
Council Newsletter



CITY MANAGER'S NOTES

May 8, 2014

Upcoming Council Meetings

Citizen of the Year will be held on **Monday, May 12, 2014**, at the Malley Center. The Malley Center Band will start playing at 6:30 p.m. prior to the start of the festivities at 7:00 p.m. City Council is honoring Kells Waggoner as the 2014 Citizen of the Year.

City Council will meet on **Monday, May 19, 2014**. An Executive Session will be held at 5:30 p.m. in the City Council Conference Room. The Study Session will begin at 6:00 p.m. in the Community Room. The Regular Meeting will begin at 7:30 p.m. in Council Chambers.

City facilities will be closed for the Memorial Day holiday on **Monday, May 26, 2014**.

Informative Memoranda

The following are memoranda in response to City Council's requests, as well as other informational items.

1. Article concerning the sex offender residency restrictions, Millennials/city services and prayers at council meetings.
2. E-mail concerning the 2014 Citizen Survey.
3. Flyer concerning the Dartmouth Avenue Bridge repair project.
4. E-mail concerning the HSSPV and the Molly Dharma Run on June 8, 2014.
5. E-mail providing an update concerning the Englewood Depot.
6. Calendar of Events.
7. Tentative Study Session Topics.
8. Minutes from the Cultural Arts Commission meeting of April 2, 2014.
9. Minutes from the Planning and Zoning Commission meeting of April 22, 2014.



Parks and Recreation Department

Engineered Wood Fiber Placed in Playgrounds

On Tuesday, May 6, the Parks Division applied engineered wood fiber into the playgrounds at Northwest Greenbelt and Belleview Park. The loose, mulch-like mixture of wood fiber improves accessibility (for wheelchairs, strollers, etc.), functionality and safety in playgrounds.



Sex offender residency restrictions being scaled back

By Yesenia Robles The Denver Post The Denver Post

Posted:

DenverPost.com

Less than 10 years after many cities rushed to draw restrictions and boundaries on where registered sex offenders could live, the trend is now reversing after a court case ruled one city's restrictions in conflict of state interests.

Englewood's 2006 ordinance restricting where sex offenders can live "impermissibly conflicts with the application and effectuation of the state interest in the uniform treatment, management, rehabilitation and reintegration of sex offenders," wrote U.S. District Judge R. Brooke Jackson in his order.

The order was published in August after a lawsuit on behalf of a sex offender who bought a house in Englewood. The order states that restrictive "not in my backyard ordinances" can have a domino effect, eventually forbidding any sex offenders from living anywhere in Colorado.

The Englewood city ordinance stated that sex offenders convicted of a felony had to be at least 2,000 feet away from schools, parks and playgrounds and at least 1,000 feet away from any day care, recreation center or public swimming pool.

In the city of almost 7 square miles, that made 99 percent of the city's residences off limits.

"Our ordinance was not changed. It's essentially unenforceable now," said Michael Flaherty, Englewood's deputy city manager.

Hoping to avoid a similar lawsuit, Greeley changed its rules in February to scale back on some restrictions.

On Monday, Commerce City has a second-reading vote to approve a new ordinance.

Greenwood Village, among the first to enact restrictions and the basis for Englewood's laws, is waiting to see how the Englewood case plays out in court.

Flaherty said Englewood filed an appeal in September and also has asked the Colorado Supreme Court to advise the appeals court. The court responded last month, accepting the case.

The lawsuit against the city was filed when Stephen Brett Ryals, who bought a house in Englewood with his wife, was later told he couldn't live there.

The same thing happened later to another man in Commerce City.

"We didn't know whether we wanted to wait or whether we wanted to change the ordinance, so we worked with the ACLU," Commerce City Deputy City Attorney Karen Stevens said.

The American Civil Liberties Union had stepped in to help the men in both cases, and provided studies and resources for Commerce City as city officials drafted the new ordinance that council is expected to approve Monday.

As part of the changes, Commerce City now will have an application process for sex offenders who aren't sexually violent predators to seek exception from the rules. Officials would evaluate the request and the public safety threat on a case-by-case basis.

Yesenia Robles: 303-954-1372,

or twitter.com/yeseniarobles



URBAN WORK

What Millennials Want—And Why Cities Are Right to Pay Them So Much Attention

ANTHONY FLINT MAY 05, 2014 76 COMMENTS



As a baby boomer myself, I'll confess to feeling a bit like, enough with all this talk about the Millennials already. But the reality is, those born roughly between 1982 and 2001 form a demographic cohort of some 80 million Americans. So it's not surprising that U.S. cities are paying a lot of attention to what they want—now and in the future—in terms of the places they live.

For cities, the resurgent interest in downtown living has long been attributed to aging boomers—those born roughly between 1945 and 1964, an estimated 80 million as well, many of whom have already become empty-nesters and sold the house in the suburbs and “right-sized” to a condo near the symphony and fabulous bistros. Another significant segment of growing urban populations, of course, are the young professionals and what Richard Florida calls the “creative class.”

Nearly half of those who owned a car said they would consider giving it up.

Millennials are something else again. Characterized, fairly or not, as competitive and driven, entitled and narcissistic, thoroughly technology-savvy, and more practical than ideological, they are finding their way in the world, and making the time-honored calibration of professional career and preferred place of residence.

Two public opinion polls came out in the last month suggesting the kinds of places Millennials like. Spoiler alert: It's Boston, New York, San Francisco, and Chicago, as well as communities such as—I'm inclined to say once again, of course—Boulder and Austin. The key characteristics seem to be walkability, good schools and parks, and the availability of multiple transportation options.

The first survey was released by The Rockefeller Foundation and Transportation for America, the arm of Smart Growth America that focuses on transportation as the key element of land use.

They found that 54 percent of Millennials surveyed would consider moving to another city if it had more or better options for getting around, and 66 percent said access to high quality transportation is one of the top three criteria they would weigh when deciding where to live. Nearly half of those who owned a car said they would consider giving it up if they could count on public transportation

options. Up to 86 percent said it was important for their city to offer opportunities to live and work without relying on a car.

The poll, conducted by Global Strategy Group, was done in 10 major U.S. cities across three 'tiers' of transportation systems -- "mature" (Chicago, New York City, San Francisco), "growing" (Charlotte, North Carolina; Denver; Los Angeles; Minneapolis-St. Paul) and "aspiring" (Indianapolis; Nashville; Tampa-St. Petersburg).

"This survey reinforces that cities that don't invest in effective transportation options stand to lose out in the long-run," says Michael Myers, a managing director at The Rockefeller Foundation. "As we move from a car-centric model of mobility to a nation that embraces more equitable and sustainable transportation options, Millennials are leading the way."

The second survey was released last week by the American Planning Association, on the last day of the organization's National Planning Conference in Atlanta, a gathering of some five thousand planners, elected officials and others. The report on the national poll, titled *Investing in Place*, compiled results of surveys of 1,040 Americans, roughly half Millennials, the other half baby boomers. Part of the message was that the two groups want many of the same things: better transportation options, walkable communities, technology-enabled cities, and housing that would allow "aging in place."

That poll, conducted by Harris, found that 68 percent of respondents believe the U.S. economy is fundamentally flawed, and that the path to prosperity lies in building up local communities—not through recruiting companies but by concentrating on these same basic elements of desirable places to live.

Whether the community is a small town, suburban or urban location, 49 percent of respondents said they someday want to live in a walkable community, while only seven percent want to live where they have to drive to most places. Over three-quarters noted the importance of affordable and convenient transportation options other than cars in deciding where to live and work; nearly two-thirds said the so-called "shared" economy, meaning companies like Car2Go or Airbnb, was at least somewhat important to them.

Forty-four percent of respondents said they were somewhat or extremely likely to move in the next five years. Fifteen of more than 300 U.S. metro areas named as top potential destinations were: San Diego, New York, Boston, Denver / Boulder, San Francisco, Seattle, Chicago, Los Angeles, Portland Ore., Washington, D.C., Austin, Phoenix, Charlotte, Atlanta, and Miami.

Skeptics might wonder about the framing of questions in polls like this. The APA survey points out the low percentage of respondents who said they would prefer "living in a suburb requiring driving to most places," rather than a place with "walkable amenities." What if they substituted "the freedom to drive to most places?" Nonetheless, there do seem to be some genuine common themes here. And certainly the scale of the demographics warrants this kind of market research: combining Millennials and boomers translates to roughly 160 million Americans, who are essentially the present and future customers of metropolitan regions. "As planners, it's vital that we look ahead 15 or 20 years," to guide growth, says APA President William Anderson.

The APA says its national poll was commissioned to "objectively analyze community preferences related to key demographic groups for economic development purposes." In the end, though, it's all about me. I'm what the APA would consider an "active boomer," and I do like being in an urban environment. My oldest son, born in 1996, is a Millennial; he's graduating from high school next month and off to college—Washington University in St. Louis—in August. He might surprise me, when he starts his life as an adult, but I can't picture him in a suburb, either. It all feels sensible and frankly a little bit obvious. City leaders and transportation policymakers are right to take note.

Keywords: Walkability, Millennials, Surveys



Anthony Flint is a fellow at the Lincoln Institute of Land Policy, a think tank in Cambridge, Mass., and author of *Wrestling With Moses: How Jane Jacobs Took On New York's Master Builder and Transformed the American City* and *This Land: The Battle Over Sprawl and the Future of America*. His next book, *Modern Man: The Life of Le Corbusier, Architect of Tomorrow* will be published in the fall of 2014 by Amazon Publishing.

All posts »

Don't miss the latest from Cities – subscribe to our daily email

Around The Web

Sponsored Content by Taboola

The Denver Post
Tuesday, May 6, 2014

SUPREME COURT

Prayers OK at council meetings

By Mark Sherman
The Associated Press

WASHINGTON» A narrowly divided Supreme Court upheld decidedly Christian prayers at the start of local council meetings Monday, declaring them in line with long national traditions although the country has grown more religiously diverse.

The content of the prayers is not significant as long as they do not denigrate non-Christians or try to win converts, the court said in a 5-4 decision backed by its conservative majority.

Although the decision split the court along ideological lines, the Obama administration backed the winning side, the town of Greece, N.Y., outside of Rochester.

PRAYER » 7A

PRAYER

«FROM 1A

The outcome relied heavily on a 1983 decision in which the court upheld an opening prayer in the Nebraska Legislature and said prayer is part of the nation's fabric, not a violation of the First Amendment's guarantee of freedom of religion.

Writing for the court Monday, Justice Anthony Kennedy said that forcing the clergy to scrub the prayers of references to Je-

sus Christ and other sectarian religious figures would turn officials into censors. Instead, Kennedy said, the prayers should be seen as ceremonial and in keeping with the nation's traditions.

The inclusion of a brief, ceremonial prayer as part of a larger exercise in civic recognition suggests that its purpose and effect are to acknowledge religious leaders and the institutions they represent, rather than to exclude or coerce nonbelievers," Kennedy said.

Justice Elena Kagan, writ-

ing for the court's four liberal justices, said, "I respectfully dissent from the court's opinion because I think the Town of Greece's prayer practices violate that norm of religious equality — the breathtakingly generous constitutional idea that our public institutions belong no less to the Buddhist or Hindu than to the Methodist or Episcopalian."

Kagan said the case differs significantly from the 1983 decision because "Greece's town meetings involve participation by ordinary citizens, and the in-

vocations given — directly to those citizens — were predominantly sectarian in content."

Kennedy himself was the author of an opinion in 1997 that held that a Christian prayer delivered at a high school graduation did violate the Constitution.

The justice said Monday there are differences between the two situations, including the age of the audience and the fact that attendees at the council meeting may step out of the room if they do not like the prayer.

WE INVITE YOU TO SHOP ONE OF COLORADO'S TOP CHOICES

We look for



2011 Buick

\$1*

SUSS Corp
1301
BUICK GMC (383)

Pre-owned #E4211

Leigh Ann Hoffhines

From: Englewood Website Administrator
Sent: Monday, May 05, 2014 11:00 AM
To: Leigh Ann Hoffhines
Subject: City of Englewood, Colorado: 2014 Citizen Survey coming soon to 1,200 randomly-selected Englewood households

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click Here](#).

2014 Citizen Survey coming soon to 1,200 randomly-selected Englewood households

Post Date: 05/05/2014 10:32 AM

The City of Englewood is conducting a citizen survey to gauge satisfaction with City services and to help set priorities for Englewood's future.

The survey will be sent to 1,200 randomly-selected households in Englewood in mid-May. Those who are selected will receive a postcard letting them know they have been chosen to participate. A short time later, they will receive the survey itself, along with a self-addressed, stamped envelope. The notification postcards will be mailed May 6, 2014.

The survey, which is being conducted by the National Research Center in Boulder, is part of the National Citizen Survey offered by the National League of Cities. The national survey provides a statistically valid and cost-effective template for measuring community opinions. The survey will be customized for Englewood, but is standardized enough that we can measure our results against hundreds of other communities across the country.

The results of the survey should be available in late July and the complete report will be posted on our website.

For those Englewood residents who are not selected to participate in the survey but would like to offer feedback, a shorter online version of the survey will be available on our website from May 16 through June 13. Visit our website at www.engagewoodgov.org/2014-citizen-survey to access the online survey.

If you have questions about the survey, contact the City Manager's Office at 303-762-2310.

To change your eNotification preference, [Click Here](#). To unsubscribe from all City of Englewood, Colorado eNotifications, [Click Here](#).



City of Englewood
Department of Public Works

DARTMOUTH AVENUE BRIDGE REPAIR PROJECT



Deck repairs to the Dartmouth Ave. bridge at S. Platte River Dr. will begin soon.

Eastbound Dartmouth Ave. will be closed for one weekend between S. Platte River Drives while repairs are made to the concrete bridge deck. One westbound lane will remain open for the duration of the project. This project is scheduled to start on Friday May 16, 2014 with the continuous closure of all but the westbound lane of Dartmouth Ave. after 12:00 P.M. Construction will continue throughout the weekend with one lane in each direction open on Monday Morning May 19th. All traffic lanes will be re-opened on Tuesday morning, May 20. It has been determined that a continuous weekend closure is the most effective means to reduce traffic and other construction related impacts to the public.

The contractor selected to complete this project is KECI Colorado Inc. They can be

contacted at 303-791-3759 ext 16. One week prior to the start of construction, the contractor will install variable message boards at each end of Dartmouth Avenue (West of Santa Fe) to notify the traveling public of this closure. Traffic will be detoured to Evans Ave. or Hampden Ave. during this closure.

Complete construction or potential weather-delay updates will be available on the City of Englewood website as we get closer to the start of construction.

Please visit: www.engagewoodgov.org. Questions and concerns may be directed to the Public Works Department at 303-762-2500. We appreciate your patience and cooperation during this construction.

From: Leslie Maisonneuve [mailto:
Sent: Wednesday, April 30, 2014 10:00 AM
To: Michael Flaherty
Subject: Re: Molly Dharma Run

I wanted to thank your folks for promoting the shelter in the Englewood Citizen! That is huge!!

I also wanted to give you a heads up about another exciting event that will be coming up on the 8th of June – the Molly Dharma Run (I've attached a flyer)! We were so honored to be chosen as the shelter benefiting from the run this year! Yesterday was very cool. Ken Mann, a co-founder of the Run was awarded the 7 Everyday Hero award at HSSPV!! He is a very nice man and we were so happy to see him win this award!! Channel 7 was at the shelter for about an hour interviewing folks and filming HSSPV! Here are the times that the spot will be aired:

Sunday May 5th at 10 pm
Monday May 6th at 5 am
Thursday May 8th at 11 am
Saturday May 10th at 5 pm
Sunday May 11th at 7 am

This should be great for promoting the Molly Dharma Run and HSSPV! The event should be really neat - 300+ motorcycles will be stopping by the shelter when they leave registration – this should be quite the sight.

I've attached the invoice for the 2nd half of the 2014 Animal Sheltering. I had in my cash flows that I was supposed to bill this in April.

Thank you so much for all of your help and support!

Leslie

8th Annual

Molly-Dharma Run

For Colorado Animal Shelters

The MDR is a 501(c)3 non-profit charity organization. EIN # 46-2194021



Sunday

June 8, 2014

Always the 2nd Sunday in June!

2014 MDR Motorcycle Ride Will Benefit



Humane Society of the South Platte Valley

Physical Address: 2129 W. Chenango Avenue, Littleton, CO 80120 Visit us at www.hsspv.org

Sponsored by:

THUNDERBIRD
MOTORCYCLES



Motorcycle Ride Starts at:
Platte River Bar & Grill
5995 South Santa Fe Drive
Littleton, Colorado 80120

Ends at T-Bird Roadhouse
T-Bird Roadhouse
9701 W. 44th Avenue
Wheat Ridge, CO 80033

Food & Beverage Available At T-Bird Roadhouse, Wheat Ridge

*** Food! * Beer! * Fun! * Shelter Tours! ***

Contact info: Kirk 303.548.5123

or Ken 303.871.8290

Motorcycle Registration: 9am /Start: 10:30am – Sunday, June 8th, 2014
\$20 Single Rider - \$25 Two-Up

Free breakfast burrito for 1st 200 provided by *Platte River Bar & Grill*

Route to be determined with selection of shelter.

Ride includes a tour of the shelter and ends at T-Bird Roadhouse

Online Registration at www.Molly-DharmaRun.org thru June 3rd

Join us on Facebook / Molly-Dharma Annual Motorcycle Run, Inc.

From: TypeTom@aol.com [mailto:]
Sent: Friday, May 02, 2014 6:14 PM
To: bbell@englewoodgov.com
Cc: Michael Flaherty
Subject: Englewood Depot update

To: Brook Bell, Englewood Community Development
CC: Mike Flaherty, Deputy City Manager

Hi Brook,

I am writing with a brief update of our progress for the Englewood Depot letterpress printing museum. We have taken essential steps in setting up a nonprofit organization and in planning and design work for the building and our project is under way. We have incurred some delays in the process of getting a preservation easement for the property but we are moving ahead with repair work and preparations for construction.

The highlights of our focus since last fall:

- We have established a nonprofit organization, Englewood Depot, Inc, to run the museum, with our application for 501(c)(3) status now pending with the IRS, which allows us to operate as a nonprofit. We have an active Board, now meeting regularly and working on all aspects of the project even in advance of occupancy of the building.
- Our request for the Colorado Historical Foundation to administer a preservation easement on the property has been accepted and we are proceeding with the negotiation process concerning legal details. With CHF and the Englewood City Attorney, we have worked through an issue involving a *lis pendens* on the title which delayed our ability to move ahead with detailed architectural construction drawings, and we are presently addressing an additional title concern. We expect resolution of all such issues and implementation of the easement for the historic property very soon. The CHF has approved our architects' initial design drawings as appropriate for the easement agreement.
- We have met with a structural engineer and our architect and they are preparing the construction assessment and detailed drawings, so we expect to be able to proceed very soon with construction of the wall for the east side lower foundation room which has been only a temporary plywood fence since the building was relocated in 1994 to its present site. They also are preparing the construction designs for ADA accessible ramps and steps for both the upper historic building and the lower foundation. The lower east wall and ramp construction also will provide a gutter and drainage from the deck above into the existing storm drain system, and also a trench drain for the lower patio area drainage. We expect to meet with Joe Saldibar of History Colorado to be able to apply for Historic State Tax Credits for some of this work - coordinating the sequence of who needs to approve the various details of the project has been an interesting challenge!
- A major advance for our design planning has been the recent location of the original architectural drawings for the foundation from when it was relocated in 1994.
- Essential roof and gutter repair work is being planned. We expect to proceed with permitting and this repair work as soon as we receive an estimate from the roofing company, which has already advised us on historic repair of the gutters and roof. The roof was replaced when the building was moved in 1994 but did not have further maintenance done while the City owned the building since that time. Presently there is water penetration into the lower foundation room, possibly from roof and gutter downspout leaks, and between the historic building and the patio deck on the east side. Following replacement of missing shingles and repair of the gutters, and related maintenance, we will assess additional needs for flashing and drainage mesh for the brick patio decking, to seal the east side of the building and protect the lower foundation room.
- Additional consultation and estimates have been received from a company which does concrete work such as is needed for the ramps and steps; and from a worker who may help with excavation of the fill-dirt from the east side; and from a plumbing company. We expect to proceed

as soon as possible with permits and work with the City for the water tap to get water to the building. (Interior plumbing work will follow the wall construction which is first necessary to secure the lower room).

- Beyond these immediate construction issues, we will then be able to add electrical, heat, interior plumbing, and address other interior needs for the historic upper building to enable occupancy. There will be further landscaping and drainage considerations and additional ADA access provisions as well, some of which will be developed through further grant applications and fundraising efforts.
- In the meanwhile, museum plans and member activities are under way through our website (www.letterpressdepot.com), through meetings and online work, and with cooperative support of the community:
 - Publication of a letterpress broadside portfolio as a fundraising project will be ready for a June event in Denver and will be exhibited at the Hamilton Woodtype Museum this summer in Two Rivers, Wisconsin;
 - Workshops and other related events are planned for this summer, to be held at various other nearby printing and book arts studios, while our museum facility is under construction;
 - We have established procedures and policies for receipt, appraisal, storage, and future exhibition and use of additional historic printing equipment which has been donated for our museum. A major donation of type and equipment and two smaller donations of historic materials, in addition to the collections previously described in our proposal, are now included for our future work at the Depot museum.

We will be in touch regarding the details of our design and construction efforts. I very much appreciate your help through the process for getting approval for the zoning variance for museum use of the property. We are moving ahead as quickly as possible, happy to address any questions or issues further. As we discussed by phone last month, we are assuming that the essence of the zoning variance was to grant permission for museum use on this size property, rather than any specific construction that would have needed a variance. We are progressing with design work and construction and implementing our museum programs as deliberately and quickly as possible!

Thanks,
Tom

Tom Parson/ Now It's Up To You/ T and P Properties, LLC
157 S Logan, Denver CO 80209
(303) 777-8951 - home & letterpress printshop
(720) 480-5358 - cellphone

www.nowitsuptoyou.com

Englewood Depot, Inc.
PO Box 798, Englewood CO 80151
www.letterpressdepot.com

**CITY OF ENGLEWOOD
2014 CALENDAR OF EVENTS**



Mon., May 12	7:00 p.m.	Citizen of the Year Celebration, Malley Center
Tues., May 13	3:00 p.m.	NonEmergency Employees Retirement Board, Public Works Conference Room
	5:00 p.m.	Water and Sewer Board, Comm. Dev. Conf. Room
	6:30 p.m.	Keep Englewood Beautiful, City Council Conf. Rm.
Wed., May 14	7:00 p.m.	Board of Adjustment and Appeals, Council Chambers
	6:30 p.m.	Englewood Urban Renewal Auth., City Council Conf. Rm.
Mon., May 19	6:00 p.m.	Study Session, Community Room
	7:30 p.m.	City Council Meeting, Council Chambers
Tues., May 20	4:30 p.m.	Budget Advisory Committee, City Council Conf. Room
	7:00 p.m.	Planning & Zoning Commission, Community Development Conference Room /Council Chambers
Wed., May 21	6:30 p.m.	Code Enforcement Advisory Committee, City Council Conf. Rm.
	Cancelled	Local Liquor and Medical Marijuana Licensing Authority
Mon., May 26		City Hall closed — Memorial Day
Mon., June 2	6:00 p.m.	Study Session, Community Room
	7:30 p.m.	City Council Meeting, Council Chambers
Tues., June 3	7:00 p.m.	Planning & Zoning Commission, Community Development Conference Room /Council Chambers
Wed., June 4	5:45 p.m.	Cultural Arts Commission, City Council Conference Room
	7:00 p.m.	Local Liquor and Medical Marijuana Licensing Authority, City Council Chambers
Mon., June 9	6:00 p.m.	Board and Commission Interviews, City Council Conf. Rm.
Tues., June 10	5:00 p.m.	Water and Sewer Board, Community Development Conference Room
	6:30 p.m.	Keep Englewood Beautiful, City Council Conf. Rm.

Wed., June 11	7:00 p.m.	Board of Adjustment and Appeals, Council Chambers
	6:30 p.m.	Englewood Urban Renewal Authority, City Council Conference Room
Thurs., June 12	11:30 a.m.	Alliance for Commerce in Englewood, City Council Conference Room
	5:30 p.m.	Parks and Recreation Commission, River Run Trailhead
Mon., June 16	6:00 p.m.	Study Session, Community Room
	7:30 p.m.	Council Meeting, Council Chambers
Tues., June 17	4:30 p.m.	Budget Advisory Committee, City Council Conf. Room
	7:00 p.m.	Planning & Zoning Commission, Community Development Conference Room /Council Chambers
Wed., June 18	7:00 p.m.	Local Liquor and Medical Marijuana Licensing Authority, City Council Chambers
Mon., June 23	6:00 p.m.	Study Session, Community Room
Mon., June 30	6:00 p.m.	Board and Commission Appreciation, Rec. Center
Wed., July 2	5:45 p.m.	Cultural Arts Commission, City Council Conference Room
	7:00 p.m.	Local Liquor and Medical Marijuana Licensing Authority, City Council Chambers
Fri., July 4		City Hall closed — Independence Day
Mon., July 7	6:00 p.m.	Study Session, Community Room
	7:30 p.m.	Council Meeting, Council Chambers
Tues., July 8	5:00 p.m.	Water and Sewer Board, Community Development Conference Room
	6:30 p.m.	Keep Englewood Beautiful, City Council Conf. Rm.
	7:00 p.m.	Planning & Zoning Commission, Community Development Conference Room /Council Chambers
Wed., July 9	7:00 p.m.	Board of Adjustment and Appeals, Council Chambers
	6:30 p.m.	Englewood Urban Renewal Authority, City Council Conference Room

TENTATIVE



**STUDY SESSIONS TOPICS
FOR ENGLEWOOD CITY COUNCIL**

- May 19 Study Session & Regular Meeting
 Executive Session – Union Negotiations/EMRF
 CAFR/Holscher, Mayberry & Company
 Financial Report
 CEC Community Solar Power Purchase Agreement
 Shared Planned Review Services with South Metro Fire District
- May 26 Memorial Day Holiday – No meeting scheduled
- June 2 Study Session & Regular Meeting
 Executive Session – Union Negotiations
 Proposed updates to the pawn broker ordinance
 IGA w/DRCOG for Traffic Signal Replacement System
 Fire Department Follow-up
 City Council Technical Allowance/Discretionary
- June 9 Study Session
 Board and Commission Interviews
- June 16 Study Session & Regular Meeting
 Financial Report
 Marijuana Tabor Question
 Catalyst Program Review
 Housing Rehab Program Follow-up
 Board and Commission Reports
 Library Agreement with Arapahoe Library District
 (CML Conference – 6/17-20/14)
- June 23 Study Session
 Tour of River Run facility
 Tour of Fire Training Facility
- June 30 Board and Commission Appreciation at the Recreation Center
- July 7 Study Session & Regular Meeting

July 14	Study Session Financial Report 2015 Proposed Budget
July 21	Study Session & Regular Meeting
July 28	Study Session Executive Session – CM Selection Board and Commission Reports
August 4	Study Session & Regular Meeting
August 11	Study Session
August 18	Study Session & Regular Meeting Board and Commission Reports
August 25	Study Session Financial Report 2015 Proposed Budget
September 2	Study Session & Regular Meeting – Tuesday
September 8	Study Session 2015 Proposed Budget
September 15	Study Session & Regular Meeting Financial Report Board and Commission Reports
September 22	Study Session 2015 Budget Workshop
September 29	No meeting scheduled – 5 th Monday

FUTURE STUDY SESSION TOPICS

Cultural District

**City of Englewood
CULTURAL ARTS COMMISSION
Minutes of April 2, 2014**

I. Call to Order

The regular monthly meeting of the City of Englewood Cultural Arts Commission was called to order at 5:45pm by Chairperson Amy Martinez at the City Council Conference Room at the Civic Center building, 1000 Englewood Parkway.

Present: Amy Martinez, Chairperson
Martha Kirkpatrick, Vice Chairperson
Tamara Emmanuel, Commission Member
Jill Wilson, City Council Liaison
Jerrell Black, ex officio

Absent: Donna Schnitzer, Commission Member
Maggie Stewart, Commission Member
Laura Phelps Rogers, Commission Member
Kaylene McCrum, Commission Member
Jared Munn, Youth Member

Also Present: Joe Sack, Recreation Manager
Andy Mallen, Englewood Resident

II. Review of Agenda

There were no changes or additions to the agenda.

III. Approval of Minutes

Martinez asked if there were any changes and or corrections to the minutes of March 5, 2014. There were none. A motion was made by Vice Chairperson Kirkpatrick and seconded by Commission Member Emmanuel to accept the minutes as presented.

**Ayes: Martinez, Kirkpatrick, Emmanuel
Nays: None**

The motion passed.

IV. Scheduled Visitors

Kirkpatrick introduced Andy Mallen and told board members that Andy is here to observe.

V. Unscheduled Visitors

There were no unscheduled visitors.

VI. New Business

There were no items for New Business.

VII. Old Business

Discussion turned to collaboration with Greenwood Village Arts and Humanities Council and the presentation of Romeo and Juliet. Recreation Manager Joe Sack reported that representatives from both sides have met with Opera Colorado and have determined that Hampden Hall will work for the production. The production will be on May 29th with two shows, 4:00pm and 7:30pm. Tickets will be available the week of April 14th with the Englewood Public Library distributing the tickets for Englewood. There is only 188 seats per show, allowing two ADA seats per show. The only cost to the Department will be for food for the performers between the two shows.

Martinez asked if there were any changes or adjustments to the Strategic Plan. There were none. The item will be put on the agenda for next month so those members not in attendance can give their input.

In regard to Commission Member Emmanuel's request last month to fund three music scholarships for Englewood High School students, Emmanuel informed the Board that after talking to Englewood Education Foundation, EEF has agreed to fund the scholarships. Discussion followed in regard to the student going to Nashville needing airfare. Emmanuel stated that she would like to see the Cultural Arts Commission involved with the scholarships. Sack told board members that paying for the airfare allows the Board to collaborate with the School District. The Board was informed EEF has a fundraiser in the fall to provide funding for scholarships and creativity grants and funding the airfare is a way for the Board to support EEF. Martinez explained how it would take care of two points on the Strategic Plan.

A motion was made by Vice Chairperson Kirkpatrick to support the Englewood High School student attending music camp by purchasing the airfare to go to camp. Commission Member Emmanuel seconded the motion.

**Ayes: Martinez, Kirkpatrick, Emmanuel
Nays: None**

The motion passed.

It was suggested that after the camps, to invite the youth to a Board meeting to give a report on the camps.

Martinez stated that they used the Art Proposal Template as a guideline for the murals at the Cushing Skate Park and it worked great. Sack was asked if he had received a sample from the artist of what he is proposing to paint. Sack replied that he has not.

Sack reviewed the budget from the community garden benches. Sack reported that the budget for the project was \$435 and the total project cost for the benches came to \$504.96 with \$260 in labor costs being charged to the One Percent Fund for the Arts and \$244.96 in material costs being paid by Parks and Recreation; noting that the project was over budget by the amount of \$69.96.

VIII. Committee Reports

An update on the cataloguing of art followed. Kirkpatrick referred to the inventory of artwork for the Department and One Percent for the Arts Fund that had been included in the packet and noted that there is a few blanks that need to be filled in and then it can be uploaded on to publicartarchive.org. Discussion followed whether to include all of the art for the Department on Public Art Archive.

A motion was made by Vice Chairperson Kirkpatrick and seconded by Chairperson Martinez to move forward with getting art for the Department and art funded by the One Percent Fund submitted to Public Art Archive.

**Ayes: Martinez, Kirkpatrick, Emmanuel
Nays: None**

The motion passed.

Members from the subcommittee for the Cushing Skate Park murals were told that once staff receives the artist's sketches of what is being proposed they will be sent out electronically for approval. Sack stated that he is confident that the artist can replicate what is being proposed.

IX. Upcoming Events

Sack announced the Easter Egg Scramble will be Saturday, April 13th at 10:00am.

X. Director's Choice

Black spoke in regard to the activities scheduled for Arbor Day.

XI. Commission Member's Choice

Kirkpatrick told board members that she saw Modern Masters at the Denver Art Museum and that she highly recommends that they see it. Discussion followed.

XII. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 6:44pm.

/s/ D. Severa
Recording Secretary

**CITY OF ENGLEWOOD PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION
REGULAR MEETING
City Council Chambers – Englewood Civic Center
April 22, 2014**



I. CALL TO ORDER

The regular meeting of the City Planning and Zoning Commission was called to order at 7:00 p.m. in the City Council Chambers of the Englewood Civic Center, Chair Fish presiding.

Present: Bleile, Brick, Fish, Freemire, King, Kinton (arrived 7:05), Knoth, Roth, Townley, Madrid (alternate)

Absent: None

Staff: Alan White, Director, Community Development
Chris Neubecker, Senior Planner
Brook Bell, Planner II
Nancy Reid, Assistant City Attorney

Also Present: Toby Terhune, Shadow Creek Homes



II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

April 8, 2014

King moved;

Knoth seconded: TO APPROVE THE APRIL 8, 2014 MINUTES

Chair Fish asked if there were any modifications or corrections. There were none

AYES: Bleile, Brick, King, Kinton, Knoth, Roth, Townley, Chair Fish

NAYS: None

ABSTAIN: Freemire

ABSENT: Kinton (arrived 7:05)

Motion carried.



**III. PUBLIC HEARING CASE #ZON2014-001 3299 SOUTH LOGAN STREET
RESIDENCES PUD**

Brick moved;

Knoth seconded: TO OPEN PUBLIC HEARING FOR CASE #ZON2014-001 3299
SOUTH LOGAN STREET RESIDENCES PUD

AYES: Bleile, Brick, Freemire, King, Kinton, Knoth, Roth, Townley, Chair Fish

NAYS: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: None



Staff Presentation

Brook Bell, Planner II, was sworn in. Mr. Bell reviewed the project as proposed to allow a maximum of three one unit dwellings, one two unit dwelling and three detached accessory structures above garages that may be used as dwelling units.

The applicant is requesting to rezone the property from R-1-C to the "3299 South Logan Street Residences PUD". The PUD would encompass the property at 3265 and 3299 South Logan Street. A variance was granted in 1967 to allow the Shrine club use with conditions that narrowly defined the proposed use. With the narrowly defined variance, and the lack of enough land area to allow other permitted uses, the marketability of the property was limited. In order to meet the minimum size requirement of 1/2 acre for a PUD, the applicant purchased the property to the north at 3265 South Logan Street.- Three of the garages are proposed with detached accessory structures above them (400 square feet maximum). The property owner has the option to use the accessory structure as they see fit but must occupy either the principal structure or the accessory structure if the accessory structure contains a secondary suite (dwelling unit).

The proposed design includes the defined architectural requirements. The plans provided to the Commission are conceptual and subject to minor changes, however any changes will need to meet the design standards, guidelines and setbacks of the PUD.



The density of the proposed PUD is lower than some of the properties to the west and southwest of subject property (across the alley) that contains multi-unit apartment buildings. The proposal includes two garage parking spaces for each principal unit and

either one garage or surface parking space for the accessory units. Because the property is located on a corner, 240 linear feet of on street parking is available, the equivalent of 10 parking spaces.



The Public Works department reviewed the plan and concluded that a traffic impact study is not required due to the minor increase in traffic over the existing volume. The Logan Street and Floyd Avenue intersection is signalized and controls the traffic near the site.



The UDC requires that 40% of the property is landscaped and the PUD matches that requirement as well as meeting the landscaping requirements for R-1-C zone district.

Drainage plans have been approved by the Public Works Department. The dedication of park land requirement will be satisfied by a fee in lieu payment amount between \$1,600 and \$3,000.



The Commission may consider the findings included in the Staff Report when reviewing the proposed PUD.

Staff has reviewed the PUD application and found that it is in conformance with the Comprehensive Plan.

The Department of Community Development recommends that the Planning and Zoning Commission review the 3299 South Logan Street Residences PUD request and forward a favorable recommendation for approval to City Council.



Comments from the Commissioners:

King – What is the proposed height of garage? The maximum height of the detached accessory structure is 32; if it does not have the accessory unit above the garage, the maximum height is 16.

Kinton - How long has the property been on the market? (Mr. Bell: About 2 years, during which time the City received inquiries about the property. The zoning would not allow uses other than residential and those permitted by the variance. Without a change in zoning, the opportunities were limited as far as how the property could be used.)



Bleile – What is the minimum lot width in R-1-C? (Mr. Bell: There are two lot widths in R-1-C: a standard lot is 50, the minimum lot width for an existing small lot is 37. The

lot widths can vary in a PUD. The two new lots are 37 ½ wide which meets small lot standards. There are some existing lots in R-1-C that are only 25 wide.)



Bleile – What is the intent of including the detached accessory unit? (Mr. Bell: The PUD provides 3 possible uses 1) home occupation, 2) secondary suite (living unit), and 3) flexible living space.



The UDC does not currently address new detached accessory units. Many communities locally and nationally are adopting provisions into their code to allow them.)



Bleile – What is the minimum square footage for a single family residence in R-1-C? (Mr. Bell: There is no minimum square footage requirement. These detached accessory units would be limited to a maximum of 400 square feet.)



Roth – Most of the parking is provided in the garage spaces. There is enough space to make the garages larger or add surface parking area. For lots 1,4,5 which do not have detached accessory structures, there is minimum of two garage spaces for each dwelling unit.



Roth – Is the rear setback of 6 feet enough for the garages? (Mr. Bell: The traffic department commented that garages setbacks from the alley more than 6 feet can become a problem with the rear end of cars extending into the alleys.)



Bleile – Will parking be available on Floyd? (Mr. Bell: Yes, on street parking will be available on Floyd Avenue.)



Applicant Presentation

Toby Terhune, Shadow Creek Homes, 2535 West 115th Drive, Westminster, Colorado, was sworn in. Mr. Terhune presented a slide show with images of the proposed development. Mr. Terhune introduced the members of his team who were present at the meeting.



The neighborhood meeting held last November influenced decisions about the design and density of the project. The home at 3265 South Logan will be remodeled and updated, two new homes will be added and the Shriner building will be divided into two units. Four new garages will be added. The accessory dwelling units above three of the garages will be added as an option for their customers.



Bleile – What is the timing of construction? (Mr. Terhune: As soon as possible.)



King – Why limit the ground floor of the garage to 400 square feet? (Mr. Terhune: This can be changed based on buyer preference.)



Townley – Is there any discussion about paving the alley? (Mr. Terhune: No.) Who is responsible for the maintenance of the landscaping? (Mr. Terhune: The property owners.)

Freemire – The existing grade rises 4 feet higher than the level on Floyd, how will that be addressed? (Mr. Terhune: The bottom floor of the building is below grade, the lot will be leveled where it has been built up. The garages will be at the alley level.)



Public Testimony

John Taylor, 3261 S. Logan Street, was sworn in. He feels that the development will create traffic problems. He cited Cherry Creek North parking issues.



Conclusion from Staff

The site has very few allowed uses as it is currently zoned. The proposal is for a very good project that will be beneficial to the community.



Bleile – How is the requirement enforced that the owner resides on the property? (Mr. Bell: The PUD sets the regulation; Community Development does not seek out properties for compliance. The requirement that the owners live in either the main or the accessory unit provides some assurance that the property will be well maintained with the owner present on the property.)



Roth moved;

Freemire seconded: TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR CASE #ZON2014-001
3299 SOUTH LOGAN STREET RESIDENCES PUD

AYES:Bleile, Brick, Freemire, King, Kinton, Knoth, Roth, Townley, Chair Fish

NAYS:None

ABSTAIN:None

ABSENT:None

Motion passes.

King moved;

Freemire seconded: TO APPROVE CASE #ZON2014-001 3299 SOUTH LOGAN STREET RESIDENCES PUD APPLICATION AS WRITTEN AND FORWARD TO ENGLEWOOD CITY COUNCIL WITH A FAVORABLE RECOMMENDATION.

Brick – A substantial amount of landscaping is included in the plan and the parking is adequate for the development. The inclusion of the requirement that the owners live on the property is a positive.



King – Should the building revert to its original use as a club, parking would be more of an issue. The requirement of the owner to live on the property would be addressed during title commitment in the purchase process.



Chair Fish – This is a good use for the building.



King – The bulk plane as it exists in the UDC is too restrictive and this development would set a new standard for the City.



Roth – Accessory units already exist in Englewood; it appears that this will be a trend in the future.

Vote:



Bleile – Yes, despite his resistance to change, it is a necessary change to encourage development and reuse of existing buildings that could otherwise potentially fall into decay. The transition from the new buildings to the older homes on the street is good.



Brick – Yes, the project meets the requirements of the Comprehensive Plan with a balanced use of housing. The quality of the materials and type of work will exceed the standards that exist currently. The density does not significantly impact the surrounding neighborhood.



Freemire – Yes, commended the developer for listening to the neighborhood and adapting the plan. It is appropriate and meets the goals of the City.



King – Yes, it is a difficult site to develop and the developer has done a good job with the design. The owner is sensitive to the needs of the neighborhood.



Knoth – Yes



Roth – Yes, the project meets a number of elements of the Comprehensive Plan. The owner occupancy requirement is a positive. The development is different but fits the neighborhood.



Townley – Yes, the development is unique, it has appropriate scale, adequate parking and the proposed development fits the Comprehensive Plan and complements the neighborhood.



Fish – Yes, he agrees with the Commissioners. Englewoods housing stock is maturing and as is an issue that the City faces going forward, this will enhance the variety of housing available.



Kinton – Yes, the project makes good use of the existing structure and brings a needed diversity of housing. The project meets the goals of the Comprehensive Plan.



Motion passes to approve case #2014-001 3299 South Logan Street PUD with a favorable recommendation to City Council.



Bleile moved;

Knoth seconded: TO OPEN PUBLIC HEARING FOR CASE #2013-07 AMENDMENTS
TO
PUD PROCESS

AYES: Bleile, Brick, Freemire, King, Kinton, Knoth, Roth, Townley, Chair Fish

NAYS: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: None



Chris Neubecker, Senior Planner, was sworn in. The history of the case was reviewed.



A two-step PUD process will enable developers to obtain entitlements to the property prior to developing a detailed plan which would result in cost and time savings. The amendments will clarify the current code and additionally provide increased detail outlining the PUD process.

Commissioner Comment



Brick – What is the potential benefit to citizens? (Mr. Neubecker: The two step process allows for additional opportunity for public comment. The advantages to the developer would potentially increase development opportunity which would be beneficial to the community.)



Roth – Noted typographical errors.



Public Comment

Lewis Fowler, 3700 South Cherokee Street, Englewood, was sworn in. Mr. Fowler spoke in support of the amendments to the PUD process. He likes the fact that public has more opportunities to comment on applications.



Mr. Neubecker thanked the Commissioners for their cooperation and feedback during the long process of amending the code on this issue.



Bleile moved;

Kneth seconded: TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR CASE #2013-07
AMENDMENTS TO PUD PROCESS

AYES: Bleile, Brick, Freemire, King, Kinton, Kneth, Roth, Townley, Chair Fish

NAYS: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: None

Motion passes.

Bleile moved;

Kneth seconded: TO APPROVE CASE #2012-07 AMENDMENTS TO THE PUD
PROCESS WITH A FAVORABLE RECOMMENDATION TO
CITY COUNCIL.

Comments



Bleile thanked Staff for their work and believes that future applicants will see the benefit of the improvements.

Fish – The time taken has resulted in a quality product, he thanked Staff.

King – A lot of time and input has been devoted to this topic and Staff did a great job.



Kinton – This is a significant improvement in the PUD process and hopes that it will encourage more development.



Roth – Makes the process clearer and will eliminate the types of issues that arose in the past.



Townley – An efficient and streamlined process, likes the opportunity for more community input.



AYES: Bleile, Brick, Freemire, King, Kinton, Knoth, Roth, Townley, Chair Fish

NAYS: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: None

Motion passes.

IV. PUBLIC FORUM

No Public was present to address the commission.



V. ATTORNEYS CHOICE

Ms. Reid requested that the Commission adopt the Planning Commission Handbook.

Knoth moved;

Bleile seconded: “To Adopt a policy allowing Staff and the City Attorney to include within any ordinance the necessary amendments to the Unified Development Code that are necessary to ensure that portions of the Englewood Municipal Code that refer to existing or amended code language, or that is needed to reference the new language, shall be included within said ordinance, and that such housekeeping and editing issues shall not require further discussion or hearings by the Planning and Zoning Commission”.

Vote: All Commissioners voted in favor of the policy. Motion passes.

Brick – Believes that this policy change will be beneficial to the process of making changes to the code.



VI. STAFFS CHOICE

Director White added that the adopted policy change will allow Staff to fix administrative issues without having to take the issue to Public Hearing.

Mr. Neubecker stated that Part 3 of the TSA overlay district will be presented at the May 6 meeting. Other items that were included on the 2014 work priorities are bulk plane. Director White suggested that discussion regarding Accessory Dwelling Units be delayed. The Capital Project budget will be presented to the Commission.

VII.COMMISSIONERS CHOICE



Mr. Madrid commented on PUD project and is looking forward to seeing the change, feels that the inclusion of the Accessory Dwelling Unit allows for a more diverse population and provides good options for residents.



Mr. Brick asked for an update on the Martin Plastics property; Director White stated that he anticipated a request for a change to their plan.



Mr. Bleile asked about the Winslow property. There have been discussions about multi-family development but with the current restrictions on the property there would be an amendment to the PUD.



Ms. Townley asked if it would be beneficial to have a representative of Economic Development attend the meeting regarding the TSA Overlay to provide input.

The meeting adjourned at 9:00 p.m.

/s/ Julie Bailey_____, Recording Secretary