000,072.19 (43.04)	1,002,602.00 (1,725.00)	2,529.81	0.00	1,666.67	7,166.67	2.49	1.95
TOTAL				1,000,000.00	1,001,330.00 0.00	1,000,072.19 (43.04)	1,002,602.00 (1,725.00)	2,529.81	0.00	1,666.67	7,166.67	2.49	
U.S. Instrumentality													
31398AZN5 FNMA	11/16/09	1.000	11/23/11	1,000,000.00	999,600.00 0.00	999,938.13 16.83	1,002,580.00 (1,047.00)	2,641.87	0.00	833.33	1,888.89	2.49	1.02
31331JAP8 FFCB	12/29/09	1.050	12/29/11	1,000,000.00	998,000.00 0.00	999,589.04 84.93	1,003,525.00 (830.00)	3,935.96	0.00	875.00	933.33	2.48	1.15
31331XG30 FFCB	11/15/07	5.450	06/21/12	1,000,000.00	1,039,790.00 0.00	1,007,697.47 (734.22)	1,045,240.00 (4,443.00)	37,542.53	0.00	4,541.67	6,055.56	2.59	4.48
31331Y3X6 FFCB	09/02/08	3.950	07/23/12	1,000,000.00	999,320.00 0.00	999,829.04 14.84	1,034,925.00 (3,165.00)	35,095.96	19,750.00	3,291.67	877.78	2.49	3.97
3134G16J8 FHLMC	Call 04/29/11	0.800	12/14/12 09/14/11	1,000,000.00	1,001,500.00 1,000.00	1,000,478.26 (336.96)	1,000,530.00 (607.00)	51.74	0.00	666.66	3,044.44	2.49	0.40
3133XUEC3 FHLB	Call 01/26/10	2.650	08/12/13 08/12/11	1,000,000.00	1,014,218.75 0.00	1,000,277.81 (782.91)	1,000,640.00 (1,886.00)	362.19	0.00	2,208.34	12,440.28	2.52	1.71
31398A4N9 FNMA	10/15/10	1.000	10/15/13	1,000,000.00	1,001,170.00 0.00	1,000,000.00 0.00	1,004,887.00 1,392.00	4,887.00	0.00	833.33	2,944.44	2.49	1.00

**City of Englewood
Securities Held
July 31, 2011**

CUSIP/ Description	Purchase Date	Rate/ Coupon	Maturity/ Call Date	Par Value/ Shares	Historical Cost/ Accrued Interest Purchased	Amortized Cost/Accretion (Amortization)	Fair Value/ Change In Fair Value	Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Interest Received	Interest Earned	Total Accrued Interest	% Port Cost	Yield	
U.S. Instrumentality														
3134G2JF0 FHLMC	Call	06/09/11	1.050	12/09/13 12/09/11	1,000,000.00	1,000,500.00 0.00	1,000,355.19 (84.70)	1,001,709.00 52.00	1,353.81	0.00	875.00	1,516.67	2.49	0.95
3134G1X66 FHLMC	Call	02/03/11	1.375	02/03/14 08/03/11	1,000,000.00	998,900.00 0.00	999,079.65 31.11	1,000,053.00 (814.00)	973.35	0.00	1,145.83	6,798.61	2.48	1.41
3133XWKV0 FHLB		03/28/11	2.375	03/14/14	1,000,000.00	1,030,620.00 923.61	1,027,054.27 (877.28)	1,043,659.00 2,188.00	16,604.73	0.00	1,979.16	9,038.19	2.56	1.32
31331KMF4 FFCB	Call	06/06/11	1.180	06/06/14 06/06/12	1,000,000.00	1,000,000.00 0.00	1,000,000.00 0.00	1,004,100.00 1,807.00	4,100.00	0.00	983.34	1,802.78	2.49	1.18
31398A2Y7 FNMA	Call	08/26/10	1.500	08/26/14 08/26/11	1,000,000.00	1,002,470.00 0.00	1,000,169.18 (209.78)	1,000,775.00 (1,182.00)	605.82	0.00	1,250.00	6,458.33	2.49	1.25
313370JS8 FHLB		07/28/11	1.375	09/12/14	1,000,000.00	1,011,560.00 5,194.44	1,011,519.51 (40.49)	1,016,500.00 4,940.00	4,980.49	0.00	114.59	5,309.03	2.52	1.00
3136FJAS0 FNMA	Call	09/16/09	2.000 V	09/16/14 09/16/11	1,000,000.00	1,000,000.00 0.00	1,000,000.00 0.00	1,000,903.00 (949.00)	903.00	0.00	1,666.67	7,500.00	2.49	2.00
3133XWVT3 FHLB	Call	04/01/10	1.500 V	02/24/15 08/24/11	1,025,000.00	1,025,000.00 0.00	1,025,000.00 0.00	1,025,752.35 (1,274.08)	752.35	0.00	1,281.25	6,705.21	2.55	1.50
313373JK9 FHLB	Call	04/29/11	2.100	04/29/15 04/29/13	1,000,000.00	1,002,500.00 0.00	1,002,178.52 (106.02)	1,025,150.00 8,667.00	22,971.48	0.00	1,750.00	5,366.67	2.49	1.97
313373XT4 FHLB	Call	06/14/11	1.875	06/08/15 06/08/12	1,000,000.00	1,004,620.00 312.50	1,004,004.00 (397.83)	1,010,040.00 9,627.00	6,036.00	0.00	1,562.50	2,760.42	2.50	1.40
31331JRK1 FFCB	Call	11/03/10	2.500	06/15/15 06/15/12	1,000,000.00	1,028,060.00 0.00	1,015,171.42 (1,474.34)	1,014,825.00 (3,621.00)	(346.42)	0.00	2,083.33	3,194.44	2.56	0.75
3136FPDS3 FNMA	Call	11/08/10	1.000 V	09/16/15 09/16/11	1,000,000.00	1,002,150.00 0.00	1,000,316.99 (213.62)	1,001,200.00 (1,052.00)	883.01	0.00	833.33	3,750.00	2.49	0.75
313371AE6 FHLB	Call	10/15/10	1.000 V	10/15/15 10/15/12	1,000,000.00	1,001,250.00 0.00	1,000,754.10 (53.01)	1,006,914.00 7,506.00	6,159.90	0.00	833.33	2,944.44	2.49	0.94
3136FPRE9 FNMA	Call	10/26/10	1.000 V	10/26/15 04/26/12	1,000,000.00	1,001,250.00 0.00	1,000,613.59 (70.72)	1,004,354.00 5,048.00	3,740.41	0.00	833.33	2,638.89	2.49	0.92
31331JX57 FFCB	Call	10/28/10	1.540	10/28/15 10/28/11	1,000,000.00	1,000,000.00 0.00	1,000,000.00 0.00	1,003,016.00 11,624.00	3,016.00	0.00	1,283.33	3,978.33	2.49	1.54
3136FRFN8 FNMA	Call	04/19/11	1.250 V	04/19/16 04/19/12	1,000,000.00	998,250.00 0.00	998,349.62 29.70	1,006,069.00 (711.00)	7,719.38	0.00	1,041.67	3,541.67	2.48	3.22
TOTAL					23,025,000.00	23,160,728.75 7,430.55	23,092,375.79 (5,204.47)	23,257,346.35 31,269.92	164,970.56	19,750.00	32,766.66	101,488.40	57.62	

Corporate

**City of Englewood
Securities Held
July 31, 2011**

CUSIP/ Description	Purchase Date	Rate/ Coupon	Maturity/ Call Date	Par Value/ Shares	Historical Cost/ Accrued Interest Purchased	Amortized Cost/Accretion (Amortization)	Fair Value/ Change In Fair Value	Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Interest Received	Interest Earned	Total Accrued Interest	% Port Cost	Yield
Corporate													
88579EAF2 3M	04/26/10	4.500	11/01/11	1,000,000.00	1,053,010.00 0.00	1,008,803.10 (2,966.27)	1,010,886.00 (3,526.00)	2,082.90	0.00	3,750.00	11,250.00	2.62	0.96
084670AS7 Berkshire Hathaway	10/05/09	4.750	05/15/12	1,000,000.00	1,074,500.00 0.00	1,022,514.17 (2,423.40)	1,033,590.00 (3,776.00)	11,075.83	0.00	3,958.34	10,027.78	2.67	1.82
36962G4E1 GE Capital	07/16/10	3.500	08/13/12	1,000,000.00	1,034,170.00 0.00	1,017,017.47 (1,395.61)	1,029,982.00 (214.00)	12,964.53	0.00	2,916.66	16,333.33	2.57	1.81
59217EBZ6 Metropolitan Life Glob	08/27/10	2.875	09/17/12	1,000,000.00	1,033,570.00 0.00	1,018,436.72 (1,383.87)	1,021,619.00 (337.00)	3,182.28	0.00	2,395.83	10,701.39	2.57	1.22
06406HBK4 Bank of New York	08/27/10	5.125	08/27/13	1,000,000.00	1,113,040.00 0.00	1,078,075.99 (3,197.29)	1,081,928.00 (3,578.00)	3,852.01	0.00	4,270.83	21,923.61	2.77	1.27
478160AX2 Johnson & Johnson	05/20/11	1.200	05/15/14	1,000,000.00	998,830.00 0.00	998,908.29 33.25	1,012,370.00 9,381.00	13,461.71	0.00	1,000.00	2,366.67	2.48	1.24
TOTAL				6,000,000.00	6,307,120.00 0.00	6,143,755.74 (11,333.19)	6,190,375.00 (2,050.00)	46,619.26	0.00	18,291.66	72,602.78	15.69	
GRAND TOTAL				39,748,566.38	40,198,643.57 7,430.55	39,960,270.83 (16,919.04)	40,181,465.73 23,277.92	221,194.90	20,164.38	56,408.24	194,992.14	100.00	

V = variable rate, current rate shown, average rate for Cash & Equivalents

E = Corp Sec FDIC Guaranteed

City of Englewood
GASB 40 - Deposit and Investment Risk Disclosure
July 31, 2011

CUSIP	Type	Coupon	Maturity Date	Call Date	S&P Rating	Moody Rating	Par Value / Shares	Historical Cost	% Portfolio Hist Cost	Market Value	% Portfolio Mkt Value	Weighted Avg Mkt Dur (Yrs)
FHLB												
3133XUEC3	U.S. Instrumentality	2.650	08/12/2013	08/12/2011	AAA	Aaa	1,000,000.00	1,014,218.75	2.52	1,000,640.00	2.49	0.04
3133XWVT3	U.S. Instrumentality	1.500	02/24/2015	08/24/2011	AAA	Aaa	1,025,000.00	1,025,000.00	2.55	1,025,752.35	2.55	0.07
313373XT4	U.S. Instrumentality	1.875	06/08/2015	06/08/2012	AAA	Aaa	1,000,000.00	1,004,620.00	2.50	1,010,040.00	2.51	0.85
313371AE6	U.S. Instrumentality	1.000	10/15/2015	10/15/2012	AAA	Aaa	1,000,000.00	1,001,250.00	2.49	1,006,914.00	2.51	1.20
313373JK9	U.S. Instrumentality	2.100	04/29/2015	04/29/2013	AAA	Aaa	1,000,000.00	1,002,500.00	2.49	1,025,150.00	2.55	1.71
3133XWKV0	U.S. Instrumentality	2.375	03/14/2014		AAA	Aaa	1,000,000.00	1,030,620.00	2.56	1,043,659.00	2.60	2.53
313370JS8	U.S. Instrumentality	1.375	09/12/2014		AAA	Aaa	1,000,000.00	1,011,560.00	2.52	1,016,500.00	2.53	3.04
ISSUER TOTAL							7,025,000.00	7,089,768.75	17.64	7,128,655.35	17.74	1.36
FNMA												
31398A2Y7	U.S. Instrumentality	1.500	08/26/2014	08/26/2011	AAA	Aaa	1,000,000.00	1,002,470.00	2.49	1,000,775.00	2.49	0.07
3136FJAS0	U.S. Instrumentality	2.000	09/16/2014	09/16/2011	AAA	Aaa	1,000,000.00	1,000,000.00	2.49	1,000,903.00	2.49	0.13
3136FPDS3	U.S. Instrumentality	1.000	09/16/2015	09/16/2011	AAA	Aaa	1,000,000.00	1,002,150.00	2.49	1,001,200.00	2.49	0.13
31398AZN5	U.S. Instrumentality	1.000	11/23/2011		AAA	Aaa	1,000,000.00	999,600.00	2.49	1,002,580.00	2.50	0.32
3136FRFN8	U.S. Instrumentality	1.250	04/19/2016	04/19/2012	AAA	Aaa	1,000,000.00	998,250.00	2.48	1,006,069.00	2.50	0.72
3136FPRE9	U.S. Instrumentality	1.000	10/26/2015	04/26/2012	AAA	Aaa	1,000,000.00	1,001,250.00	2.49	1,004,354.00	2.50	0.74
31398A4N9	U.S. Instrumentality	1.000	10/15/2013		AAA	Aaa	1,000,000.00	1,001,170.00	2.49	1,004,887.00	2.50	2.18
ISSUER TOTAL							7,000,000.00	7,004,890.00	17.43	7,020,768.00	17.47	0.61
FFCB												
31331JX57	U.S. Instrumentality	1.540	10/28/2015	10/28/2011	AAA	Aaa	1,000,000.00	1,000,000.00	2.49	1,003,016.00	2.50	0.25
31331JAP8	U.S. Instrumentality	1.050	12/29/2011		AAA	Aaa	1,000,000.00	998,000.00	2.48	1,003,525.00	2.50	0.42
31331KMF4	U.S. Instrumentality	1.180	06/06/2014	06/06/2012	AAA	Aaa	1,000,000.00	1,000,000.00	2.49	1,004,100.00	2.50	0.85
31331JRK1	U.S. Instrumentality	2.500	06/15/2015	06/15/2012	AAA	Aaa	1,000,000.00	1,028,060.00	2.56	1,014,825.00	2.53	0.87
31331XG30	U.S. Instrumentality	5.450	06/21/2012		AAA	Aaa	1,000,000.00	1,039,790.00	2.59	1,045,240.00	2.60	0.88
31331Y3X6	U.S. Instrumentality	3.950	07/23/2012		AAA	Aaa	1,000,000.00	999,320.00	2.49	1,034,925.00	2.58	0.97
ISSUER TOTAL							6,000,000.00	6,065,170.00	15.09	6,105,631.00	15.20	0.71
CT PLUS												
	Cash and Equivalents	0.090			AAA	Aaa	5,723,566.38	5,723,566.38	14.24	5,723,566.38	14.24	0.00
ISSUER TOTAL							5,723,566.38	5,723,566.38	14.24	5,723,566.38	14.24	0.00
T-Note												
912828LV0	U.S. Treasury	1.000	08/31/2011		AAA	Aaa	1,000,000.00	1,000,000.00	2.49	1,000,547.00	2.49	0.09
912828LW8	U.S. Treasury	1.000	09/30/2011		AAA	Aaa	1,000,000.00	1,004,140.63	2.50	1,001,328.00	2.49	0.17
912828LT5	U.S. Treasury	1.000	10/31/2011		AAA	Aaa	1,000,000.00	1,003,320.31	2.50	1,002,031.00	2.49	0.26
912828MQ0	U.S. Treasury	0.875	02/29/2012		AAA	Aaa	1,000,000.00	998,437.50	2.48	1,003,670.00	2.50	0.59

City of Englewood
GASB 40 - Deposit and Investment Risk Disclosure
July 31, 2011

CUSIP	Type	Coupon	Maturity Date	Call Date	S&P Rating	Moody Rating	Par Value / Shares	Historical Cost	% Portfolio Hist Cost	Market Value	% Portfolio Mkt Value	Weighted Avg Mkt Dur (Yrs)
ISSUER TOTAL							4,000,000.00	4,005,898.44	9.97	4,007,576.00	9.97	0.28
FHLMC												
3134G1X66	U.S. Instrumentality	1.375	02/03/2014	08/03/2011	AAA	Aaa	1,000,000.00	998,900.00	2.48	1,000,053.00	2.49	0.01
3134G16J8	U.S. Instrumentality	0.800	12/14/2012	09/14/2011	AAA	Aaa	1,000,000.00	1,001,500.00	2.49	1,000,530.00	2.49	0.12
3134G2JF0	U.S. Instrumentality	1.050	12/09/2013	12/09/2011	AAA	Aaa	1,000,000.00	1,000,500.00	2.49	1,001,709.00	2.49	0.36
ISSUER TOTAL							3,000,000.00	3,000,900.00	7.47	3,002,292.00	7.47	0.17
Bank of New York												
06406HBK4	Corporate	5.125	08/27/2013		AA-	Aa2	1,000,000.00	1,113,040.00	2.77	1,081,928.00	2.69	1.95
ISSUER TOTAL							1,000,000.00	1,113,040.00	2.77	1,081,928.00	2.69	1.95
Berkshire Hathaway												
084670AS7	Corporate	4.750	05/15/2012		AA+	Aa2	1,000,000.00	1,074,500.00	2.67	1,033,590.00	2.57	0.78
ISSUER TOTAL							1,000,000.00	1,074,500.00	2.67	1,033,590.00	2.57	0.78
3M												
88579EAF2	Corporate	4.500	11/01/2011		AA-	Aa2	1,000,000.00	1,053,010.00	2.62	1,010,886.00	2.52	0.26
ISSUER TOTAL							1,000,000.00	1,053,010.00	2.62	1,010,886.00	2.52	0.26
GE Capital												
36962G4E1	Corporate	3.500	08/13/2012		AA+	Aa2	1,000,000.00	1,034,170.00	2.57	1,029,982.00	2.56	1.01
ISSUER TOTAL							1,000,000.00	1,034,170.00	2.57	1,029,982.00	2.56	1.01
Metropolitan Life Global Fund												
59217EBZ6	Corporate	2.875	09/17/2012		AA-	Aa3	1,000,000.00	1,033,570.00	2.57	1,021,619.00	2.54	1.11
ISSUER TOTAL							1,000,000.00	1,033,570.00	2.57	1,021,619.00	2.54	1.11
Morgan Stanley												
61757UAF7	U.S. Agency	2.000	09/22/2011		AAA	Aaa	1,000,000.00	1,001,330.00	2.49	1,002,602.00	2.50	0.15
ISSUER TOTAL							1,000,000.00	1,001,330.00	2.49	1,002,602.00	2.50	0.15
Johnson & Johnson												
478160AX2	Corporate	1.200	05/15/2014		AAA	Aaa	1,000,000.00	998,830.00	2.48	1,012,370.00	2.52	2.74
ISSUER TOTAL							1,000,000.00	998,830.00	2.48	1,012,370.00	2.52	2.74

City of Englewood
GASB 40 - Deposit and Investment Risk Disclosure
July 31, 2011

CUSIP	Type	Coupon	Maturity Date	Call Date	S&P Rating	Moody Rating	Par Value / Shares	Historical Cost	% Portfolio Hist Cost	Market Value	% Portfolio Mkt Value	Weighted Avg Mkt Dur (Yrs)
GRAND TOTAL							39,748,566.38	40,198,643.57	100.00	40,181,465.73	100.00	0.70

Highlighted totals are issuers representing 5.00% or more of the portfolio's market value.

**City of Englewood
Securities Purchased
for the period July 1, 2011 - July 31, 2011**

CUSIP/ Description	Purchase Date	Rate/ Coupon	Maturity/ Call Date	Par Value/ Shares	Unit Cost	Principal Cost	Accrued Interest Purchased	Yield
<u>Cash and Equivalents</u>								
CT PLUS	07/01/2011	0.090 V		171,297.07	100.000	171,297.07	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/01/2011	0.090 V		1.19	100.000	1.19	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/02/2011	0.090 V		1.19	100.000	1.19	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/03/2011	0.090 V		1.19	100.000	1.19	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/04/2011	0.090 V		1.19	100.000	1.19	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/05/2011	0.090 V		1.20	100.000	1.20	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/06/2011	0.090 V		1.21	100.000	1.21	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/07/2011	0.090 V		1.19	100.000	1.19	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/08/2011	0.090 V		1,013,676.94	100.000	1,013,676.94	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/08/2011	0.090 V		1.18	100.000	1.18	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/09/2011	0.090 V		1.18	100.000	1.18	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/10/2011	0.090 V		1.18	100.000	1.18	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/11/2011	0.090 V		1.18	100.000	1.18	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/12/2011	0.090 V		1.17	100.000	1.17	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/13/2011	0.090 V		0.96	100.000	0.96	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/14/2011	0.090 V		62,396.66	100.000	62,396.66	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/14/2011	0.090 V		0.97	100.000	0.97	0.00	0.09

**City of Englewood
Securities Purchased
for the period July 1, 2011 - July 31, 2011**

CUSIP/ Description	Purchase Date	Rate/ Coupon	Maturity/ Call Date	Par Value/ Shares	Unit Cost	Principal Cost	Accrued Interest Purchased	Yield
<u>Cash and Equivalents</u>								
CT PLUS	07/15/2011	0.090 V		462,563.94	100.000	462,563.94	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/15/2011	0.090 V		1.00	100.000	1.00	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/16/2011	0.090 V		1.00	100.000	1.00	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/17/2011	0.090 V		1.00	100.000	1.00	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/18/2011	0.090 V		0.85	100.000	0.85	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/19/2011	0.090 V		0.91	100.000	0.91	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/20/2011	0.090 V		0.91	100.000	0.91	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/21/2011	0.090 V		0.91	100.000	0.91	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/22/2011	0.090 V		0.91	100.000	0.91	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/23/2011	0.090 V		0.91	100.000	0.91	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/24/2011	0.090 V		0.91	100.000	0.91	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/25/2011	0.090 V		0.91	100.000	0.91	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/26/2011	0.090 V		0.91	100.000	0.91	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/27/2011	0.090 V		0.90	100.000	0.90	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/28/2011	0.090 V		0.90	100.000	0.90	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/29/2011	0.090 V		0.90	100.000	0.90	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/30/2011	0.090 V		0.90	100.000	0.90	0.00	0.09

**City of Englewood
Securities Purchased
for the period July 1, 2011 - July 31, 2011**

CUSIP/ Description	Purchase Date	Rate/ Coupon	Maturity/ Call Date	Par Value/ Shares	Unit Cost	Principal Cost	Accrued Interest Purchased	Yield
<u>Cash and Equivalents</u>								
CT PLUS	07/31/2011	0.090 V		0.90	100.000	0.90	0.00	0.09
CT PLUS	07/31/2011	0.090 V		414.38	100.000	414.38	0.00	0.09
TOTAL				1,710,380.80		1,710,380.80	0.00	
<u>U.S. Instrumentality</u>								
313370JS8 FHLB	07/28/2011	1.375	09/12/2014	1,000,000.00	101.156	1,011,560.00	5,194.44	1.00
TOTAL				1,000,000.00		1,011,560.00	5,194.44	
GRAND TOTAL				2,710,380.80		2,721,940.80	5,194.44	

V = variable rate, opening rate shown, average rate for Cash & Equivalents

City of Englewood
Securities Sold and Matured
for the period July 1, 2011 - July 31, 2011

CUSIP/ Description	Sale or Maturity Date	Rate/ Coupon	Maturity/ Call Date	Par Value/ Shares	Historical Cost	Amortized Cost at Sale or Maturity / Accr / (Amort)	Sale/ Maturity Price	Fair Value at Sale or Maturity / Chg. In Fair Value	Realized Gain (Loss)	Accrued Interest Sold	Interest Received	Interest Earned	Yield	
<u>Cash and Equivalents</u>														
CT PLUS	07/29/11	0.090 V		500,000.00	500,000.00	500,000.00 0.00	100.00	500,000.00 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	
TOTAL				500,000.00	500,000.00	500,000.00 0.00		500,000.00 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
<u>U.S. Instrumentality</u>														
31331GZQ5 FFCB	Call	07/07/11	3.450	07/07/14 08/07/11	1,000,000.00	1,005,000.00	1,000,000.00 (42.25)	100.00	1,000,000.00 (468.00)	0.00	0.00	17,250.00	575.00	3.18
3133735E8 FHLB	Call	07/08/11	1.500	04/08/14 08/08/11	1,000,000.00	999,750.00	999,766.71 1.62	100.00	1,000,000.00 (261.00)	233.29	3,750.00	0.00	291.67	1.51
TOTAL				2,000,000.00	2,004,750.00	1,999,766.71 (40.63)		2,000,000.00 (729.00)	233.29	3,750.00	17,250.00	866.67		
GRAND TOTAL				2,500,000.00	2,504,750.00	2,499,766.71 (40.63)		2,500,000.00 (729.00)	233.29	3,750.00	17,250.00	866.67		

V = variable rate, current rate shown, average rate for Cash & Cash Equivalents

**City of Englewood
Transaction Report
for the period July 1, 2011 - July 31, 2011**

Date	CUSIP	Transaction	Sec Type	Description	Maturity	PAR Value/Shares	Principal	Interest	Transaction Total	Balance
07/01/2011		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		171,298.26	171,298.26	0.00	(171,298.26)	(171,298.26)
07/02/2011		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		1.19	1.19	0.00	(1.19)	(171,299.45)
07/03/2011		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		1.19	1.19	0.00	(1.19)	(171,300.64)
07/04/2011		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		1.19	1.19	0.00	(1.19)	(171,301.83)
07/05/2011		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		1.20	1.20	0.00	(1.20)	(171,303.03)
07/06/2011		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		1.21	1.21	0.00	(1.21)	(171,304.24)
07/07/2011	31331GZQ5	Interest	INS	FFCB	07/07/2014	1,000,000.00	0.00	17,250.00	17,250.00	(154,054.24)
	31331GZQ5	Call	INS	FFCB	07/07/2014	1,000,000.00	1,000,000.00	0.00	1,000,000.00	845,945.76
		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		1.19	1.19	0.00	(1.19)	845,944.57
07/08/2011	3133735E8	Call	INS	FHLB	04/08/2014	1,000,000.00	999,766.71	3,750.00	1,003,750.00	1,849,694.57
		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		1,013,678.12	1,013,678.12	0.00	(1,013,678.12)	836,016.45
07/09/2011		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		1.18	1.18	0.00	(1.18)	836,015.27
07/10/2011		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		1.18	1.18	0.00	(1.18)	836,014.09
07/11/2011		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		1.18	1.18	0.00	(1.18)	836,012.91
07/12/2011		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		1.17	1.17	0.00	(1.17)	836,011.74
07/13/2011		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		0.96	0.96	0.00	(0.96)	836,010.78
07/14/2011		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		62,397.63	62,397.63	0.00	(62,397.63)	773,613.15
07/15/2011		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		462,564.94	462,564.94	0.00	(462,564.94)	311,048.21
07/16/2011		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		1.00	1.00	0.00	(1.00)	311,047.21
07/17/2011		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		1.00	1.00	0.00	(1.00)	311,046.21
07/18/2011		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		0.85	0.85	0.00	(0.85)	311,045.36
07/19/2011		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		0.91	0.91	0.00	(0.91)	311,044.45
07/20/2011		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		0.91	0.91	0.00	(0.91)	311,043.54
07/21/2011		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		0.91	0.91	0.00	(0.91)	311,042.63
07/22/2011		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		0.91	0.91	0.00	(0.91)	311,041.72
07/23/2011	31331Y3X6	Interest	INS	FFCB	07/23/2012	1,000,000.00	0.00	19,750.00	19,750.00	330,791.72
		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		0.91	0.91	0.00	(0.91)	330,790.81
07/24/2011		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		0.91	0.91	0.00	(0.91)	330,789.90
07/25/2011		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		0.91	0.91	0.00	(0.91)	330,788.99
07/26/2011		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		0.91	0.91	0.00	(0.91)	330,788.08
07/27/2011		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		0.90	0.90	0.00	(0.90)	330,787.18
07/28/2011	313370JS8	Bought	INS	FHLB	09/12/2014	1,000,000.00	1,011,560.00	5,194.44	(1,016,754.44)	(685,967.26)
		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		0.90	0.90	0.00	(0.90)	(685,968.16)
07/29/2011		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		0.90	0.90	0.00	(0.90)	(685,969.06)
		Sold	CE	CT PLUS		500,000.00	500,000.00	0.00	500,000.00	(185,969.06)
07/30/2011		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		0.90	0.90	0.00	(0.90)	(185,969.96)
07/31/2011		Interest	CE	CT PLUS		5,723,554.81	0.00	414.38	414.38	(185,555.58)

**City of Englewood
Transaction Report
for the period July 1, 2011 - July 31, 2011**

Date	CUSIP	Transaction	Sec Type	Description	Maturity	PAR Value/Shares	Principal	Interest	Transaction Total	Balance
07/31/2011		Bought	CE	CT PLUS		415.28	415.28	0.00	(415.28)	(185,970.86)
									Portfolio Activity Total	(185,970.86)
		Net Contributions		Net Withdrawals		0.00	Fees Charged		Fees Paid	
		188,805.64					2,834.78		2,834.78	

City of Englewood
Securities Purchased Bid and Offer
for the period July 1, 2011 – July 31, 2011

Date	Description	Rate/Coupon	Maturity/Call Date	Par Value/Shares	Broker	Bid/Offer	Comments	Accepted
7/28/2011	FHLB	1.375	9/12/2014	1,000,000.00	Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Inc. DTC# 773	YTM 1.00	FHLB 1.375% 09/12/14	x
					CitiGroup DTC# 418	YTM 0.97	FNMA 3.00% 09/16/14	
					UBS Financial Services DTC # 0221	YTM 0.75	T-Note 2.375% 09/30/14	

City of Englewood
Securities Sold Bid and Offer
for the period July 1, 2011 – July 31, 2011

Date	Description	Rate/Coupon	Maturity/Call Date	Par Value/Shares	Broker	Bid/Offer	Comments	Accepted
------	-------------	-------------	--------------------	------------------	--------	-----------	----------	----------

No Sale Activity

**City of Englewood
Upcoming Cash Activity
for the next 45 days**

Date	Transaction	CUSIP	Description	Coupon	Maturity Date	Next Call Date	Par / Shares	Principal	Interest	Transaction Total
08/03/2011	Interest	3134G1X66	FHLMC	1.375	02/03/2014	08/03/2011	1,000,000.00	0.00	6,875.00	6,875.00
08/12/2011	Potential Call	3133XUEC3	FHLB	2.650	08/12/2013	08/12/2011	1,000,000.00	1,000,000.00	13,250.00	1,013,250.00
08/13/2011	Interest	36962G4E1	GE Capital	3.500	08/13/2012		1,000,000.00	0.00	17,500.00	17,500.00
08/24/2011	Potential Call	3133XWVT3	FHLB	0.000	02/24/2015	08/24/2011	1,025,000.00	1,025,000.00	7,687.50	1,032,687.50
08/26/2011	Potential Call	31398A2Y7	FNMA	1.500	08/26/2014	08/26/2011	1,000,000.00	1,000,000.00	7,500.00	1,007,500.00
08/27/2011	Interest	06406HBK4	Bank of New York	5.125	08/27/2013		1,000,000.00	0.00	25,625.00	25,625.00
08/31/2011	Interest	912828MQ0	T-Note	0.875	02/29/2012		1,000,000.00	0.00	4,375.00	4,375.00
08/31/2011	Maturity	912828LV0	T-Note	1.000	08/31/2011		1,000,000.00	1,000,000.00	5,000.00	1,005,000.00
09/12/2011	Interest	313370JS8	FHLB	1.375	09/12/2014		1,000,000.00	0.00	6,875.00	6,875.00
09/14/2011	Potential Call	3134G16J8	FHLMC	0.800	12/14/2012	09/14/2011	1,000,000.00	1,000,000.00	4,000.00	1,004,000.00
09/14/2011	Interest	3133XWKV0	FHLB	2.375	03/14/2014		1,000,000.00	0.00	11,875.00	11,875.00

Sue Carlton-Smith

From: Dan Brotzman
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2011 2:14 PM
To: Lou Ellis; Leigh Ann Hoffhines
Cc: Gary Sears; Sue Carlton-Smith
Subject: Fair Campaign Practices Act

Attached is the relevant portion of the Fair Campaign Practices Act with regard to the ballot issues:

Fair Campaign Practices Act

1-45-117. State and political subdivisions - limitations on contributions. (1) (a) (I) No agency, department, board, division, bureau, commission, or council of the state or any political subdivision of the state shall make any contribution in campaigns involving the nomination, retention, or election of any person to any public office, nor shall any such entity make any donation to any other person for the purpose of making an independent expenditure, nor shall any such entity expend any moneys from any source, or make any contributions, to urge electors to vote in favor of or against any:

(A) Statewide ballot issue that has been submitted for the purpose of having a title designated and fixed pursuant to section 1-40-106 (1) or that has had a title designated and fixed pursuant to that section;

(B) Local ballot issue that has been submitted for the purpose of having a title fixed pursuant to section 31-11-111 or that has had a title fixed pursuant to that section;

(C) Referred measure, as defined in section 1-1-104 (34.5);

(D) Measure for the recall of any officer that has been certified by the appropriate election official for submission to the electors for their approval or rejection.

(II) However, a member or employee of any such agency, department, board, division, bureau, commission, or council may respond to questions about any such issue described in subparagraph (I) of this paragraph (a) if the member, employee, or public entity has not solicited the question. A member or employee of any such agency, department, board, division, bureau, commission, or council who has policy-making responsibilities may expend not more than fifty dollars of public moneys in the form of letters, telephone calls, or other activities incidental to expressing his or her opinion on any such issue described in subparagraph (I) of this paragraph (a).

(b) (I) Nothing in this subsection (1) shall be construed as prohibiting an agency, department, board, division, bureau, commission, or council of the state, or any political subdivision thereof from expending public moneys or making contributions to dispense a factual summary, which shall include arguments both for and against the proposal, on any issue of official concern before the electorate in the jurisdiction. Such summary shall not contain a conclusion or opinion in favor of or against any particular issue. As used herein, an issue of official concern shall be limited to issues that will appear on an election ballot in the jurisdiction.

(II) Nothing in this subsection (1) shall be construed to prevent an elected official from expressing a personal opinion on any issue.

(III) Nothing in this subsection (1) shall be construed as prohibiting an agency, department, board, division, bureau, commission, or council of the state or any political subdivision thereof from:

(A) Passing a resolution or taking a position of advocacy on any issue described in subparagraph (I) of paragraph (a) of this subsection (1); or

(B) Reporting the passage of or distributing such resolution through established, customary means, other than paid advertising, by which information about other proceedings of such agency, department, board, division, bureau, or council of the state or any political subdivision thereof is regularly provided to the public.

(C) Nothing in this subsection (1) shall be construed as prohibiting a member or an employee of an agency, department, board, division, bureau, commission, or council of the state or any political subdivision thereof from expending personal funds, making contributions, or using personal time to urge electors to vote in favor of or against any issue described in subparagraph (I) of paragraph (a) of this subsection (1).

(2) The provisions of subsection (1) of this section shall not apply to:

(a) An official residence furnished or paid for by the state or a political subdivision;

(b) Security officers who are required to accompany a candidate or the candidate's family;

(c) Publicly owned motor vehicles provided for the use of the chief executive of the state or a political

subdivision;

(d) Publicly owned aircraft provided for the use of the chief executive of the state or of a political subdivision or the executive's family for security purposes; except that, if such use is, in whole or in part, for campaign purposes, the expenses relating to the campaign shall be reported and reimbursed pursuant to subsection (3) of this section.

(3) If any candidate who is also an incumbent inadvertently or unavoidably makes any expenditure which involves campaign expenses and official expenses, such expenditures shall be deemed a campaign expense only, unless the candidate, not more than ten working days after the such expenditure, files with the appropriate officer such information as the secretary of state may by rule require in order to differentiate between campaign expenses and official expenses. Such information shall be set forth on a form provided by the appropriate officer. In the event that public moneys have been expended for campaign expenses and for official expenses, the candidate shall reimburse the state or political subdivision for the amount of money spent on campaign expenses.

(4) Any violation of this section shall be subject to the provisions of sections 9 (2) and 10 (1) of article XXVIII of the state constitution or any appropriate order or relief, including an order directing the person making a contribution or expenditure in violation of this section to reimburse the fund of the state or political subdivision, as applicable, from which such moneys were diverted for the amount of the contribution or expenditure, injunctive relief, or a restraining order to enjoin the continuance of the violation.

Campaign do's and don'ts

by Geoff Wilson, General Counsel

Ballots in statewide or local elections often include issues of profound importance to Colorado municipalities. As community leaders, municipal officials can and should become actively involved in the public discussion of these issues. However, the state Fair Campaign Practices Act places significant restrictions on the use of public funds for advocacy purposes or for dispensing information in connection with local or statewide ballot issues (Section 1-45-117, C.R.S.).

The FCPA restrictions apply once a statewide petition has been submitted for title setting, once a title has been set on a local initiative or referendum, and upon final action of the governing body placing a referred measure on the ballot. These guidelines are intended to provide municipal officials and employees with general guidance concerning what they may or may not do, consistent with the FCPA. However, the municipal attorney should be consulted before any action is taken that could be viewed as subject to the public-funds restrictions in the FCPA.

Permissible activities


It is permissible to do the following in campaigns in support of or in opposition to a proposed measure:

1. The local governing body may take a position of advocacy on the issue. The governing body may pass a resolution and take a public stand urging the electorate to vote for or against any matter. Staff background research that leads to passage of a resolution is also permissible.

Local governments may report the passage of or distribute such resolution through established, customary means, other than paid advertising, by which information about other proceedings of [the governing body] is regularly provided to the public" (such

as via a local government newsletter or cable television broadcast).

2. The act provides that any public official who has policy-making responsibilities may spend up to \$50 of public money on phone calls, letters or other activities "incidental to express-



ing his or her opinion on any such issue."

This provision is intended to help public officials avoid technical violations of the act when they are otherwise endeavoring to avoid use of public funds in their advocacy activity; it should not be viewed as affirmative authority to spend public funds on advocacy.

3. Elected officials may speak out on the issues presented on the ballot. There is no limitation in the FCPA on the right of public officials to address any matter before the electorate; the limitation is on expenditure of public funds.

4. Public employees and paid elected officials may work on the campaign and speak out on the issues on their own time. Any public employee who becomes involved in the campaign should document that the effort is done on his or her own time.

If the public employee is on a recorded-hour system, make sure the record reflects that the public employee took time off from public du-

ties to engage in campaign activities.

5. If the local government has a policy permitting public groups to use its facilities for community purposes, it may allow groups opposed to or supportive of the ballot proposition to use those facilities if the policy is applied in an evenhanded fashion.

6. Public employees may respond to unsolicited questions or requests for information about a ballot issue; however, the local government should carefully avoid producing information for distribution that is designed to influence the passage or defeat of the issue.

7. The local governing body may use public funds to develop and distribute a factual summary on any issue that will appear on a ballot in the jurisdiction. The summary must include arguments for or against the proposal, but the summary itself may not contain a conclusion or opinion in favor of or against the proposal.

Impermissible activities

It is impermissible under the FCPA, except as indicated above, to do the following in campaigns in support of or in opposition to a proposed measure:

1. use or expend public funds or supplies;
2. allow employees or paid officers to work on a campaign during their working hours or use any public facility or equipment for the purposes of a campaign;
3. provide transportation or advertising using public property or funds to influence, directly or indirectly, the passage or defeat of any issue; or
4. grant an employee or officer leave from his job or office with the local government, with pay, to work on a campaign.

CML contact: Geoff Wilson, (303) 831-6411. ■



MEMO

TO: Mayor Bradshaw and
Members of City Council

FROM: Dan Brotzman, City Attorney

DATE: May 6, 2003

RE: Fair Campaign Practices Act Restrictions

The critical date regarding the Fair Campaign Practices Act for ballot issues is the second reading of the ordinance. Section 5 of each of the ballot questions reads as follows:

Section 5. for purposes of Section 1-11-203.5, C.R.S., this Ordinance shall serve to set the title and content of the ballot issue set forth herein and the ballot title for such question shall be the text of the question itself. Any petition to contest the form or content of the ballot title may be filed with the District Court and a copy served on the City Clerk within five days after the title of the ballot question is set by the City Council on final reading of this Ordinance.

Attached is the CML Memorandum with regard to the Fair Campaign Practices Act Restrictions as well as the City of Englewood's Policy as to "Political Activity".

cc: Gary Sears
Frank Gryglewicz
Lou Ellis

Attachments

bb

MEMORANDUM



TO: Mayor Burns and City Council Members
All Department Directors

FROM: Gary Sears, City Manager
Dan Brotzman, City Attorney

DATE: June 4, 2001

SUBJECT: **Election Campaign Participation - Employee Guidelines**

The Colorado Fair Campaign Practices Act (FCPA) places significant restrictions on campaign activities by cities, employees and officers.

It is permissible under the FCPA for City employees to do the following:

- ✓ The City may expend public monies and may use staff time and City resources to create and dispense a factual summary regarding the ballot issue. The summary must include arguments for and against the proposal and may not contain a conclusion or opinion for or against the proposal. (The City Manager and City Attorney must review all documents that are to be distributed.)
- ✓ At the direction of City management, City employees may use staff time and City resources to respond to questions they receive from the public concerning ballot issues. (When responding to inquiries from the public, stick to the facts.)
- ✓ Employees may advocate for or against a ballot issue on their own time, and they may contribute their own personal funds to urge electors to vote for or against a ballot issue. Such personal activities should be documented to show they were done on personal time and do not involve the use of public monies.

The following activities are not permissible under the FCPA:

- ✓ Public funds and resources may not be used to influence the passage or defeat of a ballot issue.
 - ✓ City employees may not work to influence the passage or defeat of a ballot issue on City time.
 - ✓ City facilities and equipment may not be used to influence the passage or defeat of a ballot issue. The City has a policy permitting public groups to use its facilities for community purposes. The City cannot, however, in any way be involved in organizing such events.
- ✓ Please take note of the attached City of Englewood Administrative Policy Manual, Subject: Political Activity, Number 21.

If questions come up as to what action you can take as a City employee regarding the November election, you are encouraged to contact your supervisor.



City of Englewood


City of Englewood

2011 Englewood Community Profile

Englewood is a full-service city that is centrally located within the Denver metropolitan area. With outstanding access, Englewood is ideally situated for residents, businesses, and visitors. Englewood boasts a strong employee base and business-friendly government. Englewood has long been the location of choice for successful business. Light rail transit and excellent access to the Denver metro area are among the many reasons that put Englewood at the crossroads to commerce. Englewood offers small town convenience with big city amenities.

Englewood at a Glance

Incorporated	1903
Square Miles	6.64
Population	30,255
Households	14,375
Housing Units	15,478
Median Age	37.1
Employment	23,620
Student Enrollment (K-12)	3,634



There are six primary industries operating in Englewood. Primary industries are geographic concentrations of interconnected companies and institutions that drive wealth creation within the region, primarily through the export of goods and services. These primary industries are:

- Automotive
- Business Support Services
- Construction
- Life Sciences
- Manufacturing
- Sporting Goods




People

Demographics (2010)

	Population	Median Age	Labor Force	Unemployment
Englewood	30,255	37.1	20,759	10.2%
Arapahoe County	572,003	35.7	310,017	8.8%
Metro Denver	2,784,228	35.8	1,523,021	8.8%

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2010; Colorado Department of Labor and Employment; U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Income and Spending




Resident Occupations

Occupation	Englewood	Metro
Management & Professional	31.0%	40.5%
Sales & Office	27.0%	25.5%
Service	17.9%	15.7%
Construction	13.5%	9.3%
Production	10.5%	8.8%
Farming, Fishing, & Forestry	0.1%	0.2%

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2007-2009 American Community Survey; City of Englewood; Colorado Department of Revenue, 2011.

Note: Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding. Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2007-2009 American Community Survey.

Total Englewood Retail Sales (2010)



Retail sales data is derived from Englewood businesses sales tax payments. Sources: City of Englewood; Development Research Partners, 2010.

Business

Employment Base

Industry	Percent of Total	Employment	Businesses	Average Annual Wage
Private Sector				
Services	23.2	5,490	510	\$46,830
Medical	21.5	5,090	200	\$60,610
Construction	12.4	2,940	210	\$48,980
Manufacturing	10.8	2,560	140	\$47,700
Retail	9.6	2,260	170	\$35,280
Food & Entertainment	5.8	1,360	100	\$15,640
All Other	9.4	2,210	200	\$44,730
Government				
	7.2	1,710	10	\$39,660
Total/Average	100	23,620	1,540	\$46,540

Note: Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding.

Sources: Colorado Department of Labor and Employment; Development Research Partners, 2010.

Major Employers

Swedish Medical Center	1,910
Sports Authority	820
Craig Hospital	720
Kärcher North America	370
Veolia Transportation	300
Regional Transportation District	250
7-Up Bottling Co.	220
Meadow Gold Dairies, Inc.	190
Wal-Mart	150
Julia Temple Center	150
Duro Electric Co.	110
ADK Electrical Corp.	100
John Elway Chevrolet	100
Kaiser Permanente	80

Taxes

<u>Colorado Income</u>		<u>Sales</u>		<u>Property</u>	
				<u>Mill Levies</u>	
Corporate	4.63%	Englewood County	3.50%	Englewood	8.01
Individual	4.63%	State	0.25%	School	37.495
		Districts	2.90%	County	15.949
		<u>Total</u>	1.20%	<u>Other</u>	0.523
			7.85%	<u>Total</u>	61.977

Real Estate (Englewood/Metro Denver)

Enterprise Zone

Businesses located within the enterprise zone are eligible for State of Colorado income tax credits. See our web site at www.Englewoodgov.org for more information.

	Millions of Square Feet	Vacancy Rate	Avg. Lease Rate
Office—Class A	0.0/56.6	—/11.8%	—/\$24.44
Office—Class B	1.2/91.1	10.0%/15.2%	\$18.09/\$17.97
Office—Class C	0.5/20.1	6.5%/9.4%	\$13.06/\$14.28
Retail	2.4/160.7	4.2%/7.2%	\$15.96/\$14.57
Industrial	8.1/219.8	3.4%/6.1%	\$5.06/\$4.62
Flex	0.6/39.3	8.6%/13.2%	\$8.85/\$9.27

Source: CoStar Realty Information, Inc., Second Quarter 2011.

Business

Utilities

Monthly Electrical Cost Comparisons (Average Bill)

Metro Area	Residential 750kWh	Commercial 40kWh/14,000kWh	Industrial 1,000kWh/650,000kWh
Atlanta	\$83	\$1,980	\$50,389
Houston	\$79	\$1,100	\$37,514
Englewood/Denver	\$88	\$1,577	\$42,766
Phoenix	\$98	\$1,754	\$52,974
Portland	\$80	\$1,148	\$46,583
Salt Lake City	\$70	\$1,155	\$37,986

Source: Edison Electric Institute, Typical Bills and Average Rates Report, January 2010.

City of Englewood Utilities

Water: \$3.29/1,000 gallons for the first 400,000 gallons and \$2.04/1,000 gallons after 400,000 gallons.

Sewer: \$2.86/1,000 gallons


Storm water: \$0.00554 per square foot of impervious surface.

Air

The City of Englewood is located 30 miles from Denver International Airport (DIA), the fifth busiest airport in the country and the 10th busiest in the world. DIA is home to 14 commercial carriers providing nonstop daily service to more than 160 domestic and international destinations. Centennial Airport, the country's third busiest general aviation airport, is just 12 miles east of Englewood.

Transportation

Traffic Counts Annual Average Daily



Sources: Colorado Department of Transportation, 2010; NAVJOJ Consulting Services, Inc., 2010; City of Englewood, 2008.

Highways

Englewood is centered on South Broadway and US 285/Hampden Avenue. US 85/Santa Fe provides direct north-south access. In addition, Englewood is within minutes of C-470 and I-25.



Light Rail


Two existing transit stations provide convenient access to light rail. There were about 5,520 weekday arrivals and departures at Englewood Station and over 1,000 weekday arrivals and departures at Oxford Station. A third light rail station, at West Bates Avenue, is part of the FasTracks transit expansion project and could be built in the coming years.

Freight

The Burlington Northern Santa Fe and Union Pacific Railways serve the Englewood business district, adding value to commercial enterprises. Englewood businesses also benefit from easy trucking access.

Community

Housing



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2010.



Home Prices and Units

	Median Home Price 2010	Avg. Price/SF 2010	Avg. Monthly Rent 4Q 2010	Total Housing Units 2010
Englewood	\$187,000	\$167	\$857	15,478
Arapahoe County	\$189,500	\$135	\$890	238,301
Metro Denver	\$219,000	\$161	\$909	1,173,777

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2010; Colorado Comps, LLC; Denver Metro Apartment Vacancy and Rent Survey.

Cost of Living Index

Metro Area	All Items Index	Grocery	Housing	Utilities	Transportation	Health Care	Misc. Goods and Services
Atlanta	95.5	96.1	90.5	86.5	99.3	103.1	100.3
Dallas	91.8	96.2	70.5	105.7	100.9	103.6	100.4
Englewood/ Denver	103.1	101.0	107.2	102.1	95.4	105.7	102.7
Phoenix	100.6	108.1	90.2	96.8	108.9	108.6	104.6
Salt Lake City	100.6	100.0	107.7	72.7	102.1	98.6	102.9
Seattle	121.2	115.0	139.9	85.8	118.8	119.7	119.1

Source: ACCRA Cost of Living Index, 2010 Annual Average Data.

Community

Englewood Schools K-12

Students—2010	3,634
Avg. ACT Score—2010	17.3
Number of Schools	
Elementary*	6
Middle	2
High School**	3
Pre-Kindergarten	1

*Includes private schools that serve grades PK-8.
 **Includes a private school that serves grades 7-12.
 Source: Colorado Department of Education, 2010.

Higher Education

<i>Community Colleges</i>
Arapahoe Community College
Community College of Aurora
Community College of Denver
Front Range Community College
Red Rocks Community College

<i>Colleges and Universities</i>
Colorado State University
Colorado School of Mines
Metropolitan State College
Regis University
University of Colorado Boulder
University of Colorado Denver
University of Denver
University of Northern Colorado
University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus

84.6% of Englewood residents are high school graduates while 27.2% have graduated from college.

Arts and Entertainment



The City of Englewood places an emphasis on the arts and encourages the display of public art throughout the community. The Cultural Arts Commission, Art in Public Places Program, Museum of Outdoor Arts, and an art shuttle contribute to Englewood’s art culture. One of the focal points of CityCenter Englewood is the amphitheater, located just northwest of the Englewood Civic Center near the light rail station. Amphitheater events include the Sounds of Summer concert series, KidStage performances, and many other musical and dramatic performances. Hampden Hall at Englewood Civic Center is a state-of-the-art facility. The 192-seat hall hosts events ranging from theatrical, dance, and musical performances to art exhibits and educational lectures.

Parks and Recreation

The City of Englewood offers some of the finest recreational opportunities in the region. Englewood’s recreation center, Broken Tee Englewood golf course, Malley Senior Recreation Center, and aquatics programs have received numerous awards. Englewood has more than 250 acres of parks and open space land, including 12 neighborhood parks located throughout the community. Pirates Cove – an outdoor water park with water slides, a competition pool, leisure pool, and lazy river – makes a big splash during the summer months.



City of Englewood

Community Development Department
 1000 Englewood Parkway
 Englewood, CO 80110-2373
 Phone: 303-762-2342
 E-mail: commdev@englewoodgov.org
 www.englewoodgov.org

Supported by the Enterprise
 Zone marketing grant

MEMORANDUM



TO: Gary Sears, City Manager

THROUGH: Rick Kahm, Director of Public Works ✓

FROM: David Henderson, Engineering/Capital Projects Administrator ✓

DATE: August 10, 2011

SUBJECT: PROJECT UPDATES –Servicenter Salt/Sand Storage, Union Ave. Bridge Repair, Kent Place, Security Camera Project, Xcel Energy Projects, Denver S. Broadway Improvements, Concrete Utility Program 2011, Safety Services Generator Project, City Radio System, Street Maintenance Projects 2011, CityCenter Site Development, Englewood McLellan Reservoir Foundation, Air Quality/Energy Savings Projects

SERVICENTER SALT/SAND STORAGE

The Servicenter is fast tracking the installation of a fabric storage building for salt and sand storage. This 45' X 60' structure is required to protect the salt from the elements and prevent it from leaching into storm drains or the Platte River. As the City moved to using IceSlicer last year, we converted the existing covered storage for IceSlicer and moved the salt and sand outdoors. It is necessary to keep salt/sand on hand for a major storm.

This project is budgeted in the 2011 Servicenter Budget. The estimated building cost is \$39,000. The fabric tent structure sits on top of an asphalt pad with block around the perimeter. The Street Division will prepare the pad and install the block foundation with in-house labor. Four bids were received at the bid opening held on July 21st. The low bid (\$34,000) was submitted by WGES and is below our estimate. **WGES should deliver submittals and a proposed construction scheduled soon.**

UNION AVE. BRIDGE REPAIRS

Council approved awarding a contract to Technology Constructors. Contract documents have been signed by contractor. Based on recent discussions with the contractor, we will wait until after Labor Day to close the bridge. This will allow adequate time to have all materials on site and the contractor will have additional labor available by that time. This will give us the best opportunity to reduce the amount of time that the bridge will be closed. Our contractor is required to mail notification to the surrounding properties 3 weeks prior to the bridge closing. Variable message signs will be installed 10 days prior to the closing. **The bridge is scheduled to close on September 13th. The attached notification will be mailed to properties in the area and publicized on the City's web site.**

KENT PLACE

The developer has selected Mark Young Construction, Inc. as the contractor for both onsite and offsite work. Permits have been issued for all of the off-site work, along with grading and retaining wall work on-site.

Off-site work

The first phase will be the installation of the water line in Hwy. 285. The contractor expects to begin this work the week of August 8th and should be complete in about 4 weeks. **Saw cutting asphalt for the water line work began this week.** A two-lane closure of Hwy. 285 is required for the majority of the water line work. CDOT does not allow two-lane closures during the day so the work must be performed at night between the hours of 7:00 PM and 5:30 AM. Some water line work, requiring only one-lane closures of Hwy. 285, will be done during the day. Staff has reminded the contractor of the City's noise ordinance and they will be monitoring the sound levels during the night work. A variable message board sign was installed on Hwy. 285 east of University to notify motorists of the upcoming water line work on 285.

The next phase of the off-site work will be the installation of the water line in University Blvd. This is an Englewood street and we are requiring all work to be performed during the day between the hours of 8:30 AM and 3:30 PM. A variable message board sign will be installed one week prior to the start of work on University.

Attached is the latest schedule supplied by the contractor.

On-site work

The contractor has mobilized and set up a construction trailer. The contractor is setting up erosion control in preparation for grading the site. Staff expects additional schedule information regarding on-site work in the coming weeks.

Police/Code Enforcement

Englewood Police received notice that transients may be entering the Kent Place construction site. Police met with the contractor's foreman and project manager to discuss security and to recommend methods to help minimize incidents. Contact phone numbers were exchanged, including 24 hour emergency contact numbers to be kept by Police Dispatch.

Staff notified the contractor representatives that weeds on-site are in violation of Englewood Ordinances. The contractor was instructed to trim weeds and keep the site in compliance. Police/Code Enforcement staff will follow up to ensure compliance with codes. The Police reminded the contractor to avoid using neighborhood streets to transport construction equipment, as oversize equipment is not permitted on neighborhood streets. The contractors will continue to ensure their staff is considerate of the neighbors and that they maintain a partnership with the City during the project.

URBAN DRAINAGE PROJECTS

The Urban Drainage and Flood Control District (UDFCD), at the request of the City, is performing drainage repair work on the High School Detention Basin. This work consists of the installation of a new under-drain pipe in the west swale, the repair and cleaning on other sections of the existing under-drain pipe, and some minor channel cleaning in Little Dry Creek. This work is expected to take approximately one month to complete, and will not have any effect on the school's utilization of the ball fields. Staff has notified the school district of the work.

SECURITY CAMERA PROJECT

Review meetings were conducted for the Library, Civic Center, Servicenter, and EEF with Cator, Ruma, & Associates.

XCEL ENERGY PROJECTS

Hampden gas line bore

The project is complete.

Race St. through Kent Village

The project is substantially complete.

Santa Fe Light Poles

The Tri-Cities group discussed the status of this project at a meeting held in Littleton.

DENVER S. BROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS

The City of Denver continued construction on S. Broadway between Wesley and Yale.

Information about the Denver project can be seen at the following website:

<http://www.denvergov.org/ProjectsInProgress/SouthBroadwayWesleytoYale/tabid/434078/Default.aspx>

CONCRETE UTILITY 2011

T & M Construction continued removing and replacing concrete in the SE quadrant. Questions regarding this work should be directed to the Concrete Utility, 303-762-2360 or concreteutility@englewoodgov.org.

SAFETY SERVICES GENERATOR PROJECT

City Council approved a Bill for an Ordinance to accept a FEMA "Assistance to Firefighters Grant". This grant includes the purchase and installation of a 200KW generator. The new generator has been installed. The last phase of the project requires a 2 hour shutdown of the facility while final connections are made. We are coordinating with Xcel and Police/Fire staff for an acceptable date (probably mid August). **The final shutdown and hookups by Xcel Energy are scheduled for August 17th.**

CITY RADIO SYSTEM-REPLACEMENT WITH PUSH TO TALK

The Servicenter Garage continues to remove old radios from vehicles as they are being serviced.

STREET MAINTENANCE PROJECTS 2011

Residential rotomilling and overlays resumed this week. Street Division staff place "No Parking" signs the day before scheduled work and notices are distributed to affected properties. Project lists are on the City's web site, <http://www.englewoodgov.org/Index.aspx?page=893>; construction updates listed under Street Closures.

CDOT continued the Belleview Overlay Project (between Broadway and Santa Fe).

CITYCENTER SITE DEVELOPMENT

Alexan East and West Parcels

438 residential units. Alexan's occupancy level for January, 2011 is 93.8%. Commercial uses: Let It Bead, Curves for Women, State Farm, Lifetime Family Practice, Cuttin'It Loose, Jackson Hewitt Tax Service, Foothills Physical Therapy, Creative Perspectives, CityCenter Community, and Solid Grounds.

Parkway Retail / Office Buildings

Commercial uses: The International House of Pancakes (IHOP), Qdoba, GNC, Supercuts, Nails Center, CityCenter Dental Group, MMB Hearing, Tableaux Interior Design, Englewood Eyecare, Collective Licensing Int'l, Inc., M.P. Hayutin, LLC, CityCenter Wine and Spirits, Quizno's, Miller/Weingarten, Air Walk, "Insurance Company of the West", Printwear Express, Fred Astaire Dance Studio, Wellness Treatment Center, and SB Clark Companies.

Bally's Building

Tenants include Bally's, "Blondies Fire House Pub and Restaurant", and MaxFour.

Retail South of the Parkway

Tenants: Petco, Ross, Payless Shoes, Coldstone Creamery, Noodles, Sports Authority, and Office Depot.

Gold Mine Pad

Tenants include: Jamba Juice, Tokyo Joe's, and Mega Wraps. Browncow has closed.

CityCenter Site

EEFI continues project management of all infrastructure on the site. Staff is monitoring the security, sweeping, snow removal, and day porter services performed under the Common Area Maintenance.

Wal Mart Expansion

Wal Mart's contractor continued construction of a 10,488 square foot addition to the east side of the store. The project will eliminate the tire/auto section and add square footage for groceries.

Bradley Station Environmental

EEFI's environmental consultants prepared an Executive Summary Report (dated July 28, 2008). Updates will be provided as additional information is received.

ENGLEWOOD MCLELLAN RESERVOIR FOUNDATION (EMRF)

PA-84 West

Construction for TT of Denver (Mike Ward Infiniti) is progressing on a schedule for a fall opening.

PA-85 (RTD site)

RTD paid the final billing in the amount of \$591,836.86. Finance has deposited this money in the LTAR fund.

PA-85 (Benjamin Franklin Charter School)

Construction of the Benjamin Franklin Charter School continued. The contractor expects to complete construction by September.

AIR QUALITY/ENERGY SAVING PROJECTS

Flex Fuel Program

Currently, thirty-three of our fleet vehicles are running on E-85 ethanol fuel. All new replacement vehicles (less than ¾ ton) will be compatible with E-85. Approximately 50 Flex Fuel vehicles will be in our fleet within two years. Currently, the cost of E-85 fuel is approx. \$0.55 per gallon less than regular unleaded gasoline. Thirteen of our fleet vehicles run on Compressed Natural Gas (CNG).

Hybrid Vehicle Purchase

The City of Englewood applied for a grant through the Regional Air Quality Commission for funding of hybrid vehicle technology. Two units that have met the replacement criteria for 2011 and will be replaced with Ford Escape hybrid vehicles. One of the hybrid vehicles has been received and will be utilized by the Fire Department. **The other hybrid is expected to be delivered next week and will be used by the Building Division.** The total cost of these vehicles is within the budgeted replacement amount designated for these units, and if the grant is successful, the additional cost of the hybrid technology will be deposited back into the CERF fund.

"Green" Programs

We continue to work with our vendors to expand the Green product line as it becomes available. 90% of our custodial paper supplies are from recycled sources. The Servicenter is now "single-streaming" waste at the Servicenter and a dumpster has been supplied for *cardboard only* recycling.

Energy Saving Projects

In 2001, the Public Works Traffic Division began an ongoing program to convert traffic signals from incandescent lamps to Light-Emitting-Diode (LED) bulbs. To date, 80% of our City maintained signals have been converted. LED traffic signals use 90% less energy than incandescent lamps, last 5 times longer, and provide better visibility.

Electric Vehicle Charging Stations

Staff discussed a potential grant to install charging stations at the Study Session on May 23rd. The joint application has been submitted to the Department of Energy.



5636 Kendall Court, Unit A
Arvada, Colorado 80002-2747

NOTICE OF CONSTRUCTION – UNION AVENUE BRIDGE REPAIRS

Dear Owners & Residents,

The City of Englewood and Technology Constructors, Inc. would like to advise you that beginning on September 13, 2011 the Union Ave. bridge over the Platte River will be closed for construction. It is estimated that this work will be completed by October 10, 2011. Alternate routes to advise the traveling public will be posted starting on August 31st. These alternate routes will direct traffic to either Belleview Ave. or Oxford Ave. and then to Union Ave. for access to each side of the bridge. This detour will necessitate the temporary suspension of the no truck route on the west end of Union Avenue. This suspension is necessary to maintain access to all businesses and residences located on the west side of the bridge. Once this project is complete, the no truck route will be reestablished.

SCOPE OF WORK

Partial asphalt pavement removal and reconstruction of the east approach to the structure and pier cap modifications to both piers for the replacement of the existing expansion joint system.

Normal work hours will be from 7:00 AM – 5:00 PM Monday-Friday. Additional hours may be required to complete certain tasks as approved by the City Project Management Staff.

CONTRACTOR INFORMATION

General Contractor: Technology Constructors, Inc.
Address: 5636 Kendall Ct., Unit A, Arvada, CO 80002
Phone #: 303-431-2961

Project Superintendent: Mike Trujillo
Phone: (cell) 720-280-9580
Public Information Officer: Ed Callejo
Phone: 303-431-2961, ext. 14

YOUR COOPERATION

Your cooperation and patience would be greatly appreciated to ensure that this project runs safely and efficiently. Please assist us by observing all traffic signs, devices and personnel in the construction area. If you have any special needs, comments or concerns please contact our Public Information Officer. We welcome your feedback as to how well we are accommodating the motoring public during construction. For current updates please call our information hotline at 303-431-2961, ext.17.

Respectfully,

Ed Callejo, Project Manager
Technology Constructors, Inc.

**CITY OF ENGLEWOOD
2011 CALENDAR OF EVENTS**



Mon., Aug. 15	6:00 p.m.	City Council Study Session, Community Room
	7:30 p.m.	City Council Meeting, City Council Chambers
Tues., Aug 16	7:00 p.m.	Planning & Zoning Commission, City Council Chambers
Wed., Aug. 17	7:00 p.m.	Local Liquor and Medical Marijuana Licensing Authority, City Council Chambers
Mon., Aug. 22	5:00 p.m.	Special Meeting, City Council Chambers
	5:30 p.m.	City Council Study Session, Community Room
Fri., Sep. 2		City Furlough Day – City Facilities Closed
Mon., Sep. 5		City Hall Closed — Labor Day
Tues., Sep. 6	6:00 p.m.	City Council Study Session, Community Room
	7:30 p.m.	City Council Meeting, City Council Chambers
Wed, Sept 7	4:00 p.m.	Englewood Housing Authority, 3460 S. Sherman #203, Board Room
	5:45 p.m.	Cultural Arts Commission, Community Development Conference Room
	7:00 p.m.	Planning & Zoning Commission, Community Development City Council Chambers
	Cancelled	Local Liquor and Medical Marijuana Licensing Authority, Telephone Poll
Thurs. Sept 8	11:30 a.m.	Alliance for Commerce in Englewood Committee, City Council Conference Room
	5:30 p.m.	Parks & Recreation Commission, Broken Tee Englewood Golf Maintenance Facility, 4000 South Clay Street
	6:30 p.m.	Transportation Advisory Committee, City Council Conf. Rm.
Sat. Sept. 10	9:00 a.m.	2012 Budget Workshop, Community Room
Mon., Sep. 12	5:00 p.m.	City Council Study Session, Community Room

Tues., Sep. 13	5:00 p.m.	Water and Sewer Board, Community Development Conference Room
	6:30 p.m.	Keep Englewood Beautiful Commission, City Council Conference Room
	7:00 p.m.	Library Board, Library Board Room
Wed., Sep. 14	6:30 p.m.	Urban Renewal Authority, Community Development Conf. Rm.
	7:00 p.m.	Board of Adjustment and Appeals, City Council Chambers
Mon., Sep. 19	6:00 p.m.	City Council Study Session, Community Room
	7:30 p.m.	City Council Meeting, City Council Chambers
Tues, Sept 20	7:00 p.m.	Planning & Zoning Commission, City Council Chambers
Wed., Sep. 21	6:30 p.m.	Code Enforcement Advisory Committee Meeting, City Council Conference Room
	7:00 p.m.	Local Liquor and Medical Marijuana Licensing Authority, City Council Chambers
Mon., Sep. 26	6:00 p.m.	City Council Study Session, Community Room
Mon., Oct. 3	6:00 p.m.	City Council Study Session, Community Room
	7:30 p.m.	City Council Meeting, City Council Chambers
Tues., Oct 4	7:00 p.m.	Planning & Zoning Commission, City Council Chambers
Wed., Oct. 5	4:00 p.m.	Englewood Housing Authority, 3460 S. Sherman #203, Board Room
	5:45 p.m.	Cultural Arts Commission, City Council Conference Room
	7:00 p.m.	Local Liquor and Medical Marijuana Licensing Authority, City Council Chambers
Mon., Oct. 10	6:00 p.m.	City Council Study Session, Community Room
Tues., Oct. 11	5:00 p.m.	Water and Sewer Board, Community Development Conf. Rm.
	6:30 p.m.	Keep Englewood Beautiful Commission, City Council Conference Room
	7:00 p.m.	Library Board, Library Board Room
Wed., Oct 12	6:30 p.m.	Urban Renewal Authority, Community Development Conference Room
	7:00 p.m.	Board of Adjustment and Appeals, City Council Chambers

TENTATIVE

**STUDY SESSIONS TOPICS
FOR ENGLEWOOD CITY COUNCIL**



August 22	Special Meeting & Study Session Special Meeting at 5:00 p.m. 2012 Budget @ 5:30 p.m.
August 29	No Meeting Scheduled – 5 th Monday
September 6	Study Session & Regular Meeting – Tuesday Water and Sewer Board Interviews Medical Marijuana Residential Regulations Non-Emergency Retirement Pension Board Changes
September 12	Study Session 2012 Budget Workshop @ 5:00 p.m.
September 19	Study Session & Regular Meeting City-wide Retail Financial Report
September 26	Study Session
October 3	Study Session & Regular Meeting Executive Session - Personnel
October 10	Study Session Executive Session - Litigation UV/CH2M Hill Report – 6:30 p.m.
October 17	Study Session & Regular Meeting Financial Report
October 24	Study Session
October 31	No Meeting Scheduled – 5 th Monday
November 7	Study Session & Regular Meeting Study Session cancelled Swearing-in of New Council @ Regular Meeting
November 14	Study Session
November 21	Study Session & Regular Meeting Financial Report

November 28	Study Session Aid to Other Agencies Discussion City Council's participation on Boards/Commissions
December 5	Study Session & Regular Meeting Board/Commission Reappointment Discussion
December 12	Study Session Financial Report
December 19	Study Session & Regular Meeting Holiday Dinner – No Study Session Scheduled
December 26	No Meeting Scheduled Due to Holidays
January 4	Study Session & Regular Meeting – Tuesday Service Line Warranties Contract Renewal
January 9	Study Session Board & Commission Interviews
January 17	Study Session & Regular Meeting - Tuesday Financial Report Citizen of the Year Selection
January 23	Study Session
January 30	No Meeting Scheduled – 5 th Monday
February 6	Study Session & Regular Meeting
February 13	Study Session

FUTURE STUDY SESSION TOPICS

Historic Preservation
 Unrelated Persons per Household
 Inclusive Cities
 Sign Code
 Civility
 ACE role in business initiatives

City of Englewood
PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION
Minutes of June 9, 2011

I. Call to Order

The regular monthly meeting of the Englewood Parks and Recreation Commission was called to order at 5:30pm by Chairperson Gomes at Duncan Park, 4800 S. Pennsylvania Street.

Present: Austin Gomes, Chairperson
Mitch Waldman, Vice Chairperson
Carolyn Armstrong, Commission Member
Karen Miller, Englewood Schools Liaison
Jim Woodward, Commission Member
Douglas Garrett, Commission Member
Bob McCaslin, City Council Liaison
Donna Schnitzer, Cultural Arts Commission
Jerrell Black, ex officio

Absent: Emily Crennen, Youth Member
Randy Kloewer, Youth Member

Also Present: Dave Lee, Manager of Open Space
Bob Spada, Golf Manager
Joe Sack, Recreation Manager
Selwyn Hewitt (arrived at 5:46pm)

II. Approval of Minutes

Chairperson Gomes asked if there were any changes or corrections to the minutes of May 12, 2011. There were none. The minutes were approved as presented.

III. Scheduled Public Comment

There were no guests for scheduled comment.

IV. Unscheduled Public Comment

There were no guests for unscheduled public comment.

V. Aquatics Splash Grant

Recreation Manager Joe Sack informed the Commission that the Department of Parks and Recreation's aquatic program was the recipient of a \$5,000 grant from the USA Swimming Foundation. Sack explained that the grant is the same grant that was used to provide free swim lessons to youth last year; noting that it will be used to provide free

swim lessons again this year. Commission members were told that 275 children participated in last year's free swim lessons.

VI. Sponsorship Advertising

As discussed at prior Commission meetings, Black told the Commission that the Department of Parks and Recreation is working on a partnership with Sports Authority. Black reported that the Department has assembled a packet that Sports Authority is reviewing. The Commission was told that one suggestion that Sports Authority had is to hang a sign at the baseball fields, particularly at the Brent Mayne Field. Black told the Commission that he thought that this was a good time to bring up the subject of sponsorships and whether it would be appropriate for the Department to enter into sponsorships with facility, programming and other needs. Black stated that the Department will move forward with other banners at the ballfields and in the meantime work with the City Attorney's Department on drafting a policy with specific guidelines.

VII. 2012 Golf Fees

Bob Spada, Golf Manager, reported that golf staff is reviewing the current golf fee structure and will have information available for next month's meeting.

VIII. Denny Miller 60th Anniversary

Black discussed with the Commission a book called "Between You, Me - And the Fence Post" that talks about the Denny Miller story and commemorates the 60th Anniversary of the Denny Miller Baseball Field. Black stated that the City is in contact with the author, Blair Brady, Denny Miller's uncle, and is making plans for a 60th Anniversary ceremony and celebration for the later part of July. Black stated that on behalf of the Department, books would be purchased for the board members.

IX. Cultural Arts Commission Update

Schnitzer gave an update on the June Cultural Arts Commission meeting.

X. Director's Choice

Black announced that today was Arapahoe County Open Space's presentation for shareback funds and grant awards. Black told the Commission that the Department was awarded \$134,000 for the Riverside Planning Grant and \$237,000 for the Centennial Trail Loop Grant in addition to \$622,000 in shareback funds. In regard to matching funds for the grants, Black explained that the City will provide \$84,600 and for Riverside Planning Grant and \$157,700 for the Centennial Trail Loop project. Vice Chairperson Waldman asked where the matching funds will come from. Black explained that the funds will come from shareback funds. Black stated that prior to submitting the grants, the Department verifies that it has the matching funds available.

Black gave an update on the Duncan Park project. Black reported that Requests for Proposals are due on June 13th which staff plans on a couple of weeks to review. Black stated that once a firm is selected, City staff and the firm will begin the process of developing a preliminary site plan. Black explained that as part of the process, meetings will be held with the Duncan Park neighborhood. The Commission was told that the process is expected to take about six months and once completed, the final product will be presented to the Board for its approval. Black stated that the building will be demolished the first quarter of 2012.

**City of Englewood
CULTURAL ARTS COMMISSION
Minutes of July 6, 2011**

I. CALL TO ORDER

The regular monthly meeting of the City of Englewood Cultural Arts Commission was called to order at 5:47 pm by Chairperson Bertoluzzi at the Community Development Conference Room at the Civic Center.

Present: Eric Bertoluzzi, Chairperson (left at 6:15pm)
Donna Schnitzer, Commission Member
Karen Kenney, Commission Member
Maggie Stewart, Commission Member
Jill Wilson, City Council Liaison
Commission Member Kristy Reed (arrived at 6:20pm)
Jerrell Black, ex officio

Absent: Tamara Emmanuel, Commission Member
Kate Dulaney, Commission Member
Mary Lynn Baird, Englewood School District Liaison
Heather Bartunek, Commission Member

II. REVIEW OF AGENDA

There were no changes to the agenda.

III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Chairperson Bertoluzzi asked if there were any changes to the minutes of May 4, 2011. There were none. The minutes were approved as presented. The minutes from the June 1, 2011 meeting were not approved due to there not being a quorum of members present from that meeting.

IV. INTRODUCTION OF GUESTS

There were no guests.

V. NEW BUSINESS

Commission Member Maggie Stewart updated Commission members on the upcoming events featuring Rebecca Lolosoli, co-founder of the Umoja Uaso Woman's Village in Kenya, a refuge for abused women. Stewart told Commission that there had been great publicity and web support getting information out regarding Ms. Lolosoli's presentation at Hampden Hall on July 21 at 7pm. Stewart added that Ms. Lolosoli would be leading a beading class with renowned beading artist Wendy Ellsworth at Hampden Hall on Wednesday, July 20, from 6-9pm. Stewart provided flyers and posters for the events.

Stewart then announced that the programming of Hampden Hall had been transferred to Library staff, and spoke highly of the Library's new Director, Dorothy Hargrave, as well as her staff.

Jerrell Black told Commission members about a celebration later in July regarding Miller Field. Black explained that the Field had been dedicated 60 years ago to Denny Miller, a 12 year old boy from a prominent Englewood family who passed away in 1951. Black continued, saying that the fundraising and events surrounding the building of the Field had been a huge part of Englewood's history, and that Bob Hope had even been in attendance. Black said Blair Brady, Denny Miller's nephew, had written a memoir, "Between You, Me-...And the Fence Post", documenting his family's history in Englewood, including the creation of Miller Field. Black explained that Mr. Brady would be in Englewood the week of July 25 to attend book signings and photographic exhibitions at the Rec Center and Malley Center as well as the Library. Black said that Tuesday, July 26, "60th Anniversary Game Night" was scheduled at Miller Field beginning at 4:30pm.

Black invited Commission members to all events, announcing that the 60th Anniversary Game Night would include the presentation of a donated sculpture of a six-foot bat from the Brady family to the City; followed by Englewood youth baseball teams playing baseball on the Field. Black added that the Parks and Recreation Department would be providing refreshment for guests. Black ended discussion by suggesting that Commission do a formal recommendation to City Council to accept the sculpture donated by the Brady family.

Discussion followed, including where the Sculpture might be placed. Black said that decision had not been finalized.

A motion was made by Commission Member Stewart and seconded by Commission Member Schnitzer to recommend to City Council acceptance of the Denny Miller Memorial Sculpture according to the Gift In Kind Contribution Form. The motion passed unanimously.

Jerrell Black said staff would meet with City Council Monday night to update them about the Miller celebration.

VI. OLD BUSINESS

Donna Schnitzer told Commission that gardens in the Community Garden was doing well and that several meetings had been held. Schnitzer added that Groundbreaking had occurred at the Charles Hay Garden and that there was tremendous neighborhood support there. Schnitzer said that a plot had become available at the Community Garden that Café 180 had acquired.

VII. COMMITTEE REPORTS

There was no update on Public Art. Maggie Stewart commented on the fireworks show at Belleview Park on July 4, saying she thought it was the best show in the area. Jerrell Black said that estimates were that 30,000 people were in attendance. Regarding Englewood Arts, Eric Bertoluzzi mentioned the transfer of Hampden Hall scheduling to Library staff. Bertoluzzi said that he appreciated the City's cooperation in scheduling the facility, in particular the support from Michael Flaherty, Deputy Assistant Manager.

Donna Schnitzer informed Commission that the Department had won two Arapahoe County Open Space Grants, one for Riverside Park and one for the Centennial Trail. Jerrell Black added that the Department had also been awarded a grant from Fishing is Fun for \$13,000. Schnitzer mentioned another grant awarded to the Department, the Make A Splash Grant which had enabled 270 kids to participate in free swimming lessons. Lastly, Schnitzer

reminded Commission members about the Sounds of Summer Concerts being held on Thursday nights.

Bertoluzzi informed Commission about a new show at MOA, "Light Supply", an exhibition from multiple artists working with lights and sound.

VIII. UPCOMING EVENTS

Donna Schnitzer asked if anyone had any ideas for the Commission's craft booth at Funfest in Belleview Park in August. Commission Member Karen Kenney suggested tying in the Rebecca Lolosoli bead making story with a bead making booth for kids where they could be educated about Ms. Lolosoli's cause. Black told Commission members that staff would be glad to help procure supplies for the booth and asked Karen Kenney to forward information. Donna Schnitzer said she would inform Commission members about the plans for the booth.

IX. DIRECTOR'S CHOICE

Jerrell Black welcomed new Commission Member Kristy Reed to the Commission. Black said that the Cultural Arts Commission is an action oriented Board and that she will enjoy being a member.

Black spoke about the letter included in the packet inviting everyone to the Annual Board, Commission and Authority Appreciation Night at Pirates Cove on August 8 at 6:00pm. Black invited Commission members to come at 4:30pm to enjoy free swim time.

Black then told Commission members about a project he and IT Director Jeff Konishi are coordinating to install security cameras in numerous City facilities. Black explained that Council had approved the project last year, and after a lot of time spent they were close to finalizing the product as well as the financing. Black added that a Study Session would be scheduled with City Council to update them about the project. Lastly, Black said that three racquetball courts at the Rec Center are scheduled to be replaced starting August 8 with the construction lasting for four weeks, and that the fourth court will be replaced next year.

X. COMMISSION MEMBERS' CHOICE

Karen Kenney commented that she was glad to hear about projects in progress and things being replaced. Jerrell Black said that sales tax revenue was up compared to 2010 which was a very positive sign. Black added that some projects being worked on are due to grants which are tied to shareback funds. Black said that the Department had been very fortunate to apply for and receive \$300,000 in grants and \$600,000 in shareback funds.

Maggie Stewart commented that Pirates Cove appeared to be doing very well. Black said that last year over 120,000 visitors had enjoyed the Park and that an expansion is planned in the next few years.

Karen Kenney announced that she had moved out of Englewood and asked if she could remain on the Commission. Black said that she could. Kenny then told Commission about an idea she had for a Public Art project involving "foamcore" in 3' x 3' pieces for people to work on and create a puzzle. Commission agreed to consider the project. Karen offered to do more research to bring back to the Commission. Kenney then asked if the group was agreeable to meeting at Café 180. Schnitzer replied that the Commission had agreed to meet there in October. Commission Member Reed asked about the reason for the meeting

ENGLEWOOD TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

Minutes of July 14, 2011

Secretary's Note: As a quorum was not present, the minutes (notes) from this meeting do not require Member approval.



The regular bi-monthly meeting of the Englewood Transportation Advisory Committee was called to order by Chair Berger at 6:38 p.m. in the City Council Conference Room, City of Englewood Civic Center.

Roll Call.

Present: Andy Berger, Daryl Kinton, Randy Penn (Not voting), Rick Kahm (Not voting).

Absent: David Anderson, Kailei Higginson, Peter Smith.

Also Present: Ladd Vostry, Traffic Engineer
Linda Wilks, Recording Secretary
Sergeant Mike O'Connor

A quorum was not present this evening; it was noted that no issues can be voted upon.

Approval of Minutes

Chair Berger acknowledged the May 23, 2011 email poll for approval of minutes for the meeting of May 12, 2011. The minutes were approved, as presented, by Committee Members Anderson, Berger, and Smith.



Old Business

Traffic Engineer Vostry provided updated information received from Community Development Planner II Voboril regarding the status of the City's bicycle plans. Two open houses, one in May and one in June, were conducted by OB Consulting/Bicycle Colorado to gather input from citizens of Englewood. Additionally, they gathered input from Englewood Schools. A final plan is currently being prepared by the consultant, after which Mr. Voboril will return to ETAC in the near future for further discussion. Director Kahm suggested that, because of grant money timeline restrictions, ETAC may want to hold a special meeting in August if the plans become available for review.

New Business

There were no items presented for discussion under New Business.



Director's Choice

With regard to Denver's reconstruction of Broadway north of Yale Ave., Traffic Engineer Vostry reported that a temporary traffic signal has been installed for northbound traffic and that traffic is being shifted as necessary to accommodate construction. Additionally, concrete has been shaved on the east side of Broadway. Brief discussion ensued regarding bus difficulties turning onto Yale Ave. because of the tight radius. Staff is attempting to determine a feasible plan to make the right turn at the southeast corner more

accessible for buses.

Note: Recording temporarily inaudible, several Members speaking simultaneously.

Discussion ensued regarding pedestrians crossing Broadway.



Public Comment

Englewood resident, Jim Jordan, entered the meeting, stating he would like to address two concerns. First of all, Mr. Jordan stated he is pushing for a state legislative bill regarding a mandatory 20 year sentence for individuals involved in hit and run accidents resulting in injury, and is seeking support from city councils and county commissions in Colorado. He believes such a bill would force drivers to be more responsible for their actions, whereas currently only misdemeanor sentencing typically exists. Council Liaison Penn requested that Sgt. O'Connor obtain, to include in future discussion, the numbers of hit and run accidents that have occurred in Englewood as well as Arapahoe County. Secondly, Mr. Jordan discussed his concern regarding the potential need for a four-way stop at the intersection of Lincoln St. and Girard Ave. Mr. Jordan opined that drivers don't seem to see the existing pedestrian crossing signage and said he has observed a number of elderly citizens come close to being hit. Committee Member Kinton suggested that blinking lights could be helpful. Traffic Engineer Vostry stated that blinking pedestrian lights do currently exist mid-block on Girard to provide advance warning for conditions at this intersection, and crossing signs are installed at the intersection. Mr. Vostry noted that Girard serves as an emergency vehicle access route to Swedish Hospital. Mr. Vostry stated that State Law states motorists must yield to pedestrians in crosswalks, however, pedestrians have the responsibility to be watchful of approaching vehicles. It was noted that J-walking in this area has been observed. Mr. Vostry stated that installation of new rapid-flash pedestrian signage costs approximately \$10,000-15,000 per light, and solar would be more costly. New Yield to Pedestrians in Crosswalk signs have been ordered and will be installed on Girard at Lincoln in the next few weeks to heighten pedestrian safety.

Additionally, Mr. Jordan said he would like to see the crosswalk lights at the Broadway/Girard and Broadway/Floyd intersections extended to 45 or 60 seconds. Traffic Engineer Vostry said he will check the timing at these locations. Mr. Penn said these issues will be discussed again when a quorum is present.



Chairperson's Choice

Chair Berger advised that he is unable to attend the Board and Commission Appreciation Night at Pirates Cove on August 8th. Mr. Kinton said he plans to attend and will represent ETAC.

Mr. Berger inquired about the City's two new speed/message trailers. Sgt. O'Connor said that one is currently set up in the 3000 block of S. Grant St., the other will be located, beginning tomorrow, in the 1400 block of E. Bates Ave. Brief discussion ensued.

At Mr. Berger's inquiry, Sgt. O'Connor stated that only two registrations have been issued for golf cars in Englewood, thus far. Discussion ensued.

